AMARD NO. 2178

Name of the village Nature of acquisition Purpose of acquisition Planned Development of Delhi.

Chandrawali alias Shahadra Permanent

AWARD

Delhi Administration issued a notification No.F.1560)/61-LSG dated 15th January, 1964 u/s 4 of the Land Acquisition Act 1894 with regard to 3 Big. 10 Bis. of land situated in village Chandrawali alias Shahadra for acquisition at public expense for a public purpose namely The Planned Development of Delhi. A declaration u/s 6 of the act was issued for the above land vide notification No.F.4(79)/64-LaH dated 12th November, 1964. There-upon notices u/s 9 & 10 of the above act were is sued to the interested persons to file their claims. The claims thus filed by the interested persons, will be discussed at their proper place.

MESSURENLINI

U/s 6 of the L.a. Act 3 Big. 10 Bis. of land with Kh. Nos. detailed below was notified for acquisition. The field staff carried out measurements of the land under acquisition and found the area of 3 Big. 10 Bis. to be correct. Thus the area to be acquired is 3 Big. 10 Bis. DETAILS OF THE LAND UNDER ACQUISITION

S.No.	Name of owner		rea ig.Bis.	Nature of Lam
1.	Sham Lal s/o Niader Mal	1130/1072/480/ 848/1	0 -03	G.M. Plot.
2.	Gopi Nath s/o Ganga Sahai	1133/1072/480/848/1/1	0 -02	G.M. Plot.
3.	Jaggu Mal s/o	1133/ 1072 /480/ 848/2/1	0 -02	G.M. Plot.
4.	Ram Kishan Das s/o Naider Mal	s1132/1072/480/ 848	0 -05.	G.M. Plot.
5.	Imdad Alahai s/o Inayat	1129/1072/480/ 848/1	0 -06	G.M. Plot.
	Elehei	1131/1072/480/ 848/2	0 -03	G.M. Plot.
٠		1135/1072/480/ 848/3		G.M. Plot.
		rotel/\	3333.	Dis. Q

CLAIMS & EVIDENCE

The following interested persons have filed their claims in respect of the land under acquisition. The details of these claims are given below:-

mante of the ordina		
\$1.1	No. Name of the claimant	Amountof Evidence. if compensation any.
1.	Nihal Chand s/o Choder Mal	%.1000/- & an alter- Produced no proof. native site.except rent receipt
2.	Hardat Singh s/o Jawala Singh	alternative -do- site for shop.
3.	Kundan Lal, Mulk haj Ss/ of Devi Dass	alternative accommodation
4.	Mehar Singh s/o Sumer Singh	R.1200/- & Produced No proof. alternative but rent recessite.
5.	Kirpal Singh s/o Harnal Singh	%.1365-31 % -do- alternative site
6.	Som Nath s/o Buta	%-500/& alterdo- native site.
7.	Ram Saran Dass s/o Mohal Lal	Rs.500/- & alterdo- native site.
8.	Des Kaj s/o Devi Dayal	Rs.600/- & alterdo- native site.
9.	Chhitar s/o Chhuja Ram	Ns.1000/- & alterdo- native site.
10.	Deep Chand s/o Rirku Kam	R.1100/- & alter -do- native site.
11.	Ghurditta Mal through Satram Dass	B.700/do-
12.	Jagan Nath s/o Ram Dass	An alternative -do-
13.	Chhote Lal s/o Khacheru	alternative accommodation
14.	Kharati Lal s/o Sant Ram	6000/- & slterdo- native site. No receipt.
15.	Tilak Raj Chadda & others	M.15000/- as -do- shifting charges No Receipt. & alternative accommodation
16.	Durga Pd. s/o Banarasi Dass	%.19600/- for Produced 3 rent 97.7 sq. yds. receipts.
17.	Gopi Nath s/o Ganga Sahai, Ram Lal alias RamKumar s/o Balu Ram	18.76800/- for Produced a converge of sale deed. 3 of sale deed. 3 wo rent receive and a copy the Will.
1 8.	Muni Lal, Shiv Kumar	%.77200/- for produced at

Sinte

l 8. Muni Lal, Shiv Kumar ss/o Baboo kam 236 sq. yd. structure produced a sale deed do a sale deed do a sale a sal

, 19. Ram Sarup alias Rs. 12000/- for Saroop Mall

Produced 3 copies of 160 sq. yas. land sale deedsfor is. 5000/-Rs. 3000/- dt. 6.6.46 & 1.4.63 respectively.

20. Gopi Nath s/o Ganga Sahai of 4 shops.

for the land, 8.2700/-sale deeds for 8.5000/for the structure. as.3000/- dt. 66.46 & 11.4.63 respectively

21. Mangu Mal Through Advocate

@ B.150/- per sq. yd. as against \$1.No.19 .ed of the 1snd & B.29000/- for structure of 9 shops.

22. Devi Saran Dass

8.150/- per sq. yd. Produced no proof. for 450 sq. yds.

23. Smt.Mehmod Fatima d/o Hazi Imdad Ilahi w/o Amir Hussain

%.854560/- for the land @ %.100/per sq. · yd.

24. A.N. Sakena

depot.

%.40000/- for coal Produced an estimate of the depot, site plan receipts & balance Sheet.

25. Hans Raj s/o Chander Bhan

Rs.12000/- for structure & Business

Produced no proof.

. 26. Ram Nath Ram rich Ral ss/o ham Lal

Rs.23600/- for land & for shop. -ac-

27. Hukam Singh

18.21000/-for structure & Business.

Estimate of the depot & site plan.

, 28. Kalyan Singh

Ps. 90000/- & alternative accommodation Produced no proof.

-do-

. 29. K.L. Jain & Co.

R.11000/- Afterwards Rs. 25000/-

alt rnative accommo-produced copies of rent

30. Udho Dass s/o Mangat Ram

receipts dation

Filed an application showing Smt. Mehmod Fatima the only legal heir of Hazi Imdad Ilhai who died on 25.12.

31. Apdul hashid G.A. Smt. Mehmod Fatima

- 32. Mameshvar Dayal

18.258430/- for the One site plan of the land 8.86765/- for factory and one copy the builds. and of the receipt a two fixature. 18.16000/- copies of latters. for severance Rs.25000/damages/property 2.150000/for infurious effect on scrining 1.15000/- for hims of site.

Apart from the documentary evidence shown above against each claimant, about a dozen persons have also been examined.

Bhadur Singh s/o Sohan Singh has stated that he is a tenant.

On a piece of the land under acquisition for the last 12-13

years and pays 15.15/- per month as rent. Charan Dass s/o

Mangal Dass has stated that Tilak Raj Chadda & Co. are tenants

on a piece of land at 15.5/- per month since 1954. Mhariti Lal

s/o Sant Ram has stated that he has got a go-down on the land

under acquisition as a tenant of S/Shri Ram Nath & Hamrich Pal

land owners at the rate of 15.5/- per month for the last 14/15

years. Abdul Rashid attrony on behalf of Smt. Fatima has stated

that Smt. Mehmod Fatima is the only legal heir of Shri Imdad

Ilhai recorded owner(deceased) of 2 Big. 18 Bis. of the land

under acquisition. On her piece of land there are 17 tenants

which whose details are as follows.

Mary Walley			T-m tonency	Rent per month.
S.No.	Name of tenant	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	Year tenancy	
1.	Nihal Chand, Shor	pkseper	1958	Rs. 15/-
		ão-	1958	16.8/-
2.		do-	1958	Rs.15/-
3.	Hans Raj	-d-	1958	h. 20/-
4.		-do-	1958	R.15/4
5.	Mehar Singh	-do-	1958	Rs.15/-
6.	Kundan Lal	-do-	1953	13.15/-
7.	Ramji Dass	-do-	1958	Ps. 15/-
8.	Som Nath	-do-	1960	Ns. 15/-
9.	Kirpal Singh	-ão-	1965	B.15/-
10.		-âo-	1962	%.15/ -
11.	Deep Chand	-do-	1966	Rs. 15/-
12.	Pritam Singh		1966	Es.15/-
13.	Deep Chand	-do-	1966	Rs. 15/-
14.	Gugi Pahalwan	-do-	1966	3.15/-
15.	Sudhes an Singh	-do-	3.0.C C	Rs.10/-
16.	Baryam Singh	-do-	1500	
17.	Rameshver Dayal Agents & Manufa	cturers	1965	Ns. 125 . Rs. 250/-
	,	stated	that the above	XEEX tenants

8

0

He has further stated that the above that tenants who have been in occupation since 1965 sreall new ones and the old ones had left the place. It is after their vecation

/scott

conta... B2

that these shops were let out to the present tenants. All these shops are temporary structures raised either of wooden plank walls or bamboo split walls except some portion of a factory which is pucca and constructed in 1965. Besides these 17 shops there are three other kokhas lying vacant. Chittar Ram s/o Chajju Ram has stated that he is in occupation of a site for the last 10 years but could not pay rent of the last two years. Shri Abdul Rashid on the other hand has denied this by saying that he had left this site 7/8 years ago. Shri Sham Lal s/o Shiv Dayal has stated that he is a tenant since 1962 at 8.25/- per month, but Abdul Rashid admits him a tenant since 1967 only. He has further stated that he is also a tenant of Mangu Mal and has constructed two kothas during the last three years. The statement made by Shri Abdul Rashid has also been supported by nine tenants present. This oral as well as documentary evidence mentioned above will be discussed while assessing the market value of the land under acquisition and the existing structures thereon. MARKET VALUE

The land under acquisition measuring 3 Big. 10 Bis. just lies parallel to the Rly. track that runs to Ghaziabad, on one side and abuts the Circular Road on the other. It is just behind the main Bazar shops of Shahadra on the left hand side while entering the Chotta Bazar through the small Rly. bridge. It has an access from the main bazar road . through an opening in between the shops, after entering this opening there are/shops on either side in the shape two rows of of Khokas or stalls. Thus the land under acquisition is accessable from the Circular Road as well as from the main Bazar Road. The acquisition staff has prepared a statement of 5 years sale transactions preceeding to the date of notification u/s 4 of the L.A. Act. According to this statement 335 Big. 3 Bis. of land was sold through numerous transactions during these preceeding 5 years for 1.1498225-25 at an average of %.4470-31 per bigha. A perusal of this

Contd... 82,

statement goes to show that there have been fluctuations in the land price of the land from year to year and to base the market value of the land under acquisition on the average of these 5 years would not be a rational approach. The interested persons have filed copies of certain sale deeds to substantiate their claims, as detailed below:-

Sl.No.	Date of execution	Area	Sale consi
1.	11.11.36	329 sq.yd.	8.3000/-
2.	6.6.46	1274 sq.yd.	
3.	3.3.48	255 sq. yd.	Ps. 3000/-
4.	5.5.57	House	R. 6000/-
5.	1.4.63	21 sq.yd.	Rs. 3000/-

Now as regards sale transaction at S.No.1 above, it cannot form basis to assess the land price as prevailing on 15.1.64 because this transaction is 32 years old. Similar transaction at \$1.No.2 above cannot be taken into consideration being too old. This principle is applicable to transaction at \$1.No.3 as well. As regards transaction at \$1.No.4, it relates to the purchase of a built up house. There is no specification of the land price nor of the structure thereon separately and so it cannot be taken into consideration to assess the market value as price of the land has not been specified. Now as regards the last transaction dated 1.4.63, it is only for a very small piece of land consisting of 21 sq. yds. for \$3000/-. It cannot be taken as basis being too small and the price appears to be exhorbitant.

Thus in view of the above discussion these sale transactions filed by the claimants are of little help to assess the market value of the land under acquisition on the material date. In this village there have been numerous awards under the L.A. Act with regard/different types of land for different years. Recently 3 Big. 18 Bis. of land abuting this very Circular Road at a little distance from the land under acquisition was acquired

Aurto

vide award No.2141 announced on 14.8.68 at the / 8.5000/per bigha. That land too lies in between the Mly track and
the Circular Road but its location is inferior in potentiality to that of the land under acquisition as stated
above, is very close to the main market road of Shahadra
and has a direct access through an opening on the Main
market road. So the land under acquisition is to be assessed
at a higher market value than the land of Award No.2141 on
two grounds, firstly it is to be assessed on the market
rates prevailing on 15.1.64 as against 24th October, 1961
and secondly due to its superiority in poten-tiality. It
is no denying the fact that prices of land in Delhi have
a rising trend from year to year and what could be a fair
land price in January 1964 is now to be determined.

A further perusal of the 5 years statement goes to show that during 1960-61 84 Big. 14 Bis. of land was sold for %s.292472/- at an average of %s.6005-59 and in the year 1963-64 18 Big. 1 Bis. of land was sold for 8.205074/- at an average of &.11405-76. It shows that during these 3 years the land price in this village was doub-led to what it was in 1960-61. It means that the land of Award No.2141 if it would have been acquired on the basis of notification dated 15.1.64, its land price would have then gone up from Rs. 5000/- per bigha to Rs. 10000/- per bigha. The land under acquisition as stated earlier enjoys a better position than the land of this award as apart of its being on the Circular Road, it is also approachable through the main market of Shahadra. As such its land price should have been much more than %.10000/- per bigha. But for the fact that there are several tenants sitting on it so a man of ordinary prudence would not like to offer as much as he would have offer/for it, if it would have been lying vac-ant. Any-how its present potertiality being nearer to the main market on one side and xxbxixx abuting the Contd 3/-

Combarrer

Circular Road on the other cannot be denied. For this advantageous position it would not be unreasonable if 30% of its 1 and price is allowed over and above the estimated land price of Rs.10000/- per bigha. Accordingly the market value of the land under acquisition is assessed at Rs.13000/- per bigha which is, under the circumstances explained above, quite reasonable and adequate.

WELLS TREES & STRUCTURES

There is no well nor any tree on the land under acquisition but there are some structures both old and new on this land. The land under acquisition is shown in the Revenue Records as G.M. Plot at the time of the notification u/s 4 of the L.A. Act. The Asstt. Engineer (Valuation) was requested to assess the valuation of the existing structures on this land. He has assessed valuation of these structures as on the date of notification u/s 4 i.e. 15.1.64. His valuation report is on the acquisition file. He has not mentioned the names of the owners nor of the occupiers against these structures. After local inspection the acquisition staff on the basis of the details of the structures given in the valuation report has succeeded in naming the occupiers of these premises. The details of valuation as well as of the occupiers are given below:-

- of the structures shown at \$1.No.1 (A&B) in the report and these structures are in a major portion of Khasra No.1129/10/10. The Asstt. Engineer has worked out value of these structures after allowing due depreciation at No.2542/- . He is running a coal depot, much prior to the material date as is evident from rent deed dated 1 2.10.47 filed by him. As such the valuation of these structures is to be included in the market value of the land.
 - 2. There is another coal depot run by M/s. A.N. Sexana just by the side of the previous coal depot. This depot is located partly in Kh.No.1129/1 and partly in Kh.No.1135/3. The valuation of the existing structures of this depot has

durte

Contd....9/-

been assessed at \$1.No.2 (C&D) in the report after allowing due depreciation, at No.445/- only. This depot too is an old one existing prior to the date of notification as is clear from the rent receipt dated 18.3.61 filed by him.

- The other structures shown at S1.No.3 (E&F) in the report belong to M/s. Kelyan singh Manak Lal, and are located in a portion of Kh. No. 1135/3. In the revenue record Shri Imdad Elhai (decessed) and now his daughter Smt. Fatima as heir is shown owner of Kh. No. 1135/3 and Shri Kalyan Singh & Manak Lal have not produced any evidence to this effect in support of their claim. The Asstt. Engineer has assessed value of these structures at Rs. 1388/- and has further shown that various types of machines, tools are fitted along with power & Electricity in these premises. These premises are now let out to Shri Rameshwar Dayal of M/s Agents & Manufacturers as is clear . from a rent receipt dated 22.1.63 filed by him. The Asstt. Engineer has also assessed a sum of Rs. 300/- as removal and carriage charges of the above machines and tools. But this amount of Rs. 300/- is not to be included in the market value of the land.
 - 4. The valuation of the structures shown at \$1.No.3(M&G) and at \$1.No.8(Z) is shown as \$8.390/- NN.796/& Ns.1873/- respectively. These premises are in occupation of M/s. Kalyan Singh Manak Lal and are located in Kh.No.1135/3, owner of which is shown Smt. Fatima as stated earlier. Thus the total valuation of the structures existing in the premises occupied by M/s. Kzlyan Singh Manak Lal comes to Ns.4247/- only apart from Ns.300/- of the removal charges.
 - 5. The structures mentioned at S1.No.3(HVJK&L) are located in Kh.No.1135/3, owned by Smt. Patima daughter of Imdad Ehhai (decased). The Asstt. Engineer has separately assessed valuation of these above stated five structures in his report shown against each item. Out of these 5

Contd....10/-

an.

structures, structure shown at \$1.No.3(H&J) are reported to be new and so the Asstt. Engineer has not made any deducation for depreciation while assessing their valuation. The other three structures are old one and their values have been assessed at \$.557/-, \$.297/-(%.313/- %.56/- & Rs.90/-) a Rs.444/- respectively. The Valuation of the two new ones has been assessed at 1.1454/- & 1.739/- respectively. These two valuations assessments are not to be included in the market value of the land a-s they were raised after the date of notification u/s 4 . Shri Rameshwar Dayal tenant: has not filed any document concerning this portion belonging to Shrimati Fatima while her attorney Shri Abdul Rashid has made a clear statement on the date fixed for evidence that Shri Rameshwar Dayal of M/s. Agents & Manufacturers took this portion on rent from him in 1965 st a rental of Rs.250- per month . He is further supported by 9 other witnesses. Shri Rameshwar Dayal has claimed exhorbitant sums as compensation, but being only a tenant and that too after the date of notification in this case is not entitled to any compensation. He can only remove the material used in the two structures duely raised after the material date, provided they were raised by him and not by the owners of the land. As regards his tenancy in the premises of Kalyan Singh Manak Lal is concerned, he has not proved as to when he started that tenancy and so question of compensation for the use of land and for ownership will be a matter of dispute and so cannot be disbursed.

6. At \$1.No.4 (NaP) located in Kh.No.1131/2 valuation of structures has been assessed at R.359 after due depreciation. These structures are in occupation of Shri Sham Lal s/o Siv Day 1 and have been constructed prior to the date of notification u/s 4. Structures shown at \$1.No.5 (Q) are located in Khasra No.1130/1 and their valuation has been assessed at R.127/-only. Shri Udho Dass s/o Mata Manga Ram is in occupation of it. At. \$1.No.6(N) structures which are shown located

Rorte

Contd . . . 11/-

in Kh.No.1130/1 have been assessed at N.216/- andare in occupation of Kharati Lal s/o Sant Ram. Again at Sl.No. 7(T) located in Khasra No.1129/1 a structure's valuation has been assessed at N.189/- and it is in occupation of Charan Dass s/o Mangal Dass. Besides these above structure there are 14 temporary structures in the form of shops made of either wooden planks wells or of Bamboo split wells. They are located in Kh.No.1132/2. Their valuation and other description are shown at Sl.No.9 in the valuation report. The details of their valuation and occupants are as follows:

S1.No.	Shon No	Nome of oggivent	Valuation assessed
1.	1		
1		Sudharshan Singh	Rs. 301-00
2.	2.	Kirpal Singh	Rs. 290-00
3.	3.	Som Nath	B _• 291-00
4.	4.	Gogi	8,279-00
5.	5.	Ramji Dass Ram Saran Dass .	Ns. 67-00
6.	6.	Kundan Lal	Rs. 67-00
7.	.7.	Mehar Singh	B. 307-00
	8. now vacant)	Jagdish	Rs. 307-00
9. (fa	. 9. allen &veca	Chajju Mal ant)	Rs. 103-00
10. 10	0.222	· Pritam Singh	%.307-00
11.	11.	Deep Chand s/o Rarku Ram	%. 307-00
12/	12.	Suresh Kumar	Rs. 307-00
13.	13.	Dawa Sihgh	Rs. 290-00
14.	14.	Nihal Chand	Bs. 307-00
15.	*15.	Hans Raj	Ts. 307-00
16.	*16.	Haridutt Singh	3.290 - 00
17.	*17.	Chott Lal	Rs. 290-00
	The Asstt	. Engineer (Valuatio	n) has given the

The Asstt. Engineer (Valuation) has given the

valuation of only the first 14 shops, but the last 3 from before but wrongly omitted now been shops also existed there and their valuation has assessed

hoto con

Contd.....12/-

after inspection keeping in view the valuation of other similar shops given by the Asstt. Engineer. As stated earlier these shops are in Khasra No.1132 & in the revenue records this No. stands in the name of one Ham Kishan Dass s/o Niader Mal But from the evidence on records, it is also clear that Smt. Fatima d/o Imdad Ehahi realises rent from these tenats as is evident from the statement of Abdul Rashid and nine other witnesses. Abdul Hashid in his statement has clearly deposed that the following 10 tenants are in occupation prior to the date of notification and the other ones are those who were admitted to tenancy thereafter.

S.No.	Name of tenant	Year	Rental B.15/- p.m.
1.	Nihal Chand	1958	15.15/- p.m.
2.	Hardutt Singh	1958	?s. 8/-
3.	Chotte Lal	1958	Rs.15/-
4.	Hans Raj	1958	Rs.20/-
5.	Mehar Singh	1958	Rs. 15/-
6.	Kundan Lal	1958	Ps.15/-
7.	Ramji Dass	1958	Rs.15/-
8.	Som Nath	1958	Rs.15/-
9.	Kirpal Singh	1960	Rs.15/-
10.	Deep Chand	1962	%.15/- %.2523/-
11.	Pritam Singh	1966	8.15/-
12.	Deep Chand	1966	fis.15/-
13.	Bawa Singh	1965	Hs. 15/-
14.	Sudharshan Singh	1966	Rs.15/-
15.	Bariam Singh	1967	B.10/-
16.	Rameshwar Dayal Agents & Manufactur	1965 cers	Rs.250/- discussed.
17.	Gogi	1966	Rs.15/-

The last seven shopkeepers are new ones and so they are not xxxixxx entitled to any compensation for their structures and they can remove the material of their new structures after the announcement of the award. If the

Just conta....13/-

valuation of any new shop has been given by the Asstt Engineer (Valuation) then it is not to be included in compensation. The total amount of compensation for these structures comes to %.12405/- based on the valuation report of the Asstt. Engineer after excluding the valuation of the structures raised after the date of notification.

There is no religious property on the land under acquisition in the shape of a temple, mosque or any grave

INTEREST

yard etc.

RELIGIOUS PROPERTY

Since possession of the land under acquisition is still with the claimants and both the notifications u/s 4. and 6 of the L.A. Act were issued in 1964 so in either case the claimants are not entitled to any interest.

SOLATIUM

The clalimants are entitled to 15% as solatium for compulsory nature of acquisition as allowed under the Act.

APPORTIONMENT

The compensation for the land is to be paid

to the recorded owners according to the latest entries

in the Revenue Records and that of the structures to entioccupants. But here there is a dispute about the ownership

of the land as well as about the occupancy. Shri Kalyan

Singh Manak Lel are not the recorded owners but they claim

like that and have also let out some portion of the land unde
acquisition to the tenants. Similarly Shri Ram Kishan Dass
is notice shown as recorded owner against Kh.No.1132 while

Smt. Mehmod Fatima is realising rent through her attorney

Shri Abdul Rashid of shops located in Kh. No.1132. Under the
in
circumstances the compensation will be kept/dispute till
the concerned parties come to an emicable settlement failing
which the matter will be referred to the Add. District Judge

Aur Contd....14-

for adjudication, in between the recorded owners & occupan DEDUCTION OF LAND REVENUE

The land under acquisition is assessed at N.O-63 P. as land revenue. The same shall be deducted from the land revenue roll of this village from the next harvest after possession of the land is taken over.

SUMMARY OF THE AMARD

- Compensation of 3 Big. 10 Bis. 10.45500-00 @ 18.13000/7- per bigha.
- Compensation for the old structures.

Total

15% solatium 3.

Removal & carriage charges Grand Total R. 12405-00

8.57905-00 Ns. 3655-75

300-00

Rs. 66890-75

Annowed tody:

(R.K. SINHA)

(R.K. SINHA)

(R.K. SINHA)

DELHI

16 11/601.

DELHI

Nam Curpo Sirho