कार्यवाही कब्जा अवार्ड नं० 20/74-75 ग्राम अरकपुर बाग मोची।

आज दिनांक 30/3/76 बमुजब हुक्म जनाब भूमि अधिग्रहण अधिकारी (MSW) साहब मौका पर बहमराह श्री बलवान सिंह राणा कानूनगो (भू०अ०), श्री साधुराम पटवारी, व श्री महानन्द प्रकाश चपरासी (भू०अ०) ग्राम अरक-पुर बाग मोची पहुंचे। महकमा L&B की ओर से मुताबिक प्रोग्राम श्री जगननाथ महलोत्रा तहसीलदार साहब व श्री रामकिशन अरल N.T साहब भी मौके पर मौजूद है। काश्तकारान में से श्रीमति गुलाब सुन्दरी पट्टेदार भी मौके पर मौजूद है।

अराजी Acquire शुदा बक्रये अवार्ड नं० 20/74-75 ग्राम अरकपुर बाग मोची वशमूला नम्बरान खसरा 448 (23-7), 449 (0-11) की मौके पर बजरिये जरीब अन्दाजी पैमाईश करके व तुजियात खास लगाकर निशानदेही की गई जिसमें से नं० खसरा 448 min 7 बिघा 10 बिस्वा व 449 min 0-5 बिस्वा मौके पर Built up है और नं० ख० 448 min 15 Bigha 17 Biswa व 449 min 0-6 Biswa कुल तादादी 16-3 मौका पर खाली है जिसका कब्जा वाकई मय चाह व दरख्तान मा सिवाय पीपल हासिल किया गया। Built up भूमि का कब्जा बाद अजां बजरीये इमदाद पुलिस व Demolation Squad हासिल किया जावेगा। खाली भूमि 16-3 Biswa का कब्जा बजिन्स ही हवाला श्री जगननाथ महलोत्रा तहसीलदार साहब L&B किया गया। बरवक्त कार्यवाही कब्जा कोई मजाहमत पेश नहीं आई मुनादी व मुशतहरी बाबत तबदीली कब्जा मौके पर बजरिये श्री महानन्द प्रकाश चपरासी L.A के आवाज बुलन्द कराई गई। पटवारी हलका व वजह दीगर कार सरकार हाजर नहीं आ सका लिहाजा हुक्म नकल कार्यवाही कब्जा बराये अमल दरामद दर कागजात बजरीये तहसीलदार साहब नजूल भिजवाई जावे। और एक नकल महकमा Acquiring को। कार्यवाही कब्जा पूरी हो चुकी है लिहाजा रिपोर्ट पेश है। 30/3/76

Mahanand Parkash
30/3/76 (LA)

साधुराम पटवार L.A
30.3.76

बलवान सिंह राणा
कानूनगो (भू०अ०)
30/3/76

Kartar Singh
N.T. (LA)
30.3.76.

N.T.(L&B)
30/3

Tah. (L&B)
3/3/76

Gulab Sundari Before
Kesar Pal ...
30/3/76

कार्यवाही कब्जा अवार्ड नं० 20/74-75 ग्राम अरकपुर बाग मोची।

आज दिनांक 17/9/76 बमुजिब प्रोग्राम बहमराह श्री प्रतापसिंह N.T.(L.A), श्री बलवानसिंह राज कां०(L.A) श्री साधुराम कां०(L.A) व श्री ओमप्रकाश चपरासी L.A मौका पर ग्राम अरकपुर बाग मोची पहुंचे। महकमा L&B दिल्ली प्रशासन की ओर से श्री के० एन० रैना A.H.C साहब व श्री जे० एन० मल्होत्रा तहसीलदार साहब व श्री राजरूपसिंह K.T व सजान सिंह पटवारी भी मौका पर मौजूद है। महकमा C.P.W.D सफदरजंग हस्पताल डिविजन की ओर से श्री पवन कुमार Astt. Ex. Engineer व श्री एस० पी० शर्मा Junior Engineer भी मौका पर मौजूद है। वास्तेदारान में से श्रीमती गुलाब सुन्दरी भी मौका पर मौजूद है। आराजी Acquire शुदा बरूये Aw. NO 20/74-75 ग्राम अरकपुर बाग मोची बशमूला नम्बरान खसरा 448 min (7-10) व 449 min (0-5) कुल 7 बिघा 15 बिस्वा जिसपर structures बने हुवे है जिनका कब्जा 30/3/76 को हासिल नहीं किया गया था आज बजरिये इमदाद पुलिस खाली करा कर कब्जा वाकई हासिल किया गया। और सब structure हवाला श्री जे० एन० मल्होत्रा तहसीलदार साहब L&B किया गया। Structure की List महकमा Acquiring के नुमाइन्दगान को मौका पर चैक करा दी गई है। इसमें निम्न कमीयाँ पाई गई।

1. Staff quaters के एक ~~[illegible]~~ की टूटी व गुसलखाना की छत नहीं है।
2. Labour huts की दरवाजे खिड़कीया व छत की बल्लीया व कड़ीयां नहीं है व दीगर छत नहीं है।
3. Factory Area में दरवाजे व खिड़कियां नहीं है और एक भट्टी 18'-9" Dia and 8' ht नहीं है
4. Item no 4 of ~~[illegible]~~ mislanious head मौके पर नहीं है। R.C.C. Slab 348 sq ft.

दौरान कार्यवाही कब्जा कोई मजाहमत पेश नहीं आई। मुनादी व मुशतहरी बाबत तबदीली कब्जा मौके पर बजरीये Sh. Omparkash Peon L.A व आवाज बुलन्द करवाई गई। कार्यवाही कब्जा की एक नकल महकमा D.D.A के पटवारी हलका को बजरीये तहसीलदार साहब D.D.A भिजवाई जावे व एक नकल महकमा Acquiring को। 17/9/76

[illegible] 17/9/76

[illegible] 17/9/76 A.H.C.

[illegible] 17-9-76. N.T.(LA).

K.L. Chopra 17/9/76 LAC (MSW)

[illegible] 17/9/76 N.T.

Omparkash (P) L.A 17-9-76

[illegible] 17/9/76 A.E.E

[illegible] 17/9/76 J.E.

[illegible] Kgo (LA) 17/9/76

Khazan Singh [illegible] 17/9/76

Gulab Sundri Bai [illegible] 17/9/76

Sadhuram Kgo L.A 17-9-76

AWARD NO. 20-B/74-75 Supplementary

NAME OF THE VILLAGE : ARKPUR BAGH MOCHI

NATURE OF ACQUISITION : PERMANENT

PURPOSE OF ACQUISITION : PLANNED DEVELOPMENT OF DELHI.

These are supplementary proceedings to Award No. 20/74 -75 of Village Arkpur Bagh Mochi. In that award interest u/s 4(3) of the Land Acquisition (Amendment & Validation) Act, 1967 on the market value of the land (Rs. 3,10,957-00) was paid w.e.f. 27.9.65 to 29.1.1973 while it was payable upto 29.1.1975, as the award was announced on 30.1.1975. Therefore, interest @ 6 % p.a. is due for a further period w.e.f. 30.1.1973 to 29.1.1975 which is allowed and awarded accordingly.

The summary is as below:-

Interest @ 6 % p.a. w.e.f. 30.1.1973 to 29.1.1975 (2 years) only on the market value of the land (Rs. 3,10,957-00)	Rs. 37,314-84

(Rupees Thirty Seven Thousand Three Hundred Fourteen & Paise Eighty Four Only)

16.8.75

(B.M.L. GAUMAT)
LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR(MSW)
DELHI.

Announced & filed today

16.8.75
LAC(MSW)

AWARD NO. 20/74-75.

NAME OF THE VILLAGE : ARKPUR BAGH MOCHI

NATURE OF ACQUISITION : PERMANENT

PURPOSE OF ACQUISITION : PLANNED DEVELOPMENT OF DELHI.

....

These are proceedings u/s 11 of the L.A. Act for determination of compensation in respect of land measuring 23 big. 18 bis. situated in village Arkpur Bagh Mochi (Nazul Estate, Delhi). The land forms part of notification u/s 4 Land Acquisition Act, 1894 issued by Delhi Admn., vide No.F.7(58)/62-L&H dated 15th Sept., 1962 for 266 big. 7 bis. A declaration u/s 6 of the Act was issued vide notification of even No. dated 5th Dec The land is required by the Govt. at public expense f a public purpose namely for the Planned Development ence Delhi.

Notices u/s 9 & 10 of the Act were issued ll the persons interested. Claim received will be discuss under the heading 'Claims & Evidence.'

MEASUREMENT & CORRECT AREA

The present acquisition proceedings are confined to only Kh.Nos. 448 & 449 out of the land notified. The remaining land will be acquired through a supplementary Award.

Necessary measurement of the land in question was carried out by the field staff at the spot. The area was found 23 Big.18 bis. instead of 24 big.7 bis. The area of Kh.No. 448 is wrongly recorded as 23 big.18 bis. in the field book and 23 big 16 bis in Jamabandi instead of correct area i.e. 23 big. 7 bis.

The details of the land under acquisition the under:-

Contd...2..../-

Kh.No.	Area Big.Bis	Kind of soil
448	23.07	G.M.Factory.
449	0.11	G.M.Chah Pukhta
	23.18	

OWNERSHIP & OCCUPANCY

S.No.	Name of the owner	Name of the occupant	Kh.No.	Area Big-Bis	Classification of land.
1.	Sarkar Daulat Madar.	i) L&D.O.Deptt. th.Delhi Pottery Works Ltd.Pattedar Dawami.	448 449	23-07 0-11	G.M.Factory G.M.Chah Pukhta
		ii) As-per Kh.Girdawari 69-70 Mst.Gulab Sundri wd/o Diwan Bahadur Seth Kesri Singh			

CLAIMS & EVIDENCE

S.No.	Name of the claimant	Kh.No. & Area	Rate claimed	Evidence
1.	Kanshi s/o Dhur Singh	-	Rs. 2500/- for dispossession and alternative accommodation	Nil
2.	Jai Singh s/o Dhur Singh	-	Rs. 3000/- for dispossession & alternative accomodation	Nil
3.	Dhan Singh s/o Bir Singh	-	Rs. 2500/- for dispossession & alternative accomodation	Nil
4.	Hari Singh s/o Dhur Singh	-	Rs. 3000/- for dispossession & alternative accomodation	Ni.

ke

Contd...3.../-

5. Lal Chand s/o Jai Ram	-	Rs.2000/- for dispossession & alternative accommodation	Nil
6. Ram Singh s/o Lal Singh	-	-do-	Nil
7. Jai Ram s/o Ram Dev	-	-do-	Nil
8. Dalip Singh s/o Beraj Singh	448 (50 sq.yd)	Rs.60/- per sq.yd for alternative land	Nil
9. Arnak Kumar Dogar	-	Alternative accommodation	Nil
10. R.K.Datta	-	-do-	Nil
11. Iqbal Singh	-	-do-	Nil
12. Bhuddi	-	-do-	Nil
13. Jamna Lal	-	-do-	Nil
14. Shiv Lal	-	-do-	Nil
15. Ashok Kumar Sharma	-	-do-	Nil
16. Chokhat Lal s/o Lochan	-	Rs. 2000/- for dispossession & alternative accommodation	Nil
17. Smt.Kishni w/o Dhanna	-	Rs. 5000/- for dispossession and alternative accommodation	Nil
18. Sidhari s/o Daulat	-	Rs. 2000/- for dispossession & alternative accommodation	Nil
19. Chokhu Bal s/o Ram Parsad	-	-do-	Nil
20. Lodhi s/o Gobind	-	-do-	Nil
21. Kanhaya Lal s/o Sunder	-	-do-	Nil
22. Shri Ram s/o Darbari	-	-do-	Nil
23. Budh Nath s/o Raja Ram	-	-do-	Nil

Contd......4....

24. Paltall s/o Bhajan.	-	Rs. 2000/- for dispossession & alternative accommodation	Nil
25. Sukhu s/o Dhumai	-	-do-	Nil
26. Kanshi s/o Pakhandi	-	-do-	Nil
27. Maharaj s/o Lochan	-	-do-	Nil
28. Ganeshi s/o Dhan Singh	-	-do-	Nil
29. Prem Singh s/o Udey Singh	-	-do-	Nil
30. Sh.Prakash s/o Bhageshar	-	-do-	Nil
31. Phool Chand s/o Jiwat	-	-do-	Nil
32. Shyam s/o Gazai	-	-do-	Nil
33. Brij Kishore s/o Meva Ram	-	-do-	Nil
34. Megha s/o Sukhu	-	-do-	Nil
35. Sia Ram s/o Puran	-	-do-	Nil
36. Ganesh Dass s/o Kishan Chand	-	Rs. 7500/- for dispossession & alternative accommodation	Nil
37. Ram Bharossa s/o Dhanpat	-	Rs. 2000/- for house	Nil
38. Ram Avadh s/o Raj Kishor	-	Rs. 2000/- for dispossession & alternative accommodation	Nil
39. Phool Raj s/o Mehengi	-	-do-	Nil
40. Raj Dev s/o Kunwar	-	-do-	Nil
41. Ram Singar s/o Khunkhun	-	-do-	Nil
42. Munni Lal s/o Jiut	-	-do-	Nil

Contd..5...

43. Ram Bachan s/o Bishamber	-		Rs. 2000/- for dispossession & alternative accommodation.	Nil
44. Ram Bachan s/o Ganpat.	-		-do-	Nil
45. Ram Subhaj s/o Sukh Lal	-		-do-	Nil
46. Rama Kant s/o Dahari	-		-do-	Nil
47. Mata Din s/o Bansi	-		-do-	Nil
48. Ram Bachan s/o Dudha~~ik~~	-		-do-	Nil
49. Laxmi s/o Jagan Nath	-		-do-	Nil
50. Ram Avtar s/o Nagu	-		-do-	Nil
51. Harbans s/o Goverdhan	-		-do-	Nil
52. Nek Chand s/o Komal	-		-do-	Nil
53. Ram Adhar s/o Bhajan.	-		-do-	Nil
54. Ram Chander s/o Ram Adhar.	-		-do-	Nil

55. M/s Kessar Pottery Works Factory Road, New Delhi through Smt. Gulab Sundari wd/o Late Dewan Bahadur Kesri Singh. th. Sh. S.C. Chhabra, Advocate

448 (23-16)
449 (0-11)
Total 24-07

a) for the value of land & Bldg. Rs.21,40,000/- — As given below

b) Rs.43,750/- for loss of machinery

c) Rs.26250/- removal charges

d) Rs.60,000/- for loss of business.

e) Rs.2,72,200/- for additional charges.

f) Rs. 1,00,000/- for payment to labour.

*(h) Rs.6,00,000/- for extra rent for new premises

g) Rs.3,40,000/- for

* h) extra ~~xxxxxxxxxxx~~ ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ payment to labourers

15 % solatium)
Rs. 6,16,820/-)

Net Total:- Rs.47,29,030-00

(i) and also claimed interest @ 6 % per annum from 3 years of the date of notification u/s 4 till tender of payment.

Evidence:- Copy of Khasra Girdawari for the year 1968-69, 70-71, 69-70

he

Contd..6.....

55 contd. ii) Copy of estimate in duplicate.
iii) Copy of judgment of the court of Sh.Shiv Das Tyagi Sub.Judge 1st Class,Delhi dated 22.8.1967

56. S.Ram Singh Kabli & Sardarni Harnam Kaur Trust, 11, Ratendon Road, New Delhi th.S.Patwant Singh Secretary th. Mr.Iqbal Krishan, Advocate.	i) Rs. 75/- per sq. 7d which comes to Rs. 18,15,000/- besides 15 % solatium ii) Rs. 8,00,000/- for Super structures,well, Kilns & electric fittings.	As given below.

a) Copy of the perpetual lease dt. 19.3.1924

b) Copy of the lease deed dated 23.5.1942 registered on 30.6.1942

c) Copy of the release deed dt.16.3.49 regd. on 16.3.49.

d) Copy of the Trust deed dt. 31.3.64 regd. on 14.4.64.

e) Plan of the property.

f) Estimate of Delhi Pottery Works.

MARKET VALUE

The market value is to be determined with reference to the price of the land prevailing at the time of notification u/s 4 L.A. Act,1894, which in the present case is 15th Sept,1962

Transaction with regard to genuine sales having taken place nearabout the period of preliminary notification in respect of land similarly circumstanced to the land under acquisition or sale of a portion of the land under acquisition could be the best evidence in arriving at the market value of the land. In short if the evidence of sales of similar land or the decision of the court u/s 26 L.A. Act in the vicinity with similar advantages are available the market value can be fixed with reference to the price mentioned therein.

Contd...7...

The claimants in the present case have demanded a very high rate of compensation without adducing any evidence to substantiate the same.

It would be helpful if guidance could be obtained from the Awards already drawn pertaining to the land in the same vicinity.

Records were checked up in this behalf and it revealed that neither any award in respect of any land acquired in this village was made in the nearpast, nor any sale transactions had taken place.

Therefore there is no alternative but to fall back upon the examplers in the adjoining revenue estates. There are two revenue estates in the immediate neighbourhood of this village which are village Kharera and village Hamayunpur. Kh.Nos. 1 to 42 only of village Kharera are adjacent to the land under acquisition and beyond that towards the South-West, is situated the land of village Hamayunpur.

In case of V.Kharera, out of above Kh.Nos. i.e. Kh.Nos. 1 to 42 no sale transactions were found recorded nearabout the date of preliminary notification as relevant in the present case.

In respect of village Hamayunpur, sale transactions of the land having occurred near about the date of notification u/s 4 in the present case, were checked up. It was found that majority of them were the transactions involving very small plots of land ranging from 2 biswas to 9 biswas of area for construction of residential premises. Such transactions cannot help us in determining the fair market value in respect of big chunks of land running into acres as it is generally noticed that small pieces of land not only attract a large number of buyers but also fetch higher rates in price.

In the present case the land is a compact block covering about 5 acres of land and as such bonafide sale transactions possessing similar advantages & potentiality would be a better guide in the evaluation of the market value of the land. The following sales came to notice:-

S.No. Mutation No.	Dt of Regn.	Kh.No.	Area transferred	Amount in Rs.	Average per bigha in Rs.
1. 696	23.2.1960	459/7/10	2-10	Rs.12,500/-	5000/-
2.1315	15.11.1960	459/7/3	1-00	Rs. 5,000/-	5000/-
3. 758 4.	20.3.1961	117/1 119 118 120	0-18 0-18 1-02 1-01 3-19	Rs.15,000/-	3797-47
4. 780	31.5.61	569/262 558/417	0-11 0-10 1-01	Rs. 4,000/-	3809-52
5. 779	1-6.61	593/246 633/592/246 591/246 519/123	0-19	Rs. 4,000/-	4210-53
6. 1020	26.7.62	347 340/2	5-10 4-01 9-11	Rs.60,162-50	6299-74

The transaction at Sr.No. 1 and 2 give an average of Rs. 5000/- per bigha and are comperatively away from the material date. A scrutiny of the sale transactions at Sl.No. 3,4,5 would reveal that the trend of prices during the year 1961 went down. But a plausible explanation for this downward trend in prices in all the three transaction is that the owners transfered a share of their holding and that the land was not vacant as it was in the possession of various occupants. In such cases the prices are bound to be low as the vendee cannot obtain possession of his specific land without a suit of partition and further without ~~to~~ get time the occupants evicted through legal recourse. All these draw-backs fully explain the low prices paid in these transaction, which therefore cannot form suitable guide in ascertaining the trend of

he

Contd..9...

the existing market value of land. By far the best exampler is at S.No. 6 in which land measuring 9 big. 11 bis. was transfered on a consideration of Rs. 60,162.50 giving an average of Rs. 6299.74 per bigha. The area involved in this transaction is comparatively large and is by far nearest to the material date. Relying therefore on the sale transaction at S.No. 6, I assess the market value of the land at rate of Rs. 6300/- per bigha.

OTHER COMPENSATION

STRUCTURES:- There are various structures in the factory premises comprising ~~of~~ Labour Quarters, Labour Huts, Staff Quarters, Residential Premises, Office premises, well with washing tank, sheds, Bhatties, Bhatties with Chimney, Compound wall and gate etc. etc. The Asstt. Engineer(V) has assessed the value of these structures the details of which are given in the statement enclosed with his letter No.AE(V)/304/71 dated 22.9.71. ~~The~~ The total net amount towards the value has been worked out as Rs. 1,59,300/- I consider the value arrived at, as reasonable and award the same accordingly.

TREES

There are some trees on the land under acquisition The Naib Tehsildar has assessed the value of these trees, which I consider to be reasonable and award the same accordingly. The details of the trees and the value assessed against each kind of trees areas below:-

Kh.No.	Kind of trees	No.of trees	App.weight in quintal	Rate per Quintal	Total value assessed.
448	Neem	8	97	Rs. 5/-	Rs.485/-
	Peepal	5	101	Rs. 5/-	Rs.505/-
	Keekar	3	13	Rs. 5/-	Rs. 65/-
	Shehtoot	1	2	Rs. 5/-	Rs. 10/-
	Jamun	1	3	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 12/-
449	Keekar	1	2	Rs. 5/-	Rs. 10/-
		19			Rs.1087-00

Contd..10/-

DAMAGES

The claimants M/s Kesar Pottery Works, in addition to the cost of the land and structures including factory building, residential quarters, labour barracks, kilns and chimneys etc, have demanded exhorbitant amount in respect of loss in business, loss of value of machinery, payment to their labour under the Industrial disputes Act, and extra rent for new premises. But they have not adduced any evidence , such as statement of accounts etc. to substantiate the claim in this behalf. No evidence of technical nature supporting the loss of the value of machinery has either been produced. The claim for payment of remuneration to their labour under the Industrial Disputes Act has also not been supported.

Regarding the claim for extra payment to labour and extra rent of the new premises, these are merely speculative and have no bearing here. However, the case falls within sub-para 5 of section 23 of the Act which lays if in consequence of the acquisition of the land by the Collector, the person interested is compelled to change his residence or place of business the reasonable expenses(if any) incidental to such change.

The Asstt. Engineer(V) in this behalf was requested to examine the position at the spot. He has vide his office letter No.AE(V)/447/72 dt.15.9.72 prepared a valuation statement for shifting charges of the various machines and other assessaries from the factory premises. The total amount on this account has been worked out by him as ~~Rs.16,000/~~ Rs. 16,150/-. I consider the amount arrived at to be reasonable and award the same accordingly.

SOLATIUM

15 % solatium will be paid on the market value of the land in consideration of the compulsory nature of acquisition .

he

Contd..11...

INTEREST

The case falls within the purview of the land Acquisition (Amendment & Validation) Act, 1967. The date of publication of the preliminary notification in the present case is 27.9.1962 and the date of notification u/s 6 is 5.12.68. Therefore interest at 6 % per annum only on the market value of the land would be payable on the expiry of three years of the date of publication of the notification u/s 4 of the L.A. Act, 1894.

LAND REVENUE

The land in question is not assessed to any land re - venue and as such the question of making deduction of land revenue does not arise.

APPORTIONMENT

The property vests in the Central Govt. and is under the management of Land & Development Officer, Ministry of Works & Housing, New Delhi. The land was originally leased out to Sardar Ram Singh Kabli to hold the land in purpetuity. Since the property is subject to a lease, theoretically speaking the total compensation for the property should be the sum total of the compensation payable in respect of the interest of the lessor & the lessee. Later the lessor created a further interest in Land by sub-leasing the land to M/s Dewan Bahadur Seth Kesri Singh Budh Singh, who also took Smt. Gulab Sunderi Bapna as partner, as contended by Smt. ~~Gupal~~ Gulab Sunderi in her claim . There is therefore interse dispute between the lessee and the Sub-lessee over the apportionment of compensation for the leasehold rights of the land. Some of the occupants have also claimed interest in the land. As the title to receive the compensation amount is in dispute the matter shall be referred u/s 30 of the L.A. Act.

SUMMARY

Contd...12...

The award is summarised as below:-

1. Compensation of 23 Bighas 18 Biswas of land @ Rs. 6,300/- per Bigha	Rs. 1,50,570-00
2. Compensation for structures & Well	Rs. 1,59,300-00
3. Compensation for trees.	Rs. 1,087-00
Total:	Rs. 3,10,957-00
4. 15 % Solatium	Rs. 46,643-55
5. Interest @ 6 % per annum w.e.f. 27.9.65 to 29.1.1973(7 years 125 days) only on the market value of the land (Rs. 3,10,957-00)	Rs. 1,36,991-46
6. Damages(Shifting charges)	Rs. 16,150-00
GRAND TOTAL:	Rs. 5,10,742-01

(Rupees Five Lacs Ten Thousands Seven Hundred Forty Two & paise one only)

[signature] 30.1.75
(B.M.L. GAUMAT)
LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR(MSW)
DELHI.

Announced & filed today.

[signature] 30.1.75
LAC (MSW) Delhi

(to be published in Part IV of Delhi Gazette).

DELHI ADMINISTRATION:DELHI

NOTIFICATION

Dated the November, 1968

No.F.7(58)/62-L&H:- Whereas it appears to the Lt. Governor of Delhi that land is required to be taken by Government at the public expense for a public purpose, namely, for the Planned Development of Delhi, it is declared that the land described in the specification below is acquired for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said act, the Collector of Delhi is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

A plan of the land may be inspected at the office of the Collector of Delhi.

SPECIFICATION.

Village or Locality.	Total Area Big. Bis.	Field Nos. or Boundaries.	Area big. bis.
Arkpur Bagh Mochi (Nazul Estate)	(a) 147-0	436	147-0

(Nazul land leased out to the Development Corporation of India Ltd. Delhi on 18th January, 1921 for a Pottery Factory).

	(b) 119-07	448	23-16
		449	0-11
		639/446 min.	3-01
		639/446 min	4-06
		639/446 min	4-11
		639/446 min	10-05
		639/446 min	43-16
		639/446 min	4-16
		639/446 min	14-03
		640/446 min	5-01
		641/446 min	5-01

(Nazul land leased out to Sardar Ram Singh Kabli on the 19th March, 1924 for a Potetery Factory).

By order,
Sd/- (D.P. BAHUGUNA)
DEPUTY SECRETARY(LAND & BUILDING)
DELHI ADMINISTRATION:DELHI

No.F.7(58)/62-L&H Dated the ~~November~~, 1968 5-12-68.

Copy forwarded to the:-

1. Public Relations Department (in duplicate) for fa[vour] of publication in Part IV of Delhi Gazette.
2. Additional District Magistrate (LA) New Courts De[lhi] Buildg. Tis Hazari, Delhi.
3. Land Acquisition Collector (M) Tis Hazari,Delhi.
4. Engineer Member, Delhi Development Authority, New Del[hi]
5. Legal Advisor, L&B Deptt. Tis Hazari,Delhi.
6. Land & Development Officer, New Delhi.
7. Tehsildar, L&B Deptt. New Delhi.
8. Land record Cell.

Sd/-(D.P.BAHUGUNA)
DEPUTY SECRETARY LAND & BUI[LDING]
Delhi ADMINISTRATION:DELHI

(To be published in part IV of Delhi Gazette.)

DELHI ADMINISTRATION , DELHI.

NOTIFICATION.

1/54

Dated the —— August,1962.

No. F.7(58)/62-L&H:- Whereas it appeare to the Chief Commissioner Delhi that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government at the public expense for a public purpose, namely for the Planned Development of Delhi, it is here-by notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be required for t-he above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 , to all whome it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section , the Chief Commissioner is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being angaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person ,interested, who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within 30 days of the publication of the notification file an objection in writing before the Collector of Delhi.

SPECIFICATION.

Locality or village.	Total area. 2		Field Nos. or Boundaries. 3	Area	
	Big.	Bis.		Big.	Bis.
pur h Mochi (zul Estate)	(a)147	0	436	147	0

(Nazul land leased out to the Development Corporation of India Ltd. ,Delhi on 18th January, 1921 for a pottery Factory.)

	(b) 119	7	448	23	16
			449	0	11
			639/446min.	3	1
			639/446min	.4	6
			639/446min.	4	11
			639/446min	.10	5
			639/446min.	43	16
			639/446min.	4	16
			639/446min.	14	3
			640/446min.	5	1
			641/446min.	5	1

(Nazul land leased out to Sardar Ram Singh Kabli on the 19thMarch, 1924 for a Pottery Factory.)

1769