AWARD NO. 1005

Tatarpur.

acquisition: Permanent.

In pursuance of notification No.F.15(84/57-L.S.G.,
ember 3, 1957, under section 4 of the Land Acquisition
issued by the Chief Commissioner, Delhi, 192.20 acres
notified for acquisition for the execution of the
neral Plan for the Greater Delhi, inter-alia in
arpur. Subsequently, declaration under section 6 of
made through notification No.F.15(122)/58-L.S.G.(iii)
t 24, 1959, by the same authority, for the same
respect 113.14 acres, which was published in the Delhi
ted September 3, 1959.

R E A:

ield number 29 was included in the notification under
hile at the time of measurement it was discovered that
12 biswas out of it has been covered by the present
bighas 3 biswas were left out. The area is sh
s 2 biswas in the notification under section 6, wh
total comes to 543 bighas 3 biswas and after deducti
iswas out of field number 29, which has not been
rea comes to 540 bighas 15 biswas, of which the
tails are given below:-

Chahi.	385 bighas 19 biswas.
Ros	70 bighas 18 biswas.
Ban ar Qadim.	4 bighas 3 biswas.
Gh mumkin.	79 bighas 15 biswas.
	540 bighas 15 biswas. ✓

/5/2 (14 biswas) - Ghairmumkin Marghat, 4/26
29/1 (1 bigha 12 biswas) - Ghairmumkin Thoro
blic use and for all intent and purposes under
These field numbers have, therefore, been left
. Field numbers 9/20/1, 9/20/2, 13/3/2 and
been shown in the notification under sec
/13/3/2 and 14/1/6/1 respectively. No

ernment is quite evident to the persons' interested, who have ed their claims. A move has been made about publishing rigendum notification in respect of field numbers 4/26, 26/27 /29 to be correctly read as 26,27 and 29. Satisfaction about e area has been made by the land acquisition field staff.

OMPENSATION:

The following persons interested filed their written aims:-

No.	Name of claimant.	Amount claimed.
	Sarvashri Yad Ram, Bhagwan Dass.	Rs.5,02,000.00 at the rate of Rs.187/- per sq.yard.
	Smt. Parwati wd/o Sh. Shiv Dayal.	-do-
.	Sarvashri Chander s/o Umrao Singh, Net Ram, Mani Ram, Mohd. Hussain, Ram Chander, Mohar Singh.	Rs.16.00 per sq.yard.
4.	Sarvashri Tej Ram, Munshi, Ram Saran, Net Ram, Khazan Singh, Rughnath, Ram Parsad, Shadi, Bhanwar Singh, Lal Singh, Beg Ram, Sis Ram, Sher Singh, Nand Ram, Bhagwana, Hukam Chand, Prabhu Dayal, Khushal Singh, Bhagwan Dass, Yad Ram, Ram Singh, Sada Ram, Data Ram, Rughnath Singh, Zile Singh, Sohan Lal, Murari Lal, Mehar Chand, Jagat Singh, Lal Singh, Dharam Singh and Jai Singh.	Rs.16000/- per bigha.
5.	Sh. Data Ram.	Rs.4,47,200/- at the rate of sq.yard for land.
	Sh. Sada Ram, and Sh. Maharaja.	Rs.18.00 per sq.yard plus Rs.500/- for damage cha because of their land affected.
7.	Sarvashri Mohar Singh and Krishan Lal.	Rs.5000/- per bigh
8.	Sh. Chander s/o Umrao.	Rs.16,100/- (Rs.4, Rs.12,000/- for
9.	Sh. Net Ram.	Rs.6,804/- (Rs.4 for the struc
10.	Sh. Tej Ram etc.	Rs.44,000/- i be given - tenants -
11.	Sh. Beg Ram etc.	
12.	Sh. Mani Ram.	Rs.16,096 Rs.12,00
13.	Sh. Rughnath.	Rs.9,8 Rs.80 one 1
14.	Sh. Ram Parsad &	
15.	Khazan Singh	

.	Sh.Bhanwar Singh.	Rs.5,62,400.00 (Rs.5,54,400/- for land and Rs.8000/- for two wells).
.	Sh.Bhagwana.	Rs.14,73,500.00 (Rs.14,68,000/- for land Rs.15,500/- for two wells and a room.)
8.	Sh.Zile Singh.	Rs.4,74,400.00 (Rs.4,70,400 for land and Rs.4000/- for a well).
9.	Sh.Sohan Lal &Murari Lal.	-do-
	Sh.Net Ram.	Rs.10,54,400.00 (Rs.10,50,400 for land and Rs.4000/- for a well).
1.	Sh.Nand Ram.	Rs.14,73,500/- (Rs.14,68,000 for land, Rs.15,500/- for two wells and one structure).
22.	Sh.Tej Ram.	Rs.28,000/- (Rs.24,000 for land and Rs.4,000/- for a well).
23.	Sh.Ram Saran.	Rs.321,600/- (Rs.3,176,00/- for land and Rs.4,000/- for a well.).
24.	Sh.Beg Ram.	Rs.17,21,600/-(Rs.17,20,000/- fo and Rs.1600/- for a well.
25.	Sh.Munshi.	Rs.7,76,000/-(Rs.7,68,000/- for and Rs.8000/- for a well.).
26.	Sh.Mohd.Hussain.	Rs.5,240/- (Rs.2,240/- for land, Rs.3000/- for structure) in field number 9/21. Rs.12,928.00 (Rs.4,928/ for land and Rs.8000/- for structu in field number 9/20/1.
27.	Sh.Dharam Singh.	Rs.3,77,600.00 (Rs.3,76,600/- for and Rs.4000/- for a well.
28.	Sh.Jai Singh.	-do-
29.	Sh.Mohar Singh s/o Lachhman.	Rs.18,200/- (Rs.3,200 for land Rs.15,000/- for structure).
30.	Sh.Ram Chander.	Rs.16,240.00 (Rs.2,240.00 fo Rs.8000/- for structure, an engine.

31. Sh.Mewa Ram, described his plot of 149⅓ sq.yar No.20/1 worth Rs.2000/-.

32. Sh.Mehar Chand and others. Rs.2000/- each for

33. Smt.Jai Devi described a temple of Sh.Lakshmi Narain Bhagwan constructed for the public and 8 shops and one go in her land measuring about 1008 sq.yards, which were wo ructure Rs.155000/-.

34.	Sh.Jagdish Parsad.	Rs.23,870.00.
35.	Sh.Mehar Singh.	Rs.2,69,500 (Rs.2,67,500 for premium. Rs.2000/- for a well 5 to 9.11.61
36.	Sarvashri Jagjit Singh and Jhangi Ram.	Rs.2,00,000/- for loss of premium. would have been obtain 55 to 9.11.51, execution of the lea premium.

contd 4.10.54 to 13.10

37. Sarvashri Krishan Lal, Ramesh Chand etc. Rs.50,000/- for loss of profit yet to be obtained by the execution of the lease-deed.

(B) The following documentary evidence was produced by the persons interested:-

egistration No.	Date of execution.	Rate.	Situation.
4590	25.11.59	Rs.34.37 per sq.yard.	Situated in Rajauri Gardens.
3353	16.4.58	Rs.28.13 nP. per sq.yd.	situated in Rajauri Gardens.
3138	3.11.55	Rs.14,00 per sq.yard.	field Nos.14/23 situated in Mahesh Gardens
Rs.3137	3.11.55	Rs.14.00 per sq.yard.	Situated in Mahesh Gardens in Tatarpur.
3242	12.8.58	Rs.863.85 nP. per sq.yard.	
An unattested copy of an agreement dated nil		@ Rs.5.00 per sq.yard	
330	1.2.57	Rs.6.87 per sq.yard.	situated in Mahesh Gardens in Tatarpur.
2261	10.9.55	Rs.11.00 per sq.yard.	situated in Mahesh Gardens
1257	4.7.55.	Rs.12.00 per sq.yard.	situated in Mahesh Gardens
2824	9.7.56	Rs.12.50 nP. per sq.yard.	situated in Mahesh Gardens

Sh.Chander Singh produced a copy of the estimate of the cost of construction for his house No.W Z90 prepared by Sh.Ho Ram Singh, Draftsman at Rs.10,722.00 nP.

Sh.Ram Chdnder produced a copy of the estimate of the cost of construction of his House No.WZ -88 prepared by Sh.Ho Ram Singh, at Rs.7437.00 and another estimate of his house No.WZ-99 for Rs.7,582.00

Sh.Mani Ram produced a copy of the estimate of the cost of construction of house No.WZ-91 prepared by Sh.Ho Ram Singh at Rs.14,471.00

Sh.Mohar Singh produced a copy of the estimate of the cost of construction of House No.WZ-89 prepared by Sh.Ho RamSingh at Rs.15,139.00.

Sh.Mohd.Hussain produced a copy of the estimate for his structure prepared by Shri Ho Ram Singh, Draftsman for Rs.10,616.00

Lease-deed No.3282, dated 10.11.55		-Rs.600/- towards premium. period - 10.11.55 to 9.11.61
Lease-deed No.3281,	--	Rs.600/- [illegible] premium. period 10.11.55 to 9.11.61.
Lease-deed No.9062	--	Rs.500/-- premium. period -14.10.54 to 13.10

5-

e condition laid down in other lease-deeds is that the residuary
um be returned.
ies of other lease-deeds were also produced, in which the period
d expired.
rvashri Bhim Singh, Har Gian, Maharaj Singh, Mala Ram, Nawal
halia, Dharam Chand, Dalu Ram, Kheta and Kishan etc. produced
their structures at village Tatarpur.
their statement in this office all the persons interested
stress on the compensation demanded by them by reiterating
mands. Shri Beg Ram in his statement dated the 21st January, 1960
that he did not transfer his land to Sh. Mohar Singh, who played
er ruse with him and that he neither got the transaction registered
11th August, 1959 nor did he accept Rs.46,000.00. He did not
before the Registrar. Shri Jhangi Ram stated that he sunk a well
hich he might be given Rs.4000/- and he ran a brick-kiln, for which
ght be given Rs.50,000/-. Similarly, Jagjit-Singh demanded compensa-
of Rs.2,00,000.00 for his brick-kiln. Shri Prabhu Dayal demanded
s -/12/- out of a rupee for his owner-ship rights in 2 bighas 13
as and further stated that the rest of annas -/4/- be given to the
upancy tenants. He was supported by Tej Ram, owner. Smt. Bimla Devi,
gal Sain, Prem Narain, Sushil Kumar, Avtar Singh, Amolak Singh, Bhagwan
nd S.K.Chopra attested Exts.OW-1 to 9. Shri Data Ram stated that
sold out nearly 11 plots at the rate of about Rs.40/- per sq.yard, in
hesh Gardens, on the failure of the Mahavir Housing Society to honour
he agreement with it. The rate in this colony ranged from Rs.20/- to
40/- a sq.yard. Plots are being sold out just in front of it at the
rate of Rs.40/- to Rs.45/- per sq.yard and he might be given compensation
at the rate of Rs.30.00 per sq.yard. Through their representation the
occupancy tenants of Tatarpur stated that the Zamidars of that place were
demanding compensation at the rate of annas /4/- to annas -/6/- and in
some cases annas -/12/- per rupee, and that they were deprived of availing
Bhumidhari rights under the Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954, therefore, su
a large proportion of compensation should not go to the Zamidars and th
occupancy tenants be compensated at the rate given to the Bhumidhars.

CHIEF DATA:

The Naib-Tehsildar, Land Acquisition through his report dated the 21st March, 1960 proposed a rate of Rs.2,250/- per bigha for Block No.1 situated on or near the Road and surrounding the village abadi. He proposed Rs.1,800/- per bigha for Chahi land of Block No.4. He thought Rs.1,200/- per bigha for Barani of Block No.3 and Rs.1,000/- for the low-lying area under brick-kilns or Rosli of Block No.2. The details of these blocks are given in his chief-data.

DEPARTMENTAL REPRESENTATION:

Through his letter No.39/L&E, dated April 6, 1960, the Deputy Commissioner (S), Municipal Corporation of Delhi wrote to say that the land under acquisition was quite undeveloped and the corporation would have to incur considerable amount in filling, levelling, dressing and developing that land. That he had no records of sale of land in the vicinity. That the fair price might be assessed according to rules.

FAIR & REASONABLE VALUE:

The land under acquisition was inspected by me on the 23rd January, 1959 with Sarvashri Amin Lal, Naib-Tehsildar and Jai Narain, Kanungo, Land Acquisition and again on the 25th April, 1960, with Shri Tek Chand, Naib-Tehsildar, Land Acquisition. The land was found to be either irrigated, barani or under bhatas. A piece of land was covered by the Mahesh Gardens. Some land out of it abuts on the Najafgarh Road, which has got more importance for building purposes than the rest. Some out of it is also situated near the Abadi and is actually under structures. The remaining area is used for agricultural purposes. It compares favourably with the land of Khayala. The character of the land of village Basaidarapur, which adjoi the boundary of Tatarpur has changed. There, the residentia point of view is quite prominent because the land vacant for that purpose by some of the per others such as D.L.F. are using it for a temporary measure by sinking some w

of price can This land is sit boundary of this village

It compares

notification under section 4. The persons intereste village are still tenaciously following their agricultural p Though it has got the impact of various colonies, but the land has not changed its character. The growing importance of the place from the residential point of view cannot be ignored altogether. It has some potential value and adaptability for building purposes. Broadly, the land can be categorised in two blocks. The land, which abuts on the road, partly under structures at present or which is the part of a colony forms Block No.1. The rest of it forms block No.II. In Block No.II, there are several categories - such as the land situated near the Road or abadi, because of its adaptability for residential purposes forms class 'A', Chahi land with wells, superior in production forms class 'B'. Next in importance comes the Rosli land, which is even and 'private-marghat' and is classed as 'C'. Next comes the land under brick-kilns or which ~~which~~ is situated in depression and is classed as 'D'. There is a piece of la transferred through registered Deed No.3242, executed on the 12th August, 1958, shown as 53 bighas 15 biswas in the deed, whereas the position differs in the revenue records. The corr position is given below:-

Given in the Regd.Deed.		Givenin the revenue re	
Field No.	Area. Big-Bis.	eld No.	Area. Big-Bis.
		/1	
3/12	3 - 19	3/12	3 - 19
3/11/1	2 - 11	3/1[illegible]/1	2 - 3
3/18	4 - 12	3/1	4 - 12
3/19	4 - 16	3/2	4 - 16
3/20	4 - 16	3/21	4 - 16
3/21	4 - 16	3/23	4 - 16
3/23	4 - 16	3/[illegible]	4 - 16
3/24	5 - 4	4/[illegible]	5 - 4
4/17/2	2 - 9	4/[illegible]	2 - 9
4/22/2	4 - 9	4/2	4 - 9
4/24/1	0 - 7	8/1	0 - 7
8/19/1	2 - 6	/1	2 - 6
4/16/2	2 - 9		2 - 9
9/21	1 - 12		1 - 12
	49 - 2		

The area of field number 11/

cords. It cannot be more than that, and certainly not 2 bighas 11 biswas as wrongly shown in the deed. 4/22/2 as shown in the deed is no number. The area as shown is 4 bighas 9 biswas, which corresponds to 4/24/2, which is the correct number. 8/19/1 is no number. There is no spliting of 8/19. It belongs to a different land-owner, Munshi Ram. Its area as shown in the deed i bighas 6 biswas, which corresponds to 8/18/1, which is the correct number. No rectangle number is given over 24. Its area as shown in the deed is 5 bighas 4 biswas, which corresponds to 3/24 belonging to the same land-owner and is correct. 3/22 falls in the series of the field numbers shown in the deed belonging to the same owner measuring 4 bighas 16 biswas and after adding this area the total comes to 53 bighas 10 biswas and this is the correct area, which should be taken into account. This was transferred for Rs.46,000.00 meaning thereby that the transferer accepted this amount towards the satisfaction of his claim because he did so voluntarily, without any permission of the Collector after the date of the notification under section 4 of the Act and he is not entitled to any more amount, therefore, it makes a category itself, which is classed as 'B' of block No.II, separate from others, for which Rs.46,000/- in a lump sum are hereby assessed and awarded.

The details of these block are given below:-

Block No.	Field Numbers.
I	9/6, 9/7, 9/13, 9/12/1 (10 biswas), 9/20/1, 9/20/ 9/19, 13/8/1 to 3, 13/11, 13/12, 14/16, 14/17, 14/24/1 measuring 42 bighas. 13 biswas.
II 'A'	9/4, 9/5, 9/8, 9/12/2 (4 bighas 6 biswas) 8/15, 8/14/1, 8/14/2, 8/13/1, 8/13/2, 8/1 8/22/2, 13/2, 13/3/1 to 4, 13/9/1-2, 13 14/15/2 measuring 74 bighas 13
'B'	1/16, 1/24, 1/25, 2/12, 2/13, 2/17, 2/1 2/19/1, 2/19/2, 2/20, 2/21, 2/22, 2/23 4/8, 4/9, 4/10, 4/11, 4/12, 4/13, 4/20, 4/ 4/25/2, 5/4, 5/5, 5/6, 5/7, 5/14, 5/15, 5/1 7/4, 7/53, 7/6, 7/7, 7/14, 7/15, 7/, 6/1, 7/1 7/25/1, 7/25/2, 7/5/1, 8/1, 8/2, 8/3, 8/4, 8 ✓ 8/8/2, 8/9, 8/10, 8/11, 8/12, 9/1, 9/ 9/

9/11, 9/

/22/1

land for

2 ent

tran

2,771.38

of a register

iation of price can

deed. This land is sit

the boundary of this village

Road. It compares favourably

ng on the road out of the land under

transaction has double advantage

situated

14/4/2,14/5,14/6/1,14/6/2,14/7
measuring 308 bighas 11 biswas.

Block I.
'C' 4/13,4/18,4/25/1,8/19,8/20,8/21,
measuring 23 bighas 13 biswas.

'D' 3/10,3/11/2,4/6,4/7/1,4/7/2,4/14/1,4/1
4/16/1,4/4/1,4/4/2,4/17/1, measuring
14 biswas.

The rental value and award No.872 have no bearing

During the quinquinneum preceding the da
notification under section 4 of the Act, the averag
bigha involved is given yearwise below:-

Sl.No.	Year.	Rate per
1.	4.9.52 to 31.12.52	Rs.1,4
2.	1953	Rs.1,36
3.	1954	Rs.1,0
4.	1955	Rs.1,325
5.	1956	Rs.1,303
6.	1.1.57 to 3.9.57	nil

The average for these years of all the sale transaction
kinds comes to Rs.1,196.31 nP. 12 transactions duly att
took place in respect of 294 bighas 7 biswas for Rs.3,39,
giving an average of Rs.1,156.12 nP., in respect of the t
of Banjar and Ghairmumkin land-s= situated on the others
the Najafgarh Road. Only one transaction is available i
of the transfer of 8 bighas 9 biswas of Rosli land for Rs.
at the rate of Rs.1,108.87 nP. through mutation No.312 ent
the basis of registered deed dated the 21st December,195
rate of Rosli is lower than that of the unirrigated area be
of the reason that the situation of land counts m
than its produce. There is a transaction about the transfer
7 bighas 4 biswas of Chahi land for Rs.19,954.00 @ Rs.2,771.38
through mutation No.291 took place on the basis of a register
deed dated the 7th April, 1955. The inflation of price can
be ruled out, in the registered deed. This land is sit
at the Southern end of the boundary of this villag
on the Najafgarh Road. It compares favourably
abutting on the road out of the land under
transaction has double advantage of
situated

of its being further ahead from the similarly situated land
under acquisition. Prices have appreciated since 1955. Jus
near the land of this type enjoying the frontage of the road
has been valued at ₨.3,500.00 per bigha in village Basaidarap
That land is situated more towards the city facing the Rajaur
Gardens. There is a sale transaction dated the 14th August 1
took place long after the date of the notification under se
4 out of the land under acquisition along the boundary of
Basaidarapur at the rate of ₨.863.85 nP. per bigha. The
transferer had stated that he was deceived and he never
transferred his land nor did he receive the considerati
He filed a suit to redress his grievances. A copy of th
registered deed was produced in this office. It bears th
endorsement of the Joint Sub-Registrar, Delhi dated the 14
August, 1959 that ₨.11,600/were admitted to have been pass
on to the transferer according to the deed and ₨.34,000.00
given to him in the shape of currency notes. He cannot so
wriggle out, but the rates are of-course fantastically low
the actual amount passed on before the Joint Sub-Registrar
Whatever might have actually happened, ~~but~~ the fact remain
that the transaction took place long after the date of the
notification in this case and is, therefore, not relevant
purposes of valuation in case of others. A bulk of the ev
is about the copies of registered Deed Nos.3353 and 4590,
took place after the date of the notification and are, th
not dependable in this case. Other transactions are in r
of the transfer of small plots in the Mahesh Gardens, s
for abadi purposes. Such like transactions are never
as a criterian to assess the valuation of land in genera
value of Chahi land was kept at 1½ times of Rosli land du
Consolidation of Holdings and in the Land Reforms Rules
claims of the persons interested are exorbitant. The
officer quoted no definite rate. The rates proposed
Naib-Tehsildar, Land Acquisition are on low side. We

contd.

view the claims of the persons interested, the evidence produc by them, the chief-data provided by the Naib-Tehsildar, Land Acquisition, the departmental representation, the material available on the file and my own observation of the spot, I consider a rate of Rs.2,900/- per bigha for Block No.I, Rs.2,400/- per bigha for Category 'A', Rs.1,800/- per bigha for Category 'B', Rs.1,200/- per bigha for Category 'C', Rs.800/- per bigha for Category 'D' and a lump sum of Rs.46,000/ for Category 'E' (of Block No.II) cosisting of field numbers given earlier, as fair and reasonable and award accordingly.

SEVERANCE:

The land under acquisition is situated in a compact block on the Najafgarh Road and any question of severance does not arise.

WELLS:

The matter about the valuation of wells was referre to the Municipal Corporation of Delhi, to get these assessed through its Engineers. There are persian-wheels and an engin installed on the wells, which are removeable and are, therefor not assessed to any valuation. The Assistant Engineer, Municipal Corporation of Delhi, who worked out the estimates of structures and wells forgot to do so completely in a few cases where he left out ~~the~~ [illegible] other items, such as water-trough, parchha, water-course, raised platforms etc. on or near the wel The details are given below:-

Sl. No.	Field No.	Rate proposed by the Assistant Engineer.	Compensation for items not assessed by the Asstt.Engr.	Total.
1.	5/15	Rs.761.00	Rs.308.00 for a water-trough, water-course and parchha.	Rs.1,069.0
2.	[illegible]/5/1	Rs.314.00	Rs.50.00 for parchha	Rs. 364.0
3.	[illegible]6/1	Rs.611.00	Rs.25.00 for water-trough.	Rs. 636.0
4.	7/[illegible]/1	Rs.675.00	--	Rs. 675.0
5.	8/7	Rs.920.00	--	Rs. 920.0
6.	8/6/[illegible]	Rs.404.00	--	Rs. 404.00
7.	3/11/[illegible]	Rs.447.00	--	Rs. 44
8.	4/24/1	Rs.344.00	--	Rs. 3
9.	4/25/1	Rs.643.00	--	Rs. 6
10.	9/9	Rs.796.00	--	

claim, they are entitled to no compensation.

There are some lease-holders, in case of which a
unas been given to adjust their means and there had
is-possession not even upto now. They are, therefor
d to any compensation.

About field numbers 9/19, and 9/20, Sarvashri Nand
/o Lakhi Ram demanded compensation of Rs.16,000/-
15,500/- for two wells and structures. They
statement on the 21st January, 1960 that they sold
a out of it in favour of Shri Parwati Devi, but the
been sanctioned in her favour. She has put in a cla
[illegible] 12,200 sq.yards out of field numbers 9/20/2 and
f Rs.18.00 per sq.yard. 16 biswas each out of f
and 9/19 are shown in the cultivation of Jagd
35.00 as annual rental. 1 bigha out of 9/19 is shown
possession of Smt.Jai Devi alias Jai Dai wd/o Dauri
shad lessee has also put in his claim and said to
uctures inhabiting 15 persons therein. Smt.Jai
aim that she constructed 8 shops for one Laks
demanded compensation at the rate of Rs.30
,55,000.00, which might not be acquired
is said to have been constructed in
of the notification under section.
haram Chand, Nawal Sir Bhim Sir
shan Dev, Yad Ram, Bal
each and have erected
2000.00 each. Th

11.	2/1	Rs.854.00	---	Rs.854
12.	5/	Rs.783.00	Rs.250.00 for parchha, water-trough, water-course, and raised platform.	Rs.1033
13.	2/18/3	Rs.642.00	---	Rs.642
14.	4/7/2	Rs.613.00	---	Rs.613.
15.	14/4/1	Rs.375.00	---	Rs.375.00
16.	14/6/2	Rs.694.00	Rs.10.00 for a parchha.	Rs.704.00
17.	/8/7	x	Rs.8.00 for a small dilapidated reservoir.	Rs.8.00
				Rs.10

I have no reason to differ from the expert opinion of D.N. Tandon, Assistant Engineer, Municipal Corporation about the valuation of wells and about other items I a valuation according to my observation and hereby award Rs.10,527.00 nP for all the wells and other items.

TREES:

There are many trees in the land under acquisition, which the Naib-Tehsildar proposed Rs.239.50 nP. The deta given below:-

Sl.No.	Field No.	Proposal of the Naib-Te
1.	2/23	Rs. 80.00
2.	7/5/1	Rs. 37.50
3.	7/5/2	Rs. 16.00
4.	7/16/1 and 8/12	Rs. 16.00
5.	7/24	Rs. 30.00
6.	4/4/1 and /6/2	Rs. 52.00
7.		

e no re

hic

	9/121	Sh.Net Ram s/o Sh. Khushi Ram.	Rs.680.00 ✓	
2.	919	Smt.Jai Devi wd/o Sh.Dauri Lal.	Rs.6,217.00 ✓	
	9/19	Sarvashri Jagdish Parsad,Ram Chander ss/o Dauri Lal.	Rs.691.00 ✓	Sarvashri Mala Ram s/ Sheo Kishan,Dharam Cha s/o Milga,Naval Kishor s/o Kishen Chand,Bhim Har Gain ss/o Devi Sing (2), Dalu Ram s/o Mansa Bhalla Ram s/o Mehnga Ra Smt.Kishen Devi wd/o Bal Ram,Maharaj Singh, Kheta Ram s/o ~~Xxxgxxxxxx~~, Mehar Ram, Maru Singh,Budh Sing (one), Sadique s/o Ali,Prabhu s/o Mana entitled to the amount o Rs.691.00 in equal shares assessed for katcha huts
4.	-do-	-do-	Rs.1,968.00 ✓	
5.	9/20/1/ /2&3	.Ram Chander /o Sh.Net Ram.	Rs. 475.00 ✓	
6.	9/20/1/1	Sugan s/o Nahalu	Rs. 188.00 ✓	
7.	9/20/1/4	Mohar Singh s/o Chhrman.	Rs.5,374.00 ✓	
8.	9/20/1/5.	Sh Chander s/o Um ao.	Rs.2,957.00 ✓	
		ansa Ram s/o aj.	Rs.4,632.00 ✓	
		am s/o	Rs. 148.00 ✓	
		n, /oLakhi.	Rs. 383.00 ✓	
		Parsad Ranjit.	Rs. 575.00 ✓	
			Rs.1,725.00 ✓	
			07.00	

Block.	Area Big-Bis.	Rate p bigha.	Amount of compensation.
	42 - 13	Rs.2,900.00	Rs.1,23,685.00
II-Category 'A'	74 - 13	Rs.2,400.00	Rs.1,79,160.00
II-Category'B'	308 - 11	Rs.1,800.00	Rs.5,55,390.00
II-Category'C'	23 - 13	Rs.1,200.00	Rs. 28,380.00
II-Category'D'	34 - 14	Rs. 800.00	Rs. 27,760.00
6. II-Category'E'	53 - 10	A lump sum of Rs.46,000.00	Rs. 46,000.00

Add compensation for wells and other allied items	Rs. 10,527.00
Add compensation for trees.	Rs. 239.50 nP
Add compensation for structures.	Rs. 26,013.00 nP
	Rs.9,97,154.50 nP.
Add 15% towards compulsory acquisition cost:	Rs.1,49,573.18 nP.
Add compensation for Maufi.	Rs. 1,620.20 nP.
GRAND TOTAL:-	Rs.11,48,347.88 nP

LAND REVENUE DEDUCTION:

An amount of Rs.104.48 Np. of Land Revenue, Rs.16.00 of water rate (abiana-chahat) and Rs.81.01 of Maufi will be deducted from the respective items of village Tatarpur from the harvest next following the one in which possession is taken over the land.

(Murari Singh)
Land Acquisition Collector: Delhi.
28.4.1960.

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner Delhi (Collector of the District) for information.

(Murari Singh)
Land Acquisition Collector: Delhi
28.4.60.

4/5/60

कार्यवाही कब्जा एवार्ड नं० 1005 मौजा तातारपुर तहसील [illegible] दिल्ली।

~~आज~~ कल दिनांक 5 6/72 को बमौजूब प्रोग्राम कब्जा एराजी एक्वायर [illegible] बजरिये एवार्ड नं० 1005 मौजा तातारपुर बमय नायब अहलकार श्री [illegible] नायब तहसीलदार व हरदेवसिंह कानूनगो एल.ए. व भूमि तथा भवन [illegible] की ओर से श्री के. आर. सहगल मौके पर गये। डिमोलेशन स्क्वाड दिल्ली पुलीस व श्री आर. एन. बत्रा Executive officer व [illegible] के. एल. त्यागी तहसीलदार व डी. डी. वर्मा तहसीलदार [illegible] पर मौजूद थे। डिमोलेशन का काम आज दिनांक 6/6/72 जारी रहा और आज ही डिमोलेशन के बाद कब्जा एराजी खसरा नम्बरान 9/20/1 मि. , 9/20/2 - 9/21 - 9/19 मि. कुल तादादी (1-09) (1-16) (1-12) (2-11) (7-08) कब्जा बजरिये डिमोलेशन पुलीस जिसमें भारतीय [illegible] को बजरिये पुलीस [illegible] हवाले श्री के. आर. सहगल [illegible] तहसीलदार भूमि तथा भवन विभाग को किया गया। मौके पर बवक्त लेने कब्जा कोई रुकावट नहीं आई क्योंकि [illegible] मौके पर इन्सान नहीं मिला क्योंकि कब्जा कार्यवाही की एक नकल तहसीलदार [illegible] बराये [illegible] जानिब [illegible] को भिजवाई जावे।

ह० तहसील. 6/6/72

Tehsildar
6/6/72

Possession of the above land handed over to officers of the DDA, mentioned above, simultaneously, for protection + development.

K.R. Sahgal
6/6/72

Taken over
Tehs. D.D.A
6/6/72

6/6

bell