

Name of the Village: Basai Darapur.  
Nature of Acquisition: Permanent.

It was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act 1394 vide notification No.F.15(156)/60-LSG(Vol.III)(xi) dated 7-1-61 that 85 Bighas 2 Biswas of land was proposed to be acquired for Public Purpose, namely Resection of Najafgarh Drain in village Basai Darapur, with Public Money. The substance of the notification was given wide publicity in village on 20-1-61 inviting <sup>objections</sup> within a period of one month. Only one objection from Shri Harbans Lal and Kulbhushan Sharma of the greater Delhi planers, Shanker Market, Connaught Circus, New Delhi was received through L.R. Gupta Advocate. The objection was heard by Shri Nand Kishore, Land Acquisition Collector I, who rejected it on the grounds of being frivolous. The land was notified under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1394, on 17th May, 1961, vide notification No.F.15(156)/61-LSG(Vol.III)(ii). Notice under Section 9(1) of the Land Acquisition Act was given wide publicity in the village and on the property on 3-6-61 inviting claims on 20-6-61 from all the interested parties. Notice under Section 9(3) and 10(1) of the Land Acquisition Act inviting claims was also served upon interested parties, which would be placed at their proper place.

MEASUREMENT & OWNERSHIP.

Field Nos. 544/1 2 Bighas 5 Biswas, 545/1 0 Bigha 8 Biswas, 549/1 0 Bigha 8 Biswas, 3173/552/1 1 Bigha 11 Biswas, 3234/552/1 0 Bigha 11 Biswas, 2969/554 2 Bighas 11 Biswas, 3179/2968/554 0 Bigha 1 Biswa, 3187/2968/554 1 Bigha 4 Biswas, 556/1 0 Bigha 5 Biswas, 557/1 0 Bigha 15 Biswas, 558/1 2 Bighas 1 Biswa. The area measuring 12 Bighas 10 Biswas were wrongly notified for acquisition. This area was acquired for the Delhi Development Authority on which construction of houses has already started. It was brought to the notice of the Acquiring Department that this area cannot be acquired being the property of D.A.A. All the interested parties

to have it, it may be obtained through negotiations with the D.D.A. The area under acquisition therefore is as follows:-

S.No.	Name of owner.	Name of tenant.	Khasra No.	Area.	Kind of land
				Big-Bis	
1.	Mahavir Singh Dharamvir Singh sons of Khazan Singh in equal share $\frac{1}{12}$ ; Chhaju s/o. Chuni $\frac{1}{12}$ ; Jag Ram, Hari Singh sons of Bhura in equal share $\frac{1}{12}$ ; Dipa Ram, Bhagwan Sahai, Sri Ram sons of Sher Singh in equal share $\frac{1}{4}$ ; Ram Phul s/o. Beg Raj $\frac{1}{4}$ ; Bal- Kishan, Tilak Ram sons of Hukam in equal share $\frac{1}{4}$ .		2705/597/1	0-3	Chahi.
2.	Ram Niwas, Hari, Daya Nand sons of Ram Chand in equal share $\frac{1}{2}$ ; Shmt. Kalavati w/o. Ram Richhpal $\frac{1}{2}$ .		2707/597/1 3049/600 Total:	0-3 0-8 0-11	Banjar Qadim. "
3.	Sagwa, Yasmin, Malkhan sons of Fakira in equal share.		3050/600	0-8	Banjar Qadim.
4.	Nathu, Shanker s/o. Darya in equal share.		3051/600	0-8	Banjar Qadim.
5.	Sunder Lal Sujjan, Bishamber, Lachhman sons of Bansi in equal share.		601/1 639/1 Total:	0-11 1-7 1-18	Chahi. " "
6.	Khazan Singh s/o. Khan Chand $\frac{1}{2}$ ; Ram Mehar, Shiv Charan sons of Munshi in equal share $\frac{1}{2}$ .		602/1	0-11	Chahi.
7.	Bhola s/o. Kanhaya.		2706/597/1 599 Total:	0-4 0-5 0-9	Banjar Qadim. "
8.	Than Singh, Kanhaya Singh Beg Raj, Partap Singh sons of Jagat Singh in equal share.		604/1	0-18	Chahi.
9.	Gokal Chand, Dharam Vir sons of Sham Singh is equal share $\frac{1}{3}$ ; Shiv Nath Singh, Soba Ram sons of Bhim Singh in equal share $\frac{2}{3}$ .		628/1 632/1 Total:	0-17 0-8 1-5	Chahi. " "
10.	Prabhu Singh s/o. Bahal Singh <del>etc.</del>		633	0-11	Chahi.

11.	Than Singh etc. at serial No.8* share 1/5; Gokal Chand etc. S.No.9* share 1/5; Prabhu Singh at S.No.10* share 1/5; Shmt. Sonah Wati w/o. Pyare share 1/5; Hari Ram Gobind Parshad, Ram Kumar sons of Bhure Singh in equal share 1/5.	2704/597/1	1-18	Banjar Qadim.
12.	Jagan, Hurmat sons of Ude Ram equal share.	605/1	1- 0	Rosli.
13.	Nathu s/o. Khazana.	634/1	0-18	Chahi.
14.	Kabul Singh s/o. Ganga Sahai $\frac{1}{4}$ ; Bachan Singh s/o. Sular $\frac{1}{4}$ ; Bansi s/o. Jisukh $\frac{1}{4}$ ; Shmt. Ram Payari widow, Prem Chand, Daulat sons of Devi Sahai in equal share $\frac{1}{4}$ .	603/1	1-4	Rosli.
15.	Than Singh etc. Ram Sarup s/o. S.No.11* $\frac{1}{4}$ ; Jagan Lakhe Ram etc. S.No.12* 1/12; occupancy tenants u/s.5 sons of Mir Singh in Act 16, 1887. equal share 1/12; Shmt. Jwali w/o. Ratan; Baljit s/o. Sri Ram in equal share 1/12; Amar Singh s/o. Novel Singh 1/16; Shmt. Nathe w/o. Darya 1/16; Achhpal, Lachhmi Chand sons of Balwant equal share 1/32; Raghunath s/o. K Phul Singh 1/32; Nathu s/o. Khazana 1/16; Kabul Singh etc. S.N_o.14* $\frac{1}{4}$ .	627/1	1- 2	Chahi.
Note:- The compensation would be divided between the landlors and the occupancy tenants in the ratio of 2 annas and 14 annas.				
16.	<u>Evacuee property.</u> Sh. Amir Chand XVI/716, Gali Raghubir Singh, Dr. Joshi Road, Karol Bagh, New Delhi and Brkj Lal 1982, Ashok Nagar, Faiz Road, New Delhi, Purchasers.	211/1	6-11	Bagh Nehri 4-0 Nehri2-11
		210/1	0- 4	Bagh Nehri.
		206/1	11-10	"
		207/1	7- 4	"
		208/1	4-17	Bagh Nehri & Well.
17.	Khazan Singh etc. S.No.6 1/3; Bhola S.No.7* 1/3; Shmt. Kalavati w/o. Ram Richhpal 1/3. Bhumidar M. No.5.	205/1	6-10	Bagh Nehri.

18. Raghbir Singh s/o.  
Manohar Lal.

219/1

4-18

Ghairmunkin  
Plots.  
Bagh Nehri.  
"

217/1

5-15

2574/216/1

1-2

Total:

11-15

19. Manohar Lal s/o.  
Nain Sukh Dass.

213

2-12

214/1

1-9

2575/216/1

1-0

2576/216

2-3

212

0-5

Ghairmunkin  
Well.

Total:

7-9

Grand Total: 69-4

Bagh  
Nehri 48-2  
Nehri 2-11  
Well 0-9  
Chahi 7-6  
Rosli 2-4  
Banjar 3-11  
Plots 4-18  
According  
to Revenue  
Record.

On actual measurement the area under acquisition works out to 69 Bighas 4 Biswas against 72 Bighas 19 Biswas. Nobody has raised any objection against measurement. I, therefore, hold the area under acquisition to be 69 Bighas 4 Biswas.

#### CLAIMS & EVIDENCE.

Shri Khazan Singh s/o. Khan Chand; Ram Mehar, Shiv Charan sons of Munshi; Ram Niwas, Daya Nand, Lehri sons of Ram Chand; Kham Chand, Pratap Singh sons of Jagat Singh; Shmt. Kalavati w/o. Ram Richhpal; Hari Ram, Gobind Prashad sons of Kura Singh; Kabul s/o. Ganga Sen; Daulat s/o. Ganga Sen; Chhaju s/o. Chuni; Gokal Chand, Dharamvir sons of Sham Singh; Shiv Nath, Bhola Ram sons of Bhim Singh; Bansi, Jiso, Raghbir sons of Phul Singh, Harmat, Jagan sons of Uday Ram; Mahavir Singh, Dharam Singh sons of Khazan Singh have filed a common claim about Khasra Nos. 205 min., 2704/597, 2707/597, 599, 3049/600, 601, 602, 603, 627, 628, 632, and 633. The claimants represented that field number 205 min. is surrounded on 3 sides by developed colonies, for which they demanded market value at Rs.15/- per sq. yard. According to them the rest of the land was also situated near developed colonies which had potential value as a building site. For this land also they demanded Rs.15/- per sq. yard as its price. The area of field number 205 is 10 Bighas 8 Biswas out of which only 6 Bighas

Biswas is being acquired. It is correct that field No. 205 is surrounded by colonies on two sides. As total land of this field is not being acquired, the piece under acquisition adjoins only one Punjabi Bagh Colony. No construction has taken place in this colony so far. That portion of the field No.205 which is being acquired adjoins Najafgarh Drain and is liable to floods. As such it does not have any potential value as a building site. In support of their claim they have further produced a copy of mutation No.2586 of village Basai Darapur. Through this mutation 1 Bigha 10 Biswas Banjar land in field No.2777/738 was sold by Sunder Lal etc. to Shmt. Krishna Kumari etc. for Rs.14000/-. This piece of land is situated near Razori Garden which is a developed colony, and has a greater potential value as a building site. Moreover it is situated at a great distance from the land under acquisition. It, therefore, cannot form correct basis, for arriving at fair-price.

2. Ragbir Singh s/o. Ch. Manohar Lal filed his claim regarding Khasra Nos. 217 to 223 & 2575/216. According to the claimant this land is situated at main Rohtak Road. A garden which was standing on this land was cut away in 1958 by the claimant with a view to develop it as a residential colony. On this ground he has claimed Rs.40/- per sq. yard as its market value. The land infact does not touch main Rohtak Road. No steps were taken by the claimant to develop the land as a residential colony and no road has been constructed, only bricks have been placed to demarcate the various plots. This is no development for which the claimant is entitled to receive a preferential treatment.

3. Ram Chander Gupta s/o. Shiba Mal; Om Parkash Gupta s/o. Mata Din; Pars Ram s/o. Nand Lal; Jagdish Parshad s/o. Shiv Lal have filed a common claim about Khasra Nos. 2704/597, 599, 597, 3049/600, 3051/600, 3050/600, 601, 602, 604, 605, 628, 633, 628, 632, 634, 727 and 603 total measuring 14 Bighas Biswas only. They have claimed ownership over Khasra

measuring 12 Biswas only. About the rest of the land, they had entered into agreements for the purchase from their respective owners and had paid Rs.200/- per Bigha as earnest money. The actual Sale price was fixed at Rs.6/- per sq. yard. As the land was notified for acquisition the sale deeds could not be executed. They have claimed that the amount which they had paid to the owners may be given to them from the assessed compensation. Secondly they have claimed Rs.10000/- as severance and disturbance of their plans which they could not execute on account of this acquisition. Further they have claimed Rs.2500/- as proportionate share of expenses made towards levelling and demarcation of plot out of total amount of Rs.20000/- spent for this purpose. There is no development on the spot. Roads have not been made, nor any house constructed. Their claim is, therefore, frivolous. The claimants have produced twelve original agreements which they had made with owners of land. All of them were entered into between 6-7-59 and 19-8-59. In all these agreements a period of one year was given by which sale deeds were to be registered. The last day for getting these sale deeds registered was 19-8-60. Notification under Section 4 was issued on 7-1-61. The claimant did not get the sale deeds registered by that date. The date of the various agreements had expired long ago before the publication of the notification under Section 4. The plea of the claimant that he could not get the sale deeds registered as the land was notified for acquisition it therefore wrong. His claim for severance and disturbance cannot be accepted.

4. Shri Jagdish Lal etc. claimants have produced a registered deed dated 28-3-59 by which they purchased 2 Bighas 8 Biswas in Khasra Nos. 2708/597 and 601 for Rs.12000/- from Shri Sunder Lal Sujan, Bishamber, Lachhman sons of Bansi residents of Bansi Darapur. In support of their claim that they had levelled this land the claimants produced Shri Sant Ram who stated that he had entered into a contact with the claimants to level their land at the rate of Rs.20/- per thousand square feet. His oral evidence is not a sufficient proof. If he had done this job, he

Contd.....

must have received a certain amount from the claimants and for this they must have obtained a receipt. No such receipt has been produced. The oral evidence of Shri Sant Ram is therefore not trustworthy.

5. Harbans Lal & Kulbhushan Sharma jointly filed their claim through Shri L.R. Gupta Advocate, regarding Khasra Nos. 213, 2575/216, 2576/216 & 214 min. They have claimed Rs.40/- per sq. yard as the price of this land, on the ground that they had developed this land as a residential colony known as Manohar Park. The claimants claimed that they have been selling plots of the said colony at the rate of Rs.35/- to Rs.40/- per sq. yard. They further pointed out that this land was near Jai Dev Park Colony in which land was being sold @ Rs.40/- per sq. yard before the issue of notification under Section 4. They have further argued that the land of their colony is situated on Rohtak Road in the close vicinity of densely populated colony of Karol Bagh. The land under the present acquisition is situated at the bank of Najafgarh Drain which runs at some distance from the Rohtak Road. Karol Bagh colony is at the distance of about 4 miles from this place. As such the rates of Karol Bagh Colony cannot be compared and made applicable to this land. The land under acquisition was never developed as a residential colony and no plan of the colony was sanctioned by the Municipal Corporation Delhi. The claim of the claimants @ Rs.40/- per sq. yard is very excessive. In the body of their claim dated 13-11-61, they have mentioned five sales of plots from their colony to various people but they did not produce any evidence in its support. Their claim cannot be relied upon.

6. Amir Chand, Brij Lal filed their claim through Matwal Chand their General Attorney. They were represented by Shri R.D. Patra Advocate also. They stated that they had purchased 61 Bighas Biswas known as Suleman Wala Bagh for Rs.300050/- for building houses for 'Jhung Bradari'. On 27-11-61 Shri Matwal Chand at

Contd.



that they paid only Rs.20000/- in cash out of Rs.300050/-. The remaining amount was paid through claim. The value of the claim at that time was 9 annas 6 pies per rupee. They have demanded Rs.20000/- per Bigha as market value which comes to roughly Rs.20/- per sq. yard. The cash value of the claims tendered by Amir Chand, Brij Lal towards the purchase money of this land comes to Rs.166279.69 nP. Besides this amount they had paid Rs.20000/- in cash. The total cash amount of the land, therefore works out Rs.186279.69 nP. for 61 Bighas 6 Biswas. The price per Bigha in that case comes to Rs.3038.30 nP. Against this the demand of Rs.20000/- per Bigha is excessive and baseless.

Departmental Representation.

The department has agreed to pay reasonable rates.

MARKET VALUE.

The land of this village which is being acquired is situated in two Blocks. One block consisting of field Nos. 2705/597/1, 2704/597/1, 2706/597/1, 2707/597/1, 599, 601/1, 602/1, 603/1, 604/1, 605/1, 627/1, 628/1, 632/1, 633/1, 634/1, 639/1, 3049/600, 3050/600 and 3051/600 total area 13 Bighas 4 Biswas is situated on the South of Najafgarh Drain opposite Punjabi Bagh Colony near the Abadi of village Basai Darapur. On the east of this plot is Moti Nagar developed colony. The other block consisting of field Nos. 205/1, 206/1, 207/1, 208/1, 211/1, 210/1, 212/1, 213/1, 214/1, 2574/216/1, 2575/216/1, 2576/216, 217/1 and 219/1 total measuring 56 Bighas is situated on the North of Najafgarh Drain. The first block lies in the Municipal area but is low lying area is important on account of its nearness to the village Abadi and Moti Nagar developed colony. No doubt the second block also touches Jai Dev Park and Punjabi Bagh Colony. Up till now no house has been constructed in Punjabi Bagh Colony. Only two houses have been constructed in Jai Dev Park Colony. It means that even after development Jai Dev Park Colony has not found favour with the public. It, therefore, would not add to the importance

Contd.



of the land under acquisition. Considering advantages and disadvantages of both the blocks, I am of the opinion that they should be uniformly valued. The land under acquisition is similar to the land which was acquired under Award Nos. 1165 & 1168. I do not find any special reason to differ with the rates given in those two Awards. In those two Awards Rs.3000/- per Bigha was given as fair-price. I, therefore award Rs.3000/- per Bigha for the area under this acquisition as fair-price. 15% as a solatium ~~x~~ would be paid for compulsory acquisition over and above the amount of compensation.

OTHER COMPENSATION.

There are gardens in field Nos. 206/1, 207/1, 208/1, 211/1, 210/1 & 205/1. Field Nos. 206/1, 207/1, 208/1, 211/1 & 210/1 are owned by Amir Chand, Brij Lal. Field number 205/1 is owned by Shri Khazan Singh s/o. Khan Chand etc.

These gardens are reported to be very old. Their prices were assessed by horticulture assistant, who has reported the compensation amounting to Rs.1994.50nP. for the garden owned by Amir Chand etc. and Rs.580/- for the garden owned by Shri Khazan Singh etc. I accept this as fair-price.

WELLS: There are two wells in this land, one of them is situated in field No.208 and is owned by Amir Chand, Brij Lal. The owners have installed a persian wheel and a hand-pump on this well, which are moveable property and they can be removed. No compensation is being assessed for them. Rs.2000/- is fair-price for this well. The second well is situated in field No.212 and is owned by Manohar Lal. This well was constructed before the settlement of 1908-9. This well has been assessed to Rs.1500/-.

One single room house with a small compound has been constructed in field No.219 by Mehar Singh s/o. Sant Singh. The construction took place after the publication of notification under Section 6. The Naib Tehsildar has assessed Rs.500/- for this construction. Another construction has also taken

Contd.

in field No.219. This construction was only upto the plinth level on 25-10-61, when the Naib Tehsildar inspected the spot. He assessed Rs.200/- for the total construction. I inspected the spot today and found that the construction has been raised upto 3 ft. level. No room has so far been constructed. Both these constructions took place after the publication of notification under Section 6. They are not entitled to any compensation. Interest.

The department had taken possession on 1-7-61 of field numbers 634/1 7 Biswas, 639/1 11 Biswas, 633/1 10 Biswas, 623/1 17 Biswas, 627/1 12 Biswas, 605/1 10 Biswas, 604/1 7 Biswas, 603/1 5 Biswas, 602/1 2 Biswas, 601/1 2 Biswas, 3049/600 4 Biswas, 3050/600 3 Biswas, 3051/600 3 Biswas, 599 5 Biswas, 2704/597/1 14 Biswas totalling 5 Bighas 1 Biswa for dumping earth. The owners of these field numbers are entitled to receive interest on the amount of compensation for this land from 1-7-61 @ 6% per annum. It would be calculated up to 15-12-61. By this date the compensation would be paid to the owners.

APPORTIONMENT.

Shri Ram Chander etc. have claimed that they had entered into agreement of purchase about field numbers 2704/597, 2705/597, 2706/597, 599, 3049/600, 3051/600, 3050/600, 602/1, 603/1, 604/1, 605/1, 626/1, 633/1, 623/1, 632/1, 634/1 and 627/14 with their respective owners. As earnest money Rs.200/- per Bigha were paid to them. The transactions have not been finalised so far. Ram Chander etc. have not produced any registered document pertaining to the same. Compensation regarding field numbers is therefore disputed. Ownership of field numbers 213, 214/1, 2574/216/1, 2575/216/1, 2576/216 is entered in the name of Manohar Lal in revenue records. Shri Harbans Lal and Kulbhushan Sharma have also put in their claims about this land on the basis of some agreement. They have also stated that they obtained a degree of specific & performa from the court of Shri R.L. Sehgal Sub J.

Delhi against the owners of the above mentioned land. The copy of the judgement has not been produced. Compensation for this land also remains as disputed unless the copy of the judgement is produced by Harbans Lal and Kulbhushan Sharma etc.

There is a dispute between Raghbir Singh, on the one hand and Valaitali, Muzaffar Abbas on the other, over ownership of field numbers 219/1, 217/1, 2574/213/1. This would be referred to the Additional District Judge as the disputed case. Compensation for this land would be paid after the judgement of the said court.

Field numbers 211/1, 210/1, 206/1, 207/1 and 208/1 were sold to Amir Chand, Brij Lal by the custodian. The Assistant Settlement Commissioner has informed vide letter No.F.1(7)/ASC(R)/61/9333 dated 15-6-61 that the sale certificates have not so far been issued in favour of the auction purchasers. The compensation for this land would be paid to them on the produce of the sale certificates.

THE AWARD IS SUMMARISED AS UNDER.

Cost of 69 Bighas 4 Biswas of land @ Rs.3000/- per Bigha	Rs. 2,07,600.00nP.
15% as solatium on the cost of land for compulsory acquisition	Rs. 31,140.00nP.
Compensation for trees	Rs. 2,574.50nP.
Compensation for Wells	Rs. 3,500.00nP.
Interest @ 6% from 1-7-61 to 15-12-61 for field numbers 634/1 etc. total 5 Bighas 4 Biswas mentioned at item 'Interest' of the Award.	Rs. 431.15nP.
Total Compensation	Rs. 2,45,295.65nP.

This land is assessed to Rs.55.98nP. as land revenue. As the land is being acquired by Government, this amount will be deducted from the Khalsa rent roll of village Basai Darapur from 1-1-1962. (This amount includes Rs.34.34nP. for Muafi)

(Sampuran Singh)  
Land Acquisition Collector  
DELHI.  
7-12-61.

tion and approval please.

(Sampuran Sir  
Land Acquisition 6

D E L I  
7-12-61.

Seen. Filed.

COLLECTOR, DELHI.

\* /PERMAR/\*  
7-12

8. 12. 61

[illegible]

L Ac IV Delhi:  
15/12/61

۱۔ پیر شری سندر رمل ولد بیسی دھنچھن ولد بیسی۔ چھان نے ولد کیا ہے دبیر رمل و چتر باب نے پسران  
 ۲۔ نتھو ولد فرانا۔ برہم چند ولد دیو نر سیانے۔ دولت ولد دیو سیانے۔ سات رمل پیاری رمل  
 ۳۔ ہری نے ولد پھول نے۔ رمل سرور ولد ملکی۔ قبول نے ولد گنگا سیانے۔ شوہا رمل ولد  
 ۴۔ سات امری راج کوڑے۔ شنگر ولد دربا۔ بیہیت ولد سری رمل۔ گوکل چند ولد شیم نے۔  
 ۵۔ دادو نے۔ جین ولد ادوے۔ سہا جواں روم آمن۔ سہا شو دیو روم دربا۔ بھوان  
 ۶۔ نیر نے۔ نہری پتہ کوٹس مل نب ڈاکٹر ہریش مل خاں کے پاس۔ ۱۵۱۱

Life of William.  
1872



نشی در ایندیویدوال نشی در ایندیویدوال

۱۹/۱۲/۶۱  
۱۱/۱۲/۶۱

۱۹/۱۲/۶۱  
۱۱/۱۲/۶۱

نشی در ایندیویدوال

ب اقم ماسوریت تیر شده بقدر جان بقدر کدورت شده بدویر اویخته شده ۱۲۳۰  
سرد بکشته شدن از این فاش شده کدورت تیر شده ۱۹۱۱  
مکمل است بدویر اویخته شده کدورت تیر شده ۱۵۱۱

Cham N. T. A. A

کدورت تیر شده کدورت تیر شده کدورت تیر شده ۱۵۱۱  
کدورت تیر شده کدورت تیر شده کدورت تیر شده ۱۵۱۱

A. A. C. IV

کدورت تیر شده کدورت تیر شده کدورت تیر شده ۱۵۱۱  
Cham N. T. A. A



(To be published in Part IV of Delhi Gazette)

DELHI ADMINISTRATION: DELHI

NOTIFICATION

Dated the 17th May, 1961.

No.F.15(156)/60-LSG(Vol.III(ii) Whereas it appears to the Chief Commissioner of Delhi that land is required to be taken by Govt. at the public expense for a public purpose, namely for the Resectioning of Najaf Garh Drain, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7, of the said Act, the Collector of Delhi is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

A plan of the land may be inspected at the office of the Collector of Delhi.

SPECIFICATION

Locality or Village.	Total Area	Field Nos. or boundaries
	Big. Bis.	
Basaidarapur Delhi.	85 09	205/1, 206/1, 207/1, 208/1, 210/1, 212, 213, 214/1, 2574/216, 2575/216/1 2576/216, 217/1, 544/1, 545/1, 549/ 3233/553/1, 3234/553/1, 2969/554/1, 3187/2968/554, 556/1, 2705/597/1, 27 597/1, 2707/597/1, 599, 600, 603/1, 604/1, 605/1, 632/1, 633, 634, 639/1

By order,

Sd/-

( Jagmohan )

Deputy Housing Commissioner,  
Delhi Administration, Delhi.

No.F.15(156)/60-LSG(Vol.III(ii) Dated the 17 May, 1961.

Copy forwarded to :-

1. Recruitment & Services Deptt. (in duplicate) in Delhi Gazette.
2. Land Acquisition Collector, Delhi.
3. Executive Engineer, Construction Division No.1, CPWD, New Delhi.
4. Shri G.S. Kapur, Secretary, Delhi Floods Co-op. Committee, Jam Nagar House, New Delhi.

Sd/-

( Jagmohan )

Deputy Housing Commissioner,  
Delhi Administration, Delhi.

*Attested*  
*hu*

25.1.72