

Subject:- Acquisition of land for construction of Septic Tanks at V.Khampur and Basidarapur.

This is a case for acquisition of land measuring 189 bighas 9 biswas i.e. 39.48 acres situated in V.Khampur and Basidarapur for the construction of Septic Tanks. The notification No.F. (11)/52-MT&CE dated the 19th September, 1952, was issued under the provisions of Section 3 of the Resettlement of Displaced Persons(Land Acquisition) Act, 1948. The same was widely published in the locality on 26.10.52 and notices U/s 4 of the Act were served on the persons interested in the land. The objections received thereafter were duly considered and dismissed by me on 4.4.53.

MEASUREMENT AND CLASSIFICATION

Land measuring 76 bighas 17 biswas out of 189 bighas 9 biswas is situated in village Khampur and is classified as under:-

Rosli	Bighas -	2	Biswas
Banjer Jadid	8 "	5	
Banjer Qadim	6 "	9	
Gairmunkin	62 "	1	

CLAIMANTS

The following are the claimants:-

Sarvshri

1. Bhanwar Singh) ss/o Yadu Caste Rajput r/o V.Khampur
2. Pritam Singh)
3. Dule Chand)
4. Beg Ram s/o Bharta " "
5. Mst Niadari w/o Jhanda " "
6. Ram Das s/o Jawahara " "
- 7- Shankar s/o Khushia " "
8. Piarey s/o Sultan " "
- 9- Ram Kishan s/o Ragnath " "
10. Mst Kishan Devi w/o Bhima " "
11. Bhikan s/o Kishan Sahari " "
12. Chander Man s/o Dhani Ram " "
13. Mst Sukh Devi w/o Balley " "
14. Phool Singh s/o Khushali " "
15. Kabul s/o Niadar " "
16. Rajinder) ss/o Ram Chander "
17. Rohtas)

Khbir s/o Bega

Rajput, r/o V. Khampur

Patti Ram s/o Kallu

"

"

Sunshi

Ballu

Jitu

Chattar Singh

Phool Singh

ss/o Shadi

"

"

Mst Lachmi w/o Nathu

"

"

Chajju

Marey

ss/o Gopal

"

"

Shamlat Deh - Shamlat Patti Ude Ram $\frac{1}{2}$ share - Shamlat Patti
Kure $\frac{1}{2}$

M/s Sardar Bhatta Co Chabiganj, Kashmere Gate, Delhi.

Hans Bhatta Co, Chunna Mandi, Pahargunj, New Delhi.

CLAIM

The claimants through Shri Harbans Singh Tiagi Vakil claimed a compensation at Rs.20/- per sq.yd vide a written statement dated 4.6.53 intending that the same was the minimum compensation acceptable to them. They have also claimed damages equal to the market price of land at the time of issue of the aforesaid notification saying that they were rendered landless due to this acquisition. They have also prayed that prices of land should be paid according to the current market rates not according to the rates in the year 1939. They have adduced no evidence though they were granted an opportunity to do so.

CONCLUSION

As per report of the patwari the persons interested have held this land from before 1st September 1939. As provided in Section 7(e) of the Resettlement of Displaced Persons (Land Acquisition) Act, 1948 the valuation is to be assessed as the market value of land on the date of notification or on the 1st day of September, 1939, with an addition of 40% whichever is less. Enquiries made reveal that Banjer Jadid, Banjer Qadim & gairmumkin lands in this village carry the same value.

According to the statements of sale prepared by the Patwari, the average sale price of the land in this village is as below:-

Classification of land	Average cost of land arrived at from sale transactions which took place from 1.3.48 to 19.9.52	Average cost of land arrived at from the sale transactions which took place in the year 1937 to 1939 plus 40%
	Rs.4734/4/4 per bigha	Rs.304/10/7 plus 40% = Rs.426/8/5 say 430/- per bigha
	Rs.5401/- per bigha	Rs.384/10/8 plus 40% = Rs.538/6/6 say 540/- per "

It will thus appear that average sale price on 1.9.39 plus 40% is less and the compensation is therefore to be awarded accordingly. The claim of the claimants very much exceeds the above-mentioned sale prices and is therefore not reasonable. In view of the above and according to the provisions of Section 7(e) of the Act, the compensation to be offered for the acquired land would be as below:-

Compensation for 2 biswas of Rosli land at Rs.430/- per bigha	Rs. 43-0-0
Compensation for 76 bighas 15 biswas of Banjer and Gair-mumkin land at Rs.540/- per bigha	41445-0-0
Total:	41488-0-0

TREES

There is one Pepal tree standing on the land under acquisition, according to the statements prepared by the Patwari, the wood has been estimated to 7 maunds. The current rate of wood is Rs.2/- per maund. I, therefore propose Rs.14/- as compensation for this Pepal tree.

WELL

There is a well owned by the owners of Shamlat Deh. The claimants have not claimed for this well. I have awarded Rs.1200/- with 10% depreciation for a well situated in the adjoining village Basidarapur and I propose the same for this well.

According to the statements prepared by the Patwari the following structures are situated on this land:-

1. 40 Labour huts owned by M/s Sardar Bhatta Co.
2. 35 labour huts owned by M/s Hans Bhatta Co.
3. One office and two quarters of M/s Hans Bhatta Co.

1&2 M/s Sardar Bhatta Co. have claimed Rs.50/- for eight huts each. The Executive Engineer was asked to verify this and he suggested that, as these huts would be of no use to Government and the brick kiln owners might remove them, no amount should be allowed to them on this account. This is not reasonable as these huts were of full benefit. I allow Rs.10/- per hut considering depreciation at

Rate for 40 huts owned by M/s Sardar Bhatta Co and 35 labour huts owned by M/s Hans Bhatta Co is allowed.

3. M/s Hans Bhatta Co, the owners of the office building and quarters have claimed Rs.26,600/- as compensation including loss, lease of land and the brick kiln acquired from them. The Executive Engineer has estimated Rs.1320/- as the estimated cost of similar building owned by Shri Vishwa Nath Chokha with a depreciation of 24/25 ^{in a} ~~from one~~ rupee saying that this building was to be used for one year more out of 25 years the age of the building. This method of assessment is not justified. The reasonable compensation would be the cost of building with a deduction of reasonable depreciation. I am of opinion that Rs.1320/- is the reasonable value as worked out by the Engineer and allow a deduction of 20% as depreciation.

The brick kiln owners have included Rs.5000/- as the price of the earth which could be removed by them from the land of which lease period ~~was not~~ ^{had not} expired. The claimants have not adduced any evidence in support of this claim; the question of allowing compensation on this account does not arise.

INTEREST

The possession of the land was transferred to the department concerned on 16.11.53. The persons interested are entitled to simple interest to be levied at 6% per annum on the awarded compensation from 16.11.53 to the date of payment.

The compensation to be offered to the persons interested is summarised as under:-

Compensation for land	Rs. 41,488-0-0
Compensation for one pipal tree	14-0-0
Compensation for well	1,080-0-0
Compensation for 75 labour huts at Rs.10/- each	750-0-0
Compensation for office building and 2 quarters	1,056-0-0
Total:	44,388-0-0
Interest at 6% p.a from 16.11.53 to 54	1,331-10-0
Total	45,719-10-0

(Satish Chandra)
Collector, Delhi.

12/3

Award no 732

Subject:- Acquisition of land for construction of Septic Tanks at V.Khampur & Basidarapur

This is a case for acquisition of land measuring 9 biswas i.e. 39.48 acres situated in V.Khampur for the construction of Septic Tanks. The notification F.15(ii)/52-MT&CE dated the 19th September, 1952 under the provisions of section 3 of the Resettlement of Displaced Persons (Land Acquisition) Act, 1948. The notification was published in the locality on 26.10.52 and notices under the Act were served on the persons interested in the land. The objections received thereafter were duly considered and dismissed by me on 4.4.53.

MEASUREMENT & CLASSIFICATION

Land measuring 112 bighas 12 biswas out of 189 bighas 9 biswas is situated in V.Basidarapur and is classified as follows:-

Banjer Qadim	8 bighas 9 biswas
Gairmumkin	104 " 3 "
Total:	112 " 12 "

CLAIMANTS

The following are the claimants:-

Sarvshri

1. Khazan s/o Baldev, Caste Taga, r/o Basidarapur
2. Chhajju s/o Chunni "
3. Jag Ram } ss/o Bhola, "
4. Hari Singh } "
5. Deba Ram } ss/o Sher Singh, "
6. Bhagwan Sahai } "
7. Subh Ram } "
8. Ram Phool s/o Beg Raj "
9. Balkishan } ss/o Hukam, "
10. Tilak Ram } "
11. Tej Ram s/o Baldev, Caste Ahir, r/o Shakurpur
12. Bishan s/o Shoe Ram "
13. Teka } ss/o Nanwan, Gujar, r/o V.Lampur
14. Lekha }

267 5539

(2)

Ghet Ram, Gujar, r/o-V.Lampur.

akira

ss/o Jagat Singh, Taga, V.Basidarapur.

ss/o Shiam Singh

ss/o Bhim Singh

s/o Bahal Singh

widow of Kalu Singh

ss/o Bhuri Singh

s/o Nawal Singh

inta Devi mother of Tekha

s/o Khazana

s/o Ganga Sahai

jjan Singh s/o Sulhar

ss/o Ude Ram

Chander) ss/o Mir Singh
Sarup)

w/o Anti

t s/o Siri Ram

anu w/o Darya Singh

he Lal) ss/o Balwant
kshmi Chand)

ghnath s/o Phool Singh

okma s/o Kallu

hwari) ss/o Tirkha
rjit)

nam Singh s/o Chhellu

ad Ram)
ishamber)
m Kishan) ss/o Ram Das
i Kishan)
khi)

oneta s/o Tara Chand

am Sarup) ss/o Hardyal
Rughnath)

athu s/o Ramjas

nsi s/o Jai Sukh

Handi w/o Ram Sarup

60. Debi Sahai s/o Jah... , Taga, r/o Basidarapur
61. Dharam Singh)
62. Bhagwana) ss/o Ram Gopal, Brahman, r/o Basida
63. Panna Lal)
64. Rama Nand)
65. Piarey Lal)
66. Raghbar Dayal) ss/o Ramji Lal, " "
67. Des Raj)
68. Ram Sarup s/o Lakhi Ram, Saini "
69. Asa Ram s/o Pranu Mall, Vaish Aggarwal, "
70. Mansa Ram s/o Harphool Singh, " "
71. Harbans Singh s/o Lal Singh, Taga, Advocate, Delhi
72. M/s Gujran Wala Brick Kiln Co. Qarol Bagh, Delhi.
73. M/s Sardar Bhatta Co. Kashmiri Gate, Delhi
74. Shri Wishwa Nath Chokra, Brick Kiln Owner, Qarol Bagh

CLAIM

The claimants No.1 to 68 through Shri Harbans Singh T Vakil claimed a compensation at Rs.20/- per sq.yd vide a written statement dt 4.6.53 contending that the same was the minimum compensation acceptable to them. They have also claimed compensation equal to the market price of the land at the time of issuance of the aforesaid notification saying that they were rendered homeless due to this acquisition. They have also prayed that they should be paid the present market price of the land and that the compensation might not be worked out on the basis of the rates prevailing in year 1939. They have not adduced any evidence, though they were granted an opportunity to do so. Claimants No.69 & 70 vide a written statement dated 29.5.53 claimed a compensation amounting to Rs.15,000/- for the land measuring one bigha which was held by them vide a registered sale deed dated 21.12.51. They put up an amended claim for Rs.5,990/- on 23.10.53, with the following details:-

1. Consideration money paid to the vendee in the presence of Sub Registrar
2. Registration & Stamp charges
3. Brokerage
4. Levelling & filling of the land
5. Interest at the rate of 6% from the date of purchase.

Rs.4,500/-

150/-

50/-

750/-

540/-

Total

5,990/-

support of item No.4 they have produced Shri Ranbir Singh, a Pvt. Contractor who stated before me on 26.10.53 that he had received Rs.750/- for levelling the land of the claimants. One Shri Mangat Ram of Khari Baoli stated on 26.10.53 that he received 50/- for the striking of this bargain of land. The claimant No.69 Shri Asa Ram stated on that date that he did not like to go to litigation and wished that the compensation amounting to Rs.5,450/- along with the permissible interest spent by him might be paid to him in lieu of the land acquired from them. According to note of Patwari dated 5.2.54, claimant No.71, Shri Harbans Singh Tiagi is the owner of land measuring 6 bighas 14 biswas ~~if land~~ purchased by him vide a registered sale deed dated 28.1.54 i.e. about one year and a half after land was notified for acquisition vide the above mentioned notification dated 19.9.52. As he purchased this land after the date of notification the question of serving him with the notices U/s 3 & 7 does not arise. Claimants No.72,73 and 74 are the owners of brick kilns situated on the land to be acquired. They have claimed a compensation amounting to Rs.1,157/8/-, Rs.1,13,773/- and Rs.59,604/8/- respectively. As these claims included construction work of brick kiln office, quarters and labour, these were forwarded to the Executive Engineer, Rehabilitation Division No.II who worked out the assessment of the structures ~~ratim~~.

These claimants adduced no evidence but represented against the method of working out the depreciation suggested by the Executive Engineer contending that the land was already on lease with them and could get more land if their brick kilns were not acquired.

CONCLUSION

As per report of the patwari, the persons interested no 1 to 68 held the land from before the 1st September 1953. As provided in Section 7(e) of the Resettlement of Displaced Persons (Land Acquisition) Act, 1948, the compensation is to be assessed as the market value of land on the date of notification or on the 1st day of September 1953 with an addition of 40% whichever is less. Enquiries made at Banjer Qadim and Gairmumkin in this village carries the same value according to the statement of Sale prepared by the Patwari, the value of the land in this village is as below:-

Classification of land.	Average sale price of land as arrived at from sale transactions which took place from 1.3.48 to 19.9.52	Average sale price of land arrived at from the sale transaction which took place in year 1937 to 1939 plus 40%
Banjer Qadim	Rs.1952/4/9 per bigha	Rs.102/7/8 per bigha plus equal to Rs.143/7/8 say Rs.150/- per bigha kham.

Gairmumkin

It will thus appear that the average sale price on 1.9.39 plus 40% is less and the compensation is therefore to be awarded accordingly. The claim of the claimants very much exceeds the above mentioned sale prices and is therefore not reasonable. In view of the above and according to the provisions of Section of the Act the compensation to be offered for the acquired land is as below:-

Compensation for 104 bighas 8 biswas of land owned by claimant No.1 to 68 at Rs.150/- per bigha

Rs.15,660/-

Claimant No.69 & 70 are the owners of land measuring one bigha 10 biswas. They purchased this land vide a registered sale deed dated 21.12.51 i.e 9 months prior to the date of notification registration. They spent Rs.950/- more by way of levelling and brokerage. The claimant No.69 stated that he was prepared to receive compensation amounting to Rs.5,450/- actually spent by him. His demand is reasonable, and I propose the same to be offered to these claimants.

Claimant No.71 is the owner of 6 bighas 14 biswas. He purchased the land vide a registered sale deed dated 28.1.51 one year and a half after the issue of notification. The transaction is not to be believed and the person interested to receive the compensation payable to the vendee i.e. at Rs.150/- per bigha awarded to claimants No.1 to 68. The amount of compensation to be offered to this claimant would therefore be Rs.1005/-

Claimant No.72, M/s Gujran Wala Brick Kiln Co. claimed compensation of Rs.59,157/8/- under the following heading

P.T.O.

Qui-
market
of September
ade real
same value.
the average

Cost of brick kiln	Rs. 15,023-8-0
Cost of office room etc	1,389-0-0
Cost of well	1,200-0-0
Cost of huts for labour	2,000-0-0
Cost of lease of land	9,250-0-0
Water courses	300-0-0
Truck courses	2,000-0-0
Iron equipments	2,000-0-0
Loss of removal of bricks	13,000-0-0
Loss on brick bats	3,000-0-0
Loss of good-will	10,000-0-0

Total: 59,157-8-0

COST OF BRICK KILN

The Executive Engineer has estimated Rs. 8,181/- as compensation for this brick kiln with a depreciation of 4/5 saying that this kiln was to be made for one year more as there was no earth for bricks with the brick kiln owner as represented by the claimant. The earth was sufficient for running the brick kiln for a further period of three years. The claimant has also contended that the land was available for brick work for many years more. The method of depreciation suggested by the Executive Engineer is not justified. The reasonable compensation would be the cost of structures with a reasonable depreciation. I am of opinion that Rs. 8,181/- as estimated by the Executive Engineer is the reasonable value with a deduction of 4/5 as depreciation.

b) The claimant has represented that Rs. 14,92/- the cost of levelling 37,820 c.ft at Rs. 17/- per thousand cft according to the P.W.D. Rule of rate page 10 has not been commented upon and included in the estimate of the Executive Engineer. Rs. 11,49/8/- have been demanded by the claimant in his claim and he has amended this claim in his presentation. I am of opinion that Rs. 500/- would be the reasonable compensation with no depreciation and allow this amount to the claimant.

COST OF OFFICE ROOM ETC

The Executive Engineer has worked out Rs. 1,060/- against the Rs. 1,389/- demanded by the claimant with depreciation of 24/25 in a rupee saying that this structure was to be used for only one year more. This method discussed above is not justified. The reasonable compensation would be the cost of building minus the deduction by way of depreciation. I am of opinion that Rs. 1,060/- is the reasonable value as worked out by the Executive Engineer with a deduction of 20% as depreciation.

3. COST OF WELL

Rs.350/- with a deduction of 5/6 in the rupee have been suggested against Rs.1200/- claimed by the claimant on this account for the reasons discussed at item no.1. The perusal of the assessment note reveals that Rs.350/- are only the charges and no amount has been allowed for the material for the construction of well. Keeping in view the average cost of a well and the depreciation I have allowed Rs.1080/- for a well and I allow the same for this also.

4. COST OF LABOUR HUTS

Rs.2000/- have been claimed at Rs.50/- per hut for 40 labour huts. The statement prepared by the patwari shows that there were only 35 labour huts owned by this claimant. I have allowed Rs.10/- per hut for similar huts situated on the land under acquisition ~~situated~~ in village Khampur. I allow the same for this claimant.

5. LEASE OF LAND

The claimants have claimed Rs.9250/- as the lease money paid by them to the owners of land for different periods for land to be used by them as brick fields. The perusal of copies of receipts produced by the claimant show that these lease deeds were registered as required under the law. They cannot claim any damages on the basis of these documents. I therefore see no reasonability in allowing compensation on this account.

6. WATER COURSES

Rs.300/- have been claimed, for the water courses constructed by them for taking the water from the well to the brick fields. The amount claimed is exorbitant. I am of opinion that labour can construct similar water courses in five days. I therefore allow Rs.10/- as the labour charges on this account.

7, 9 & 10 TRUCK TRACK - LOSS OF REMOVAL OF BRICKS & LOSS OF BATS

Rs.18,000/- have been claimed by the claimant on this account. The Executive Engineer has stated that the owner should sell the bricks in a reasonable time and he would not allow this removal of bricks and use of this tracks in that

circumstances, no compensation is therefore to be awarded.
claimant may approach the Executive Engineer for allowing him
time for this purpose.

OF IRON EQUIPMENT

000/- have been claimed by the claimant against the construction
chimneys contending that these chimneys would be of no use if
removed from the site saying that they had been got constructed
to the size of the brick kiln. The Executive Engineer has
Rs.100/- as the salvage value saying the Government would not
these chimneys. The view of the Executive Engineer is not
advised, but the amount claimed is exorbitant as these chimneys have
been used for three or four years. In the circumstance, I am of opinion
Rs.200/- is the reasonable value and is allowed.

OF GOODWILL

10,000/- have been claimed by the claimant on that account. The
notification was issued on 19th September 1952 and the possession of the
business has been taken over on 16.11.53. The firm must have started some
business. They could give publicity to their goodwill. Moreover
there are no provisions for entertaining any claim. The claim on this
is unreasonable and ignored. The compensation allowed to the
claimant No.72 is summarised as below:-

Sl. NO	1	2	3	4	5	6	8
Allowed	Rs.7,863/-	Rs.848/-	Rs.1080/-	Rs.350/-	Rs.-/-	Rs.10/-	Rs.200/-
	7,9,10 & 11	total: Rs.10,351/-					
	Nil						

Claimants No.73 & 74 are similar to that of claim No.72. I have
referred to the claim No.72. The following statement will show
the compensation allowed to claimant No.73 & 74

Claimant No.73

the item in the	Amount claimed	Amount assessed by Ex.Engineer	Amount awarded for reasons given in the discussion for claimant No.72
	2	2	3
of brick kiln No. including 1400/-the of one well	Rs.15423/-	for brick kiln Rs.7267. for well Rs.614/-(with a deduction of 5/6 in a rupee	Rs.7,267/- with a deductio of 10% as depreciation i. Rs.6,540/50- plus Rs.500/- for dressing charges, Well - Rs.1200/- with 20% deprec tion i.e Rs.960/-

1	2	3	4
2. Cost of brick kiln No. II including Rs. 900/- for construction of well	Rs. 9,194/-	Rs. 4,104/- for brick kiln, 684/- for well (with a deduction of 5/6 in a rupee)	Rs. 4,104/- with a deduction of 10% depreciation i.e. 3,693/10/- plus Rs. 1200/- with 50% depreciation i.e. Rs. 600/-
3. Cost of establishment buildings	6,041/-	Rs. 3,900/- with a deduction of 24/25 in a rupee	Rs. 3,900/- with a deduction of 20% i.e. Rs. 3,120/-
4. Cost of well No. 3	500/-	Rs. 202/- with a deduction of 5/6 in a rupee	It is a kucha well Rs. 400/- with a deduction of 50% i.e. Rs. 200/-
5. Cost of labours' huts	5,500/-	Nil	Compensation award in V. Khampur Raya
6. Water courses	250/-	"	Rs. 20/-
7. Truck Tracks	2,000/-	"	Nil
8. Iron equipments	3,000/-	Salvage value Rs. 150/-	Rs. 400/-
9. Interest in land	13,875/-	Nil	Nil
10. Loss in removing of bricks	39,000/-	"	"
11. Loss on brickbats	9,000/-	"	"
12. Loss on Goodwill	10,000/-	"	"
	Rs. 1,13,773/-		Rs. 16,033/-

The claimant has claimed Rs. 1600/- as cost of construction of 8 lime kilns in addition to the claim of claimant No. 72. The Executive Engineer has not commented on this claim. I am of opinion that kilns have been worked by the claimant for 5/6 years and the compensation claimed is exorbitant. Rs. 80/- per kiln with a depreciation of 20% is reasonable value is allowed. Thus the total amount of compensation to be offered to the claimant would be Rs. 16,545/15/-.

CLAIMANT NO. 74

1	2	3	4
Item	Amount claimed	Amount assessed by the Ex. Eng.	Amount awarded on reasons given in case of claimant No. 72
Cost of brick kiln	Rs. 15,018/8/-	Rs. 8,181/- with a deduction of 5/6 in a rupee	Rs. 8,181/- with a deduction of 10% depreciation i.e. 7,363/- plus Rs. 50 for dresh
Cost of office etc	986/-	Rs. 1320/- with a deduction of 24/25 in a rupee	Rs. 1300/- with a deduction of 20% depreciation i.e. 924/-

r/o V. L. L.

1	2	3	4
of huts for	Rs.2500/-	Nil	Rs.10/- per hut for 30 huts as reported by patwari i.e Rs.300/-
of land	10,800/-	"	Nil
for courses	300/-	"	Rs.10/-
for Tracks	2,000/-	"	Nil
equipment	2,000/-	Rs.100/-	Rs.200/-
of removal	13,000/-	Nil	Nil
on brickbats	3,000/-	"	"
on goodwill	10,000/-	"	"
	<u>59,604/8/-</u>		<u>Rs.9,297/-</u>

The amount to be offered to this claimant would be Rs.9,297/-.

TREES

There are no trees on the land under acquisition the question of giving any compensation on this account does not arise.

OCCUPANCY TENANTS

Land measuring 6 big as 5 biswas is under the occupation of Shri Ram Sarup occupancy tenant U/s 5 of Act, XVI of 1887. He will share the compensation (10 annas in the rupee) for this land with the land owners.

INTEREST

The possession of this land was transferred to the department on 16.11.53, the persons interested are entitled to interest levied at 6% p.a on the compensation money from 16.11.53, the date of taking over possession to the date of payment.

The compensation to be offered to the persons interested is arised as under:-

Compensation for land owned by claimants No.1 to 68	Rs.15,660/-
Compensation to be paid to claimants No.69 & 70	5,450/-
Compensation to claimant No.71	1,005/-
" " 72	10,351/-
" " 73	16,545/15/
" " 74	9,297/-
Total	<u>58,308/15/</u>

Interest at 6% p.a from 16.11.53 to 16.5.54

Total:

1,749/ 4/-
60,058/ 3/-

(Satish Chandra)
Collector, Delhi.

STATE SECRETARIAT, DELHI

Dated the 19th September, 1952.

NOTIFICATION.

No. F.15(11)/52- MT&CE- Whereas it appears to the Chief Commissioner of Delhi, that it is necessary to acquire speedily land for the resettlement of displaced persons by the Government at the public expense it is hereby notified that the land specified below is to be acquired for construction of Septic Tanks in Patel Nagar on the seventh day after the date of publication of this Notification.

This notification is made under the provision of Section 3 of the Resettlement of Displaced persons (Land Acquisition) Act, 1948 to all whom it may concern.

Any person interested in the land who has any objection to the acquisition may file an objection in writing before the Commissioner, Delhi.

SPECIFICATION.

S.No.	total area		Field numbers.			
	Big.	Bis.				
1	112	12	1139, 1140, 1141, 1142			
2			1143, 1144, 3261, 3262			
3			1146, 1148, 1145, 1149, 1150			
4			1151, 2927, 2928, 1153			
5			1154, 1155, 1152, 1156, 1157			
6			1158, 1159, 1160, 1161			
7			1162, 1163, 1164, 1165, 1166			
8			748, 742, 674, 745, 12			
9			13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19			
10			751, 803, 51, 52, 53, 54			
11			55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60			
12			61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66			
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
29						
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						
35						
36						
37						
38						
39						
40						
41						
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						
48						
49						
50						
51						
52						
53						
54						
55						
56						
57						
58						
59						
60						
61						
62						
63						
64						
65						
66						
67						
68						
69						
70						
71						
72						
73						
74						
75						
76						
77						
78						
79						
80						
81						
82						
83						
84						
85						
86						
87						
88						
89						
90						
91						
92						
93						
94						
95						
96						
97						
98						
99						
100						

By Order.

Sd- (K.K. Sharma)
Secretary to Delhi State Government
Local Self Govt. Department, Delhi.

P. 1511/52-MT&CE

Dated the 19th Sept., 52.

Copy forwarded to:-

1. The Land Acquisition Collector, Delhi with reference to his letter to his letter No. 738/LA.C. dated 13.9.52.

2. Secretary to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Reh. New Delhi with reference to Shri V.P. Sud's letter No. RHB/32(1)51 dated 14th July, 1952.

Sd/- (K.K. Sharma)
Secretary to the Delhi State Govt.,
Local Self Govt. Department, Delhi.

No. 796/ L.A.C. Dated Delhi the 13th October, 1952.

Copy forwarded to the Tehsildar, Delhi for wide publicity in the locality concerned. A report of compliance be submitted within 10 days.

Sd/- Bhag Singh)
LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR, DELHI

~~Forwarded~~

No. 796/ L.A.C. Dated Delhi the 13th October, 1952.
Compliance should reach to this office in 10 days.

Tharun
P. 1511/52

Return after Compliance. The required papers have been prepared and are attached herewith.

Same day
27/10/52