Award No.

Name of the village Nature of Acquisition.

Scheme

Basidarapur.

Permanent.

Planned Development of Del

In pursuance of Delhi Administration Notification No.F.15(III)/59-LSG dated 13.11.59 made under section 4. of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894, 34070 acres of land in various villages was notified to be needed for a public purpose i.e. for Planned Development of Delhi. The land measuring 86 bighas and 19 biswas in village Basidarapur wh is the subject matter of this Award was included in the aforesaid notification. Objections were received U/s 5(A) of the said Act and the same were submitted with a report for the decision of Appropriate Government. The Appropriate Government after considering the report made U/s 5(A) sub-section 2 being satisfied that the land in question was needed for a public purpose i sued a declaration to that effect vide notification No.F.7(5)/61-L&H dated 17.2.62 under section 6 of the said act. By the aforesaid Notification land measuring 86 bighas 19 biswas comprised in khasra nos. 1163/1 min, 3263/1167, 3264/1167, 1168, 1169, 1170, 1171, 1172, 1173, 1174, 1175, 1176, 1177, 1178, 1179, 1180, 1187, 1188, 1189, 1190/1 min, 1191/1 min, 192/1. in village Basidarapur was notified for acquisition otice U/s 9 (1) of the Land Achisition Act was given ue to publicity inviting claims from all interested persons. Notices U/s.9(3) and 10(1) of the Land Acquisition ct were served upon the known interested persons. claims for compensation received in compliance with the notices shall be discussed in this Award under a separate meading "COMPINSTION CLAIMS".

MEASUREMENT & OMERSHIP.

The area under acuisition as given in the otification under section 6 of the Act is 86 bighas biswas. As a result of actual measurement carried by contd....2.

the Land Acquisition Staff, the actual area was found to be 87 Bighas 19 Biswas in place of 86 bighas 19 biswas.

The difference in area was discovered in khasra nos.3639/1163

1187/1, 1190/1, 1191/1, & 2567/1192/1. The details are

as below:-

S.No.	Khasra No.	Details.
1.	3639/1163	Decrease of 1 Biswas due to working out of area.
2.	1187/1	Increase of 12 Biswas in area due to measurement and previous acquisition.
3.	1190/1	Decrease of 1 Biswas.
4.	2567/1192/1	Increase of 5 Biswas in area.
5.	1191/1	Increase of 3 Biswas in area.
6.	Totalling of area	Increase of 2 Biswas due to correction total in area.

The details of the khasra nos., owner hip area and classification of soil is given as below:-

Name of the owner.				uality • of land•	Remark
3	4	5	6	7 .	8
n.Ram Nives, hri, Dayanand %/o Ram Chander n eqal share1	Malkan	e 1179	2 - 10	Jhairmumki Khad:	n -
t. Kalawati wi Ram Rachhpal.		., 1489	2 - 7	Ghairmumki: Khad.	n
	•	Total:	4 - 17	Ghairmumkin Khad.	Ω
gya, Himan, lkan ss/o kira in equal	Maqbuza Malkan.	1190/1	4 - 16	Ghairmumkir Khad.	n
ares.		Total:	4 - 16	Ghairmumkii Khad.	n
thu, Shankar, Vo Darba in	Maqbuza Malkan•	· 1180,	5 - ъ	Gairmunki Khad.	n
ual share.		1188 _	2 - 14	t i	
		Total:_	8 - 3	Ghairmunkin Kh a d.	n
Sunder Lal, jan, Bishembar	Malbuza Malkan	1170		-Ghalrmumki Khad	n
chhman sa/o nsi in equal	,	1171	3 - 8	11	
no c		77 7 7	ົງ ⁷ 7	21	

contd...

azan Singh s/o an Chand1/? n Mehar, Shiv aran ss/o Munshi equal sharas1/	Maqbuza Malkan		·	1 16 9 <u>9</u> 1	
an Chand1/3 m Mehar, Shiv aran ss/o Munshi	Malkan	2567/ 1178 2567/ 1192/1 1172	0 - 1 - 2 - 16 - 0 - 7 -	16 9 9 1 9	Khad " Ghairmumkin Khad Ghairmumkin Khad (7-5) Ghairmumkin Ch
an Chand1/3 m Mehar, Shiv aran ss/o Munshi	Malkan	2567/ 1192/1 2567/ 1192/1 1172	1 - 2 - 16 - 0 - 7 -	9 9 1 9 9	Ghairmumkin Khad. Ghairmumkin Khad. (7-5) " Ghairmumkin Cha
an Chand1/3 m Mehar, Shiv aran ss/o Munshi	Malkan	2567/ 1-192/1 1172	0 - 7 -	9 1 9 9 8	Ghairmumkin Khad. Chairmumkin Khad. (7-5) " Ghairmumkin Chairmumkin Chairmum
n Chand1/3 Mehar, Shiv aran ss/o Munshi	Malkan	Total: 2567/ 1-192/1 1172	0 - 7 -	9 8	Ghairmumkin Khad. Ghairmumkin Khad. (7-5) " Ghairmumkin Cha
an Chand1/3 m Mehar, Shiv aran ss/o Munshi	Malkan	2567/ 1 -1 92/1 1172	0 -	9	Khad. Ghairmumkin Khad. (7-5) " Ghairmumkin Cha
n Chand1/3 Mehar, Shiv aran ss/o Munshi	Malkan	1 -1 92/1	·	8 ~	Khad. (7-5) " Ghairmumkin Cha
aran ss/o Munshi	3	, A**	·		Ghairmumkin Cha
		<u>/</u> 1176	2 -		(U = U)
				11	Banjar Jadim.
		, 1174	3 -	,1	Ghairmumkin Khad.
		Total:	13 -	9	Take discussible Who
					Ghairmumkin Kha 10-15.
		•			Banjar Jad im 2-11.
					Ghairmumkin Cha 0-3
azan Gingh s/o . an Ghandl/2	Ishvar Chand s	(1169 · · · /o	1 -	16	Ghairmumkin Khad.
are.	Shadi				
m Mehar, Shiv eran, ss/o	Ghair M Bila La	gan on .	*		
nshi in equal ares1/2.	account Dohli.	•	 		- Ghairmumkin
-		Total:		TO	Khad.
an Singh, nhiya Singh,	Singh -		3 -	17	Ghairmumkin • Khad.
eg Raj, Partap ingh os/o Jagat	co-shar through	1			
ingh, in equal	Gujran Brick k	kiln			
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Co., Gr	nair		7 77	- Ghairmumkin
	TEMPLOODS	Total:	3 -		- Khad.
an Singh,	Beg Ra	j 👌 1168	3 -	18	
nahiya Singh.	co-shar	rer min.	.v	•	Khad.
g Raj, Partap ngh s/o Jagat	through Gujran	Walan			
ngh in equal hares.	Brick I	Kiln , hair		- 18	 11
ICLE OF STREET	Morusi	•	<u> </u>	1.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
alaul Chand	Sardar	Co • 3263/	. 11 -	8	Ghairmumkin
okul Chand, harambir Singh	Kashme Gate D	ri / 1167 •			Khad.
s/o Shri Sham ingh in equal	Ghair	Moroosi	ا تعمل		
hares1/3				-	

€.	3		4.	5.	(.		7.			8.
Principal Region and with		er verlege skingten og stanger i	er en A markenskringer op i søre opri				Mari Arti Alba Alba Alba Alba Alba Alba Alba Alba				~ #
hiv	Gaindo Nath, Bhim Si	Shoba	Ram						•	-	
	Lshare						- to the second	~ 1			
		•	•	•	11-	-8			irmumk ad.	rin	
lo F	zanti, Parbhu	Kamawa Singh	Gujram ti VBri C 0• (639/ 1163	5 -	1	13	·		
	-			Total:		5 -	1	-			
	Sona D Piari	G	o.Kashr late, Del		67	12	- 8	11			
		C	hair Mo	oroosi.	•	12	- 8	1/	N .		
	٧.										
laga]	share ding t	M/	'S. Han:	fare #11 s Bhatta without	87/1	2	- 5	Gha: Ras		dn	A
nic wo		: On t	<i>•</i>	is of Kha	asra	2	- 5		u W		
	110 1 13			of Kharif		•					
he t	t o tal a	rea oi	land :	ún der acq	uisiti	lon	is cl	ass	ified	as u	$\operatorname{nd}\epsilon$
				rmumkin K		Big	. Bis		Ý		
			Ghai:	rmumkin F	Rasta						
				ar Q a dim		,	-11				
				rmumkin C	•		- 3				
			CELECULE.				بنند سند			•	
				Total	:	87	- 19	·	• .		
OMP:	INSATIO	M CLAI	DED:	•	•	•			•		
<u> </u>				with the	notic	രദി	สุรมอ	า วิบท	der se	ectio	n
		_	•	•							
				isition A							
Inte:	rested	perso	ns have	filed th	ne cla	ims	enum	erat	ed bel	Low:-	•
	No.	ote :-	nartic	ble preparation constitution of	the c	Laim	lants	. U∭	elr		
Cla	iriant	which	s. reg. claim een fil		f/Comp / with	ensa gro	ution ounds	<u>•</u>	imed Ocume ry pr	Any ot nt- H oof	
	,	ild5 U	· □11 · · · · ·					C	r any		
	•		•					pro	of le		ing in Ngjari Ngjari
		•			;	'			clai ;, if		
•										-	
: • : .	•.				•						- 37.7

lease and the rest on temporary

not mention-ed the khachsi. wd/o sra no. excepting hri 1191. \$/0 i d/0 Smt. t.Raja abhu They have Copies of Rs.50/- ${ t J}$ oint 1178 Claim dt. per sq.yd. Order given claimed Rs.1000/-7 Big. by Distt. 10.10.63 8 Biswas. for 16 Judge in filed be-Shisham case No.35 1174 fore L.A.C. treas and 3 Bighas of 1960. on 15.10.62 Rs.4,000/-1 Biswas. 1176 2. Copy of the for a pacca order passed well in Kh. 2 Bighas learned Addl. No.1172. 11 Biswas. 2567/1192 min. Judge in case 5 Bis., 1169 1 Big.16 Bis. No.9 of 1961. 3. Coly of the order passed by the learned Addl. Distt. Judge in case No.29 of 1959. Copy of the 1168 measu- 15.10.62 Rs.20/order of Addl. per sq. ring 7 Big. Distt. Judge in yd. 15 Biswas. case No.29 of 1187 min. 1959. measuring 1 Big 3 Bis. Copy of the 15.10.62 Rs.20/-3263/1167 order of the learned Addl. per \$q. ll Big.8 yd_{\bullet} Bis. Distt. Judge 1187, l Bis. in case No.29 13 Bis. of 1959. Copy of the 16.10.62 Rs.20/rba 3263/1167 above referred per sq. 11 Big.8 Bis. case No.29 of 1180 (5 Big. 9 Biswis) > yd. 1959. 1188, 1 Big. 13 Biswas. Has clain Rs.30/-per Two unattested copies of agree- med Rs. ta 1169 to 1180 Nilsą.yd. 4000/-fo total area ment. First agraement (lease brick sh 36 Big. Kham deed) executed by kiln. and 7 Biswas. Khazan Singh in Rs. 2000/-He has stated for pucces favour of Shri that out of Bharat Singh s/o water the above Kh. Molarmal on 25.10.49 well Nos.1172, 1174, 1176 & 1179 were and second copy Rs.200/-executed by Ram for str executed by Ram on perpetual

Richpal in favour big tre

contd...6

3 4 5 6 7

of Bharat of Tahli.

lease with

of Bharat Singh s/o Molarmal r/o Model Basti. Rs.1500%/- for pair of Chimneys.
Rs.2625/- for 105 huts of Labourers @
Rs.25/- per hut.
Rs.1500/- for Godown and Office Rs.15000/-for Goodwill and Los due to stoppage of work.

In addition to the above, Shri Khazan Singh son of Shri Khan Chand stated that the proof led by him related to village Khampur Raya and/the land in question is situated on the border of village Khampur Raya and Basidara Pur. He further stated that the land in question was surrounded by Patel Nagar, Kirti Nagar colonies, Pusa Road and Government Diary Hilk Scheme. The other claimants have also laid emphasis on the situation of the land in their written claims saying that the same was situated near the developed colonies, like Kirti Nagar, Patel Nagar etc. and as such they have demanded the market value of the land at various rabes mentioned above. I have gone through the various orders passed by learned Additional District Judge in cases Hos. 35 of 1980, Sase Mo.9 of 1961 and case No.29 of 1959 hich have been produced by the claimants. These orders relate to the land acquired in village Khampur Raya and in my opinion the same cannot be taken into account while assessing the value of land in village Basidarapur, especially when the sales of land siviler in quality and situation etc. are available in village Basidara Pur itself. The land referred to in the above orders is of high level which is situated on Road No.24 bounded on West by West Patel Nagar Railway Station and on East by D.T.U. Bus depot. As such lands covered by the various orders of the learned District Judge enjoys better situation and has more market value when a comparison of those land is made with the land under acquisition.

Two unattested copies of agreements (lease deeds) have been produced by Shri Ved Parkash Mehta which are entirely useless pieces of evidence for assessing the market value of the land under acquisition because, these agreements which were executed in year 1949 do not have any relevancy in determining the market value as the same confor lease rights.

For the above reasons, no value can be attached to the documentary evidence adduced by the claimants and in my opinion their claims are grossly, excessive, fabulous and highly unjust.

MARKET VATUE.

Ue have to find out the market value of the land under acquisition as provailing on the date of notification U/s 4 of the Land acquisition Act namely 13.11.59. The vear-wise statement of sales for the preceding 5 years before the date of notification U/s. 4 of the Land equisition Act, 1894 are shown as under:-

Area sold. Big. Bis.	Consideration money.	verage per bigha
3	4	5.
113 - 18	Rs. 263876-18 nP.	Rs. 3194-26 nP.
98 - 9	Rs. 774141-00 nP.	Rs. 7862-29 nP.
465 - 12	Rs. 1603342-10 nP.	Rs. 3443-40 nP.
49 - 17	Rs. 158143-00 nP.	Rs. 3132-25 nP.
13 - 19	Rs. 37000-00 nP.	Rs. 2652-33 nP.
<u>741 - 15</u>	3s. 2934352-28 nP.	Rs. 3955-60 nP.

From the above table it is evident that the rage for the year 1958-59 comes to R_s.2,652.33 nP. sale deeds which took place in the year 1958-59 as below:-

utation No.	Date of Reg tration.		Consideration money.
2	3	4	5
2 523	. 20.1.59	0 - 19	Rs. 5000.00 np.
25 27	16.3.59	1 - 13	Rs. 8000.00 nP.
2532	18.7.59	0 - 15	Rs. 6000.00 nP.
25 87 -	17.9.59	0 - 7	Rs. 1500.00 nP.
2 640	30.1.59	5 - 19	Rs. 2750.00 nP.
26 80	28.5.59	3 - 15	Rs.11000.00 nP.
27 74	25.8.59	> 0 - 4	2s. 1000.00 nP.
277 5	25.8.59	0 - 4	Rs. 1000.00 nP.
7 76	25.8.59	0 - 3	Rs. 750.00 nP.
		lotal: <u>13 - 19</u>	ls.37000.00 nP.

The above table chors that mutitions Nos.2523, 2532, 2774 \ 2587, 2775 \ 2776 relate to the sales of plots less one than/Bigha of land, which may be having facilities of roads, lands about the it. In the sales of such plots, prices are generally exaggerated and overstated by vendors in collusion with vendees either for defeating preemption claims or for fate ing more prices by vay of resales. As such, the prices quoted in the transactions of the sales of these small plots are generally deceptive and do not furnish correct basis for the determination of the fair and reasonable market value of the land. The remaining sale transactions give an average sale price of Rs.1916-20 nP. per Bigha Kham irrespective of the classification of the soil.

The following table shows some of the various wards so far pronounced in respect of land acquired this village.

Date of Motification U/s 4 of the Land Acquisition Act.

Kind of land and Rate Per Bigha.

2 F.15(III)/59-LSG dated 13.11.59 Abi Land @ Rs.3000/-per Bigha. Rosli Land @ Rs.2000/-Per Bigha. Ghairmumkin land @ Rs.600/- per Bigha.

	3	4
.3.63	U/s 15(III)/59-LSG dated 13.11.59	@ Land/Rs.4000/- per Bigha.
.1.63	. U/s F.15(84)/57-LSG dated 3.9.57	Land @ Rs.3000/- per Bigha.
.3.6 3	F.15(III)/59-LSG dated 13.11.59	Land @ Rs.3500/- per Bigha.

The above table shows that the value of land in the above village ranges from Rs.600/- to Rs.4000/- per Bigha, and this may be due to the situation, quality and potentiality of land. The land under acquisition was inspected by me, and is similar in quality, situation and value to its adjacent land for which compensation was awarded in award No.1415. The date of Notification U/s 4 of the Land Acquisition Act of award No.1415 is identical with the date of Notification U/s 4 of the said Act of the land under acquisition. The Land Acquisition Collector in award No.1415 held as under:-

"It would be seen that average per Bigha of
the preceding five years comes to Rs.3954.63 nP. Whereas
average of the year of the notification is only
Rs.2652.33 nP. A careful perusal of the statement
given above would show that in the year 1955-56 there
was an abrupt rise in the prices in this village. Most
probably, this land was purchased for the purpose of
establishing some Colony. The transactions in such
cases are never genuine. The prices are very much
aggravated by sales and re-sales of the land on which
it is intended to construct a Colony. This point further
becomes crystle clear by a cursory glance on the average
of prices in the preceding and succeeding years. In
the year 1954-55 the average was only Rs.3194.26 nP.
and in the year 1956-57, it was Rs.3441.24 nP. only

in the year 1955-56 it rose to the level of Rs.7862.29 nP. Again in the year 1957-58, it came down still lower to the level of Rs.3132.25 nP. per Bigha following by Rs.2652.33 nP. in the year 1958-59. The previous Awards also have been to the tune of Rs.3500.00 and Rs.3000.00 per Bigha.

591 Bighas 3 Biswas under present acquisition consists of the following classification:-

In view of the above discussion and keeping in mind the situation and other factors with respect to the land under acquisition, I award the following prices:-

15% of this value would be paid as solatium for compulsory acquisition!

The land under acquisition are pits (Khads) of the depths which range from 8 feet to 12 feet, which would be filled after incurring a lot of expenses.

Taking into consideration the quality and other actors of the land, the sales and relevant award referred above, I am of view that Rs.600/- per Bigha for nairmumkin land etc. would be the fair and reasonable arket price of the land under acquisition and award e same accordingly.

Khasra No.1176 measuring 2 Bighas 11 Biswas has en shown as Banjar Qadim, but spot inspection of the te has revealed that its quality is the same as of the Ghairmumkin land under acquisition, Rs.600/- per this will also be paid as market value for this khasra o. as well.

rees, wells & other structures.

ees Certain claimants referred to above have claimed

compensation for trees. No tree exhist on the land under acquisition, and as such the question of awarding any compensation for trees does not arise. The claims put forth by various claimants are frivolous and totally false.

Wells.

There is an old, dilapidated well in khasra
No.1172. The upper sides of the wall of this well
have fallen, and it is in ruints and no irrigation can
be done from it. I am of view that Rs.200/- is reasonable
for this well, which I award accordingly.

Structures.

• There exhist no structures, brick-kilns, Chimneys, Huts, Godown or Office on the land under acquisition and as such the question of awarding any compensation for structures does not arise. The claims put forth by claimants for structures are entirely frivolous and baseless.

Mode of payment.

The compensation shall be paid on the basis of the entries existing in Statement 'B' which has been prepared from Revenue Records.

Apportionment.

Occupancy Tenants

No land is held by any occupancy tenants, so
the question of apportionment on this account does
not arise. There is a Dohlidar namely:- Shri Ishar
Chand s/o Shadi, of khasra No.1169 measuring
1 Bighas 16 Biswas paying nothing to the owner on
account of his holding the land. The Dohlidars are
as a matter of fact, given land as gift by their "Jajmans"
In their claim Shri Khazan Singh etc., have claimed
full compensation for this Khasra no., and Shri Ved
Parkashk has claimed lease rights in respect of Kh.No.1169
as su

compensation of the above khasra no., shall be held to be disputed and would be remitted to the learned Distt. Judge, U/s 31 for disposal U/s 32 of the Land Acquisition Act. Regarding non-occupancy tenants, protection against ejectment by the land-lords has been secured under the Delhi Urban Area Tenant Relief Act, 1961. Vide section 3(1) of the Act, no person shall be liable to be ejected from any land held by him as a tenant except one or more grounds mentioned in Clauses A to D in the aforesaid Act. Since these tenants cannot be ejected, unless they violate any of the conditions enumerated in the Clauses referred to above, they would be entitled to substantial amount of compensation for the land acquired which is in their possession. They would nearly have the same privileges as the occupancy tenants. I would therefore give them the benefit of Rs.O.81 nP. in a rupee, as compensation of the land to be taken from their possession. The compensation to the tenants at will shall be paid in the presence of the owners provided they (owners) so agree and vice-versa. In case they do not come to an amicable settlement, the amount of compensation due to the mewner shall be held to be disputed and will be remitted to the learned District Judge U/s 31 for disposal U/s 32 of the Land Acquisition Act.

The revenue record does not show whether the old well situated in khasra No.1172 is owned by the owners or by M/s. Ved Parkash & Sons the alleged lessee. The compensation of the aforesaid well has been claimed by Shri Khazan Singhjowners as well as by M/s Ved Parkash, lessee, therefore, the same is held to be disputed and will be remitted to the learned District Judge U/s 31 for disposal U/s 32 of the Land Acquisition Act.

Shri Ved Parkash Mehta has claimed compensation with regard to khasra No. 1169 to 1180 and in support of his claim, he has produced two copies of the leasedeeds. Shri Ved Parkash Mehta has alleged that khasra No.1172, 1174, 1176. & 1179 were on perpetual lease with him while the rest of the khasra now were on temporary lease with him. In view of his claim, the compensation payable in regard to khasra No.1169 to 1180 is held to be disputed. According to the extracts of Khasra Girdavari in Kharif 1959 Hans Bhatta Co. is shown in possession of Khasra No. 1187/1 without lagan and the same khasra number is also shown as thoroughfare. This number is owned by Shamlat Patti Tagel shares according to Rasad Khewat. As such the compensation of the above khasra no. is held to be disputed.

Interest.

Since the possession of land under acquisition has not been taken so far, therefore the question of payment of any interest does not arise.

The award is summerised as under:

By applying rates mentioned above, the price of the land under acquisition works out as under:-

nd. <u>Area</u> Big.Bis.	Rate per Bigha.	Amount of compensation.
3	4	5
n Khad 83 - 00 1		
n Rasta 2 - 5 X	Rs.600/-	Rs. 52770-00 nP.
n Chah 0 - 3 🖁	.13*000/ =	7.5. Og 10.00 III
m 2-11 1		Rs. 52770-00 nP.
81 — 19 6 Add 15% f Add compe	for compulsory acquisit insation for the well	cion " 7915-50 nP.

Contd * 4

Grant Total Rs. 60885

and Revenue Deductions.

The land under acquisition is assessed to s.32.28 nP. as Land Revenue, which will be deducted rom the Khalsa Rent Roll of village from the harvest n which its possession is taken.

(Balbir Singh)

Land Acquisition Collector: Delhi.

Submitted to the Collector, Delhi for favour of information and for filing please.

(Balbir Singh) 17/7/63

Land Acquisition Collector: Delhi.

Seen

Homen Delli

Admin Delli

Mi Pomen Delle elai

20/2/63

FOITTOR DELHI

The persons interested may be someone to 26/2/67-4 him the award

When re