AWARD N .. No

Award No. 1910 for the year 1966- by shri Bishan Singh, P.C.S., Land Acquisition Collector, Delhi. Case No.

Acquisition of land for the Planned Development of Delhi.

Village :

BASAIDARAPUR

A W A R D

Delhi Administration issued notification No.F. 15(121) 59-LSG, dated the 13.11.1959 under section 4 of the Land Acquis Act, 1894, for acquiring 34070 acres area in many villages and th village is of them. Seperate notifications under section 6 of the above mentioned act are being issued by the Delhi Administration as and when any necessity arises for acquisition. After considering objections under section 5A, a notification No.F.1(29)/ 62-L&H(ii) / dated 29.7.63 under section 6 of the said act was issued for the acquisition of land measuring 51 bighas 1 biswa in village Basaidarapur Tehsil and Distt. Delhi at public expens for a public purpose namely for Planned Development of Delhi. Acquisition proceedings in this village were suspended till further instructions vide D.O. No.F.1(20)/62-L&H, dated the 3rd April, 1965 from the Asstt. housing Commissioner to the Land Acquisition Collector of Narela circle. Vide letter No.F.1(20)/ 62-L&H, dated the 23rd Aguist, 1965 from the Deputy Housing Commissioner, Delhi Administrati , Vikas Bhevan, New Delhi, it was desired that acquisition proceedings in respect of the area which fall outside the regularised plan of Gaon Sabha should be starte and the proceedings regarding the remaining area which falls i

Agrised layout of this colony will be taken up in due course move of Municipal Corporation authorities, but the plan of the sout layout was not forwarded to this branch. On the other hand, he demracation was given to Kanungo of land Acquisition Branch egarding the land which is to be acquired which includes Kh. no.13 1349, 1353, 2363, 2364/2 measuring 29 bighas 10 biswas and 1

regarding the acquisition of these khasra Nos. was given to the Assistant Housing Commissioner vide this office D.O. No.8967/LAB/Rev, dated 6.7.66 and no objection was rised for the acquisition of this area by him in his D.O. No.F.1(20)/62-LSG, dated 12.7.66. It has also been intimated that further action is being taken by that department regarding the other area now left out of proceedings. This award is being drawn for the acquisition of area measuring 29 bighas 10 biswas 1. MEASUREMENT:

The area under acquistion as metioned above is 29 bighas and 10 biswas and is found correct even on measurer by the field staff at site. The acquisition file has so far been prepared for this area. The land under acquisition li in village Basaidarapur. Details of kh. Nos. in form 7A hav been prepared under paragraph 55 of Financial Commissioner's standing order No.28 which are as under:-

S.No. Name of the owner Name of the te Kh. area Kind nant. no. Big.Bis land

1. Bhagirath, Balkishan,
Harsarup, Ran singh,
Harpal, Ss/o Chanda, in
equat shares.....1/8.
Khushi Ram s/o Cheta...
Mehar singh s/o Manda...t,
Nathu s/o Ghasita ...

2363 min 05-17 G.M.Plc
C.M.Plc
C.Sharer, Nathu 2364/2 06-08 -dododoMehar singh s/o Manda...t,
Nathu s/o Ghasita ...

28 Para bick

2. Ram kishan, Ram sayan, Ram kishan, 1347
5s/o Kehri, in equal shares Ram saran, Co-show
in equal share
3. Ram Kishan. Ram Saran. Self cultivator 1940

3. Ram Kishan, Ram Saran, Self cultivator, 1349 3-03 Chahi Ss/o Rebri.

4. Munna s/o Hira -do- 1353 3-01 chahi

TOTAL 29-10

A portion of kh. (No. 2364 is already under approved colony, which is now being excluded from acquisition as desired by the Housing Deptt. and new no. given that area is Kh. 2364/1. Remaining portion of this kh. No. now under acquisition now bears kh. No. 2364/2.

been accepted by the 1 -s. We objection work the department.

as per proposed by the Naib-Tehsildar, Land Acquisition on the basis of entries of kh. girdwari at the time of notification u/s 4 which is as under:-

CLASS OF LAND	AREA Big.Bis.			
СНАНІ	09 05			
G.M. Plot	20 05			
TOT AL	20 10			

2.CLAIMS:

The interested persons have filed their claims again acquisition of this land and have also mentioned regarding the high prices now previoling in the locality which should be give to them. The claims of all interested persons are as under:

S.No. Name of claimant Claims

Remarks.

1.Khushai Ram and o hers.

The claimants have raised objection regarding 17 bigha produced an un-sout of kh. No. 2363 and 2364 ested copy of and have stated that this area is surrounded by Mans- Distt. Judge harovar Garden colony, Motiver, on 7.10.61 buscolony and industrial area. Could not prove the land is very valuable land regarding were The land is very valueable and compensation should be given @ Rs.25/-per.sq.yd and have demanded Rs.4000/-for the land under

The claimant has acquisition. The is not being ac The claims does seem proper. The value shall be for according to the

2.0m Parkash

The claimant has stated that he jurchased the plot from sh. Sukh Ram Dass and wants compensation for the land.

The claimant failed to turn up. He has also no produced any record in respect of his claim. he purchased the plot from sh.

His name does not a in the revenue re No action is c

3. Kharati The claimant while putting Lal and his claim has requested for producing the evidence in respect of his land but has failed to give any proof. others.

No. kh. no. has been mentioned. Hence no action is called for.

4. Dev This claim has been filed Raj Chadha by Diwan Narain Dass advocate and hey have mywold 40 claimants for release of their area on

As the land is to be acquired for public at public expense, t question of dele area does not these furt

have drawly bun constructs does not by them .

(4) He has not turned up inspite The revenue record does of personal service affected not show the ownership on him. The claimant wants to of the claimant. Hence have compensation at the rate no further action is of 1.100/- per sq. yd. for the called for. area measuring 345 sq. yds purchased by him out of khasra No.2363. 6. Mohan Kumar According to the revenue record, the claimant is not owner of any area. Hence the claim is false and no action xx is called for. 7. Biswa Mittar He has claimed compensation @ m.100/- per sq. vd for 225 sq. vds purchased by him out of khasra no. 363. According to revenue record, the claimant is not owner of any area. Hence claim is false He has claimed compensation @ R.100/- per sq. yd. for 225 sq. yds out of khasra No. 2363 and 2364 8. Krishan Gopal 9.Hans Raj He has claimed compensation 3 m. 100/- per sq. yd for 200 sq. yds out of khasra No. -d o-10.Asa Mehta -do-The area mentioned by the claimant is not being acquisi tioned. Hence no further action is called for. Mi. Mohinder He has claimed compensation for 250 sq. yds out of kh. Kiladari Ho. 1345 & R. 100/- per sq. yd fisingh Kiladari -do-12.5 -00-Vidya wenti. He has claimed compensation 13.Ram @ %.50/- per sq. yd. for an area lying in kh. No.1347, 1349 and 1354. 14. Ram saran -do--00b.50/- per sq. yd for an area lying in bh. Nos.1347, 1353 and 1354. 15. Muna 1 -do-

No proof for his claim was given; Hence the claim is exhorbitant and fabulous

There are two applications through her counsel R.L. Bhagat for adjournment for filing her claim which has not so far been produced by the claiment.

The land does not stand in her name. As the claimant has failed to put up any proof, hence no action is called for.

-do-17. Ram Naraim

18. Sardari He has claimed compensation No area of this khasra No. is Lal for 125 sq. yards 2 %.100/- being acquired. Hence no fur per sq. yd. out of kh. No. 1346. action is called for.

He has claimed compensation for 100 sq. yds @ 15.100/- per sq. yd. out of kh. No.134. 19.Lekh Raj

20. Prakash The claimant wants compensation L.1 @ R. 100/- per sq. yd. for 1633 sq. yds out of kh. No. 1345. -do-

He has claimed compensation @ R.100/- per sq. yd. out of 21.Ram -do-Kh. No.134 for 1338 sq. yds.

contd...5/-

22. Hans Rej. The claimant wants compensation xxx This kh. No 28.100/- per sq.yd. for 125 sq. yds is not being out of khasra No.1345 acquired. No fu xxx This kh. No. acquired. No further action is called for.

The claimant objects that the 23. Diwan The Creation area of 225 sq. yds parea of 225 sq. y chand.

The land in lieu of acquired, if any, will be given by the Housing Deptt. He should rather Deptt. He should rather approach Deptt. concerned As the land acquired for

24. Hari chand

-do-

25.Bhola The claimant demands compensation Nath for area out of kh. No.2916/23817 and 1354.

As both these kh. Nos. are not being acquired, The objection here is not called for and is a noidered baseless.

The claimant wants compense - tion for kh. No. 1345 26.Uttam *chand

This khasra No. is not being acquired. Hence no action is being called for.

27. Rajbans The claimant has raised objection Lal regarding land of th. No. 184,

-do-

The claimant has stated that House Mo.2121 situated in th. No Q 1123 is mortgage with him. 28.J.swant singh. The compensation should now be paid to him.

The compensation change to KL. NO 1123 is not covered by award.

The claiment has raised 29.Madan Lal objection regarding Mr. NO. 1346. This kh. No. is not being acquired. Hence no action is called for.

30.5mt. The claimant has not mentioned Sunder Kaur my kh. No.

No action is called for.

3. POSSESSION

This area is being acquired at the public expense for a public purpose namely for the Planned Development of Delhi and possession has not so far been taken by the department concerned. Linspected the site on 25.6.66. A

4. HARKET VALUE

The land of this village is of urban nature. In calculating the amount of compensation to be awarded, certain note as mentioned u/s 23(i) of the Land contd...6/-

determine the market value, many other factors enter into reckoming, for instance the size and shape of the land, its situation and the use to which it is put, its potential value and rise and fall in dem nd of land in the market. A certain amount of correcture is inevitable though the courts should guard against poing too far in this direction. The market value is also to be worked out by scrutinising the/sale transactions of the village that took place during first 5 years preceding the date of publication of notification under section 4 of the let; situation and kind of soil land acquired previously in the village, are to be taken into consideration in this commention. The claims of the Right-Holders already discussed are also to be kept in view for fixing the market value.

The yearwise statement of the average sale give for 5 years im ediately preceding the date of notification i.e. 13.11.1959 under section 4 has been worked out as under:-

S.Mo. Year	Area Big.Bis.	Abaunt	
1, 1954-55	_115-02	B.3,97,599=18	3.3454=38
2- 1955-56	150-174	a.3,90,528=53	B.2582=21
3. 1956-57	416-16}	10,38,732=05	≥.2611=91
4. 1957-58	98-07	m.2,20,534=00	6.3175=26
5. 1958-59	10-10-	1.53 ,475=00	B.5030=76
	781=15%	B.22,10,868=76 dwwn ge sale price Lin	8. 3836 =30 A
0	The avera	ge sale price divin	st preceding the

notification m/s 4 comes to E.2822=30, but from the above table, prepared by the Maib-Tehsildar, Land Acquisition, it appears the the average price i.e. E.2538=21 during the year 1955-56 was the lowest and the average price i.e. E.5080=75 for the year 1956-56 was the highest. The perusal of all the transactions during the year reveal that in almost all the transactions; with the exception of two only very small area i.e. upto 5 biswes was sold and that is the main reason for high average price for per bight. These sales must have been inflated the to the fear of reaction and other expenses. Hence the average price

contd ... 7/-

per bigha of this year can not be made the basis of these sales because small pieces of land are always sold on high prices.

Thushi Ram and other land owners have desired that this area be assessed @ which was fixed by the Learned Additional District Judge in his judgement passed in case i.e. L:+A.C.

Mo.1058 of 1962 Jodh Singh Vs Union of India. The perusal of the copy attested by the Notary Public which is on the file shows that this judgement relates to kh. Mo.2143/1 measuring one bight and 14 biswas thich is adjacent to Mazafgarh Delhi Road and has very high potential value for factory and shops. The land under acquisition at present is far off that land. Hence the price fixed by the Learned Additional District Judge can not be considered the main factor for fixing up the market value of this land.

Some sale deeds glso took place during the year 1965

which are as under:-

Mutation No.	registrat		sold.		Average per bigh
2336	1.5.56	3624/1345/1	005	E.1937=50	- NELL
2337	35.4.56	3625/1345/1/2	0-04	No. 1937=50	D.9687=50
2978	22.3.56	1346	0-10	500=00	E.1000=00
3024	27.1.56	2363, 2364	4-00	Fs. 12000=00	R.3000=00

Athasra No.1345 is near the area which is now under acquisition. Whasra No.1346 is quite adjacent to khasra No.1347 which is now under acquisition. Some areas kinasra No.2363, 2364 is now also being acquired. From the doove table, it is also revealed that according to sale deed mentioned above some of the area has been sold at No.1000/- per bigha while the other was sold at very exhorbitant rates. The area involved in all these cases except the last one is very small. Similarly the purchasers of small plots of land generally pay high price. Sometimes the purchasers want to show the excess sale amount due to the fear of preemption suits by any interested persons. The amount involved in all the above mentioned mutations has no force in fixing the exact market value.

contd....8/-

The following awards were a nomecd in this villa of

	-	0	~	0	10	3	30	1.0	10	
			~	ania.	Ü	1	77	ic		

No.		Date of Lotif Index section		e bigha.
N. C. C.	850	22,9,63	Block "A" Block "B" Block "C"	13.3800/- 13.2800/- 13.300/-
2.	891	24.4.57	E.32 per sq.	yard.
3.	996	3.9.57	Block "A" Block "B" Block"C"	2500/- 15.3000/- 15.2500/-
•	1272	3.9.57	Block "A" "Lock"B" Block"G"	%.3500/- %.3000/- %.3500/-
5.	1680	8.9.57	The land other than Nala and G.M. Rasto	3.3000/- per bigha

awards NO 650, 891, 9964 1272 is not man the present site

The land mentioned in the court of Distt. Judge. I have also to the land at site. The Chahi area measuring 9 bighas 5 biswas the under cultivation and is a source of annual income to the

Iving vacant is of the same quality but is not a source of agriculturing the because it is lying vacant. Some preference is to be given while the parket value of the land. Hence chahi area and the otherwise will form Block No.I and II respectively.

After giving full consideration to the demand of the 1 owners concerned and interested persons, the situation and quality of the the sales of the land from within and surrounding the land and requisition in years 1984-55, 1955-56, 1955-57, 1957-58, 1958-57, 1958-57, 1958-57, 1957-58, 1958-57, 1958-57, 1958-57, 1957-58, 1958-57, 1958-5

Block Wo.I (Chahi land)

B.3100/- per bigha.

Block No. II (G.M. Plot)

73.3000/- per bigha.

5.TREES, WELLS, AND OTHER STRUCTURES:

Trees: - There are trees like Amrud, Lemon, Anjeer which are of very ordinary the and can only be used as firewood. The debail of trees alongwith amount assessed is as

under:-

impunt assessed. S.No. Khasra We. Wol ard kind weight. of tres.

13.5/-13.85/-13.5/l quintal
/17 quintals
l quintal
guintal Mikar - 1 /Amrud - 1 1 Malta - 2 Apar - 1 1.5/-1 quintal Neem-1 1349 30.100=50 205 quintal Total

All the above mentioned trees appear to be implanted

No well is cituated in the land under acquisition. 8 years back. Wells:-

ther Structures : There are some structures in the land which are sentioned as under:-

Home of the claimant. S.No. Illustratio. I Mind of structure Shen ti Devi w/o Baldevi singh, WZ=981 3 rawati Gardens, Delhi. S rooms (4 rooms are of lanter and 4 are of tin shed) 2363 Jaj pal singh, s/o Bhatia, Wz-38B.-2 rooms (1 is of kari roof and 1 is of tin shed). Mala singh s/o Bhag si WZ-29 Sgraswati Gardon 2 rooms of lenter roofs 2 hitchens double roof. one store -doand one stare case. 2 rooms, 2 kitchens don le Jagat singh roofs nd one stair case danks chand Saraswati Ga -00-

All the above mentioned structures have been con by the interested parties, fire the publication of notificati under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act. Hence no vale to be fixed for all these structures.

(To be published in Part IV of Delhi Gazette.)

DELHI ADMINISTRATION: DELHI.

NOTIFICATION

No.F.I(20)/62-L&H(11):- Whereas it appears to the Chief Commissioner of Delhi that land is requested to be taken by Government at the public expense for a public purpose, namely for the Planned Development of Delhi, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose. Dated the 29th July 1963.

This declaration is made under the provisions of the section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Collector of Delhi is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

A plan of the land may be inspected at the Office of the Collector of Delhi.

SPECIFICATIONS.

Locality Total Area Field Nos. or Boundary. of Village. Big. Bis.

Bassidarapur 51---1

2363, 2364, 1347, 1353, 1349, 1344, 1345, 1346, 2925/2346 min, 2916/2397, 1354 Min.

By Order Sd/- (JAG MOHAN)
DEPUTY HOUSING COMMISSIONER:
DELHI ADMINISTRATION: DELHI.

Na. F. (20) /62-L&H(11) Dated the 29th July 1963. Copy forwarded to:-

Recruitment & Services Deptt. (in duplicate) for favour of publication in Delhi Gazette.

Engineer M ber, Delhi Development Authority, NewDelhi General Lager, Engg. Northern Rly. H.Q. Office,
Baroda Hase, New Delhi.

A.D.M. (LA), Delhi.

LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR I Delhi.

Tabaildan Land & Housing Department. Delhi.

Tahsildar, Land & Housing Department, Delhi.

(JAG MOHAN) DEPUTY HOUSING COMMISSIONER: DELHI ADMINISTRATION: DELHI.

LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR (III)