AWARD No. 1098

Nature of acquisition:-Village:-

Permanent.
Madipur.

By virtue of notification No.F.15(156)/60-LSG(i) dated the 20th June, 1960 under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 issued by the Chief Commissioner, Delhi, 48 bighas 10 biswas of land is under acquisition at the public expense, for a public purpose namely for the Resectioning of the Najafgarn Drain (Phase II) at Madipur. The purpose acquisition being very urgent, section 17(1) of the Act was applied and declaration under section 6 of the Act was issued by the same authority for the same purpose and area vide notification No.F.15(156)/60-LSG(ii) of the even date. The Chief Commissioner, Delhi was pleased to direct the Land Acquisition Collector, Delhi to take possession over the land given in the declaration vide his order of the even date through Motification No.F.

MEASUREMENT.

After actual measurement at the spot the area has been found to be 54 bighas of which the field wise details are given below:-

Field Mo.	Area Big Bis	Kind of soil.
1044/2 1045/2 1047/2 1049/2 1050/2	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
1051/2 1059/2 1061/2 1078/2 1079/2 1082/2	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-dodo- Ghairmunkin Mullah Sailah. Rosli Chahi
1083/2 1087/2 1088/2 1089/2 1090/2	0 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	-do- do- Rosli -do- Chahi
1091/2 1092/2 1093/2 1094/2 1106/2 1107/2		-do- -do- -do- -do- -do-
1108/2	$\begin{array}{ccc} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & \\ & &$	

1110/2 1111/2 1112/2 1113/2 1131/2 1132/2 1133/2 1133/2 11536/1 1153/1 1153/1 1163/1 1163/1 1165/1 1166/1 1176/1 1179/1 1179/1 1179/1 1179/1 1179/1 1179/1 1188/2 1188/2 1191/2 1192/2 1193/2 1196/2 1196/2	6222123144214120111160378137111242 1111221111111101111100011111121100000000	Rosli Chahi -do- Rosli Chahi Sailab. Chahi Sailab. Chahi Chahi -dodododododododo
---	--	--

Total:- 54 0

Chahi 38 15
Sailab 3 7
Rosli 11 8
Ghairmumkin 0 10

entered in the notifications. This has been covered by the scheme about which the persons interested very well know and they have filed their claims. Field No. 1135/1 in fact divided into two; 1535/1135 and 1536/1135. No revised notification is necessary on this account. Manyf the persons interested objected about the measurement. the time of my inspection of the spot on the 17th November, 1960, I tried to remove these objections but it was four that the land was under water. However, some sort of satisfaction was made so far as it was poss:

K

measurement was done in the dry area. It was found that nine Gathas on each side of the old Majafgarh Drain were covered by the present scheme. The persons interested were made to understand accordingly and they admitted the principle as correct.

CONTENS TION.

The following persons interested filed their written claims:-

- 1) Ratton Singh, wahar Singh etc. filed their written objection on the 7th uly, 1960 wherein they demanded compensation for land at the rate of r. 7,000/- per bigha and also for crops of Junar and Sugarcano together with trees.
- 2) Jawahar Singh s/o Shiv Dayal bhumidhar of field No. 1154/1 and 1169/2 described his land to be Chahi, under Juwar crop, for which he demanded 150/- per highand for land No. 6,000/- per bigha. He expressed dissatisfaction about the area.
- 3) Dani s /o Mehar Chand, bhumidhar of field Nos. 1182/2, 1114/2 and 1153/1 describing his land as Chahi and demanded compensation at the rate of Pa. 500/- per bight for his sugarcane crop. He expressed dis-satisfaction about the measurement.
- 4) Suraj Singh s/o Shiv Dayal, bhumidhor of field Noss 1113/2, 1106/2, 1079/2 described his area and class of land as wrong, demanded compensation for land at the rate of the 8,000/- per bigha and for two kikar trees at the 40/- per tree. He also demanded compensation for field Mo. 1145 which has not been covered by the scheme.
- 5) Surat Singh, Bhup Singh sons of Ranjit Singh bhumidhar of field No. 1176/1 while admitting the area and kind of soil as correct demanded compensation for land at the rate of R. 6,000/- per bigha and for Juwar stalk at r. 200/- per bigha. They demanded R. 40/- each for 4 kike.
- Nor 1496/1- 1

as Chahi under sugarcane and Juwar crops for which he demanded 1. 500/- for Sugarcane and 1. 200/- for Juwar stall per bigha. For land he demanded 1. 6,000/- per higha.

- 7) Malhi s/o Rem Rikh bhumidher of field Mos. 1192/2, 1193/2 and 1194/2 while describing his land as Chahi demanded compensation for it at the rate of and Ms. 6,000/- per bigha/for Sugarcane crop at the rate of Ms. 500/- per bigha.
- 8) Nand Ram s/o Badlu, bhumidhar of field Mo. 1094-min described his land as Chahi and demanded compensation at the rate of p. 6,000/- per bigha:
- 9) Ratan Singh s/o Baldev bhumidhar of field Nos. 1173/1, 1087/2 and 1083/2 while describing his land as Chahi demanded its compensation at the rate of p. 6,000/per bigha and for Juwar crop at the rate of p. 150/- per bigha. He is stated to have 5 kikar trees in the land under-acquisition.
- 10) Raghbir and Udmi sons of Manghul bhumidhers of field Nos. 1107/2, 1078/2 described their land as Chahi saying that Dharam Singh, Nathan, Ram Chander, Nihal, Amin Lal etc. had no concern with field No. 1078/2 measuring 7 biswas. They demanded & 100/- per bight for Chariyand & 8/- per sq. yard for land.
- 11) Nihal son of Jas Ram bhumidhar of field Mo. 1170-min demanded compensation at the rate of M. 8/- per s-q. yard for land and M. 40/- for a kiker tree.
- 12) Kirpa son of Nanak bhumidhar of field Mos. 1049/2, 1179-min wanted satisfaction about the measurement saying that/his land wers Spearcane and Juwar crops and there was/a kikar tree.
- 13) Udmi son of Ram Sahai bhumidhar of field Mo. 1594/1181/2 s-tated that one bigha 6 biswas of his land had been covered but in fact it was found that only 1 bigha 11 biswas were covered. He demanded B. 6,000/- per bigha for land and B. 500/- per bigha for Sugarcane crop.
- 14) Nethan bhunidher of field Nos. 1161/2, 1176/2 and 1078-min had two ries in his Cold and

W.

s-tated by his son Mani Ram for which he demanded P. 30/- for trees and P. 8,000/- per bigha for land.

15) Rup Ram son of Budha bhumidhar of field Nos. 1089/2 and 1088/2 stated that his land was Chahi it area was not correct and he might be given compensation at the rate of b. 6,000/- per bigha.

16) Bhikha son of Ram Singh bhumidhar of field No. 1133/2 described his area as incorrect, demanded compensation for his Chahi land at the rate of No. 6,000/-per higha and for his Juwar crop at the rate of No. 250/-per bigha.

17) Balwa son of Arjun described himself as the real owner of field No. 1183/2 of which Mamaa son of Bhagwana had been declared as bhumidhar. That a civil suit against Maman was compromised but not given effect in the revenue papers.

18) Siri Rem son of Tulsi bhumidhar of field No 1535/1135/1, 1536/1135/1 stated that Kirpa and Duli were the bhumidhars of the latter field number who suffendered their rights in his favour in the Civil Court but its dicision has not been given effect in the revenue papers.

19) Ram Chander son of Makhan admitted to give the compensation of field No. 1078/2 measuring 7 bisums to Raghbir and Udmi etc. Similarly Nihal son of Jas Ram gave an application saying that he had no concern with this field No.

20) Sanwal Singh, Assistant Settlement Commissioner (R) through his letter No.F.1(7)/ASC(R)/60, dated the 8th Movember, 1960 wrote to say that field No. 1045 had been ellotted on permanent basis to Shri Kabir Dason of Nanu Mal and his department was no more concerned with the same.

21) Sarvshri Jawahar Singh, Rum Ram, Bhagwan Saha Ratah Singh, Mani Ram, Kirpa Ram, Ram Parshad stated before me on the 17th November, 1960 at spot that Sailab and Chabi lands were of the same quality and should be evaluated as one category. Gampat son of Udmi stated on the same day that the land left out of their field No. 1181 was not cultivable, therefore, this land might also be acquired.

In evidence they produced two agreements dated the 13th Movember, 1959 in respect of field Mos. 696, 699, 700 and 701 containing mk rates of R. 6,000/- per bigha. It appears that though the bargain is struck but the deal is not clinched. These field Nos. are situated away from the land under acquisition and near the Rohtak Road and the abadi, therefore, these agreements are not relevant to this case. There is only one sale transaction available in this village during & period of 5 years preceding the date of notification under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, which took place catherbaria and mutation No. 11170 a registered deed dated the 14th Movember, 1958 in respect of the transfer of field Mo. 727/1 measuring 1 bigha 15 biswas Chahi for Rs. 5,000/- at the rate of p. 2857.14 m per bigha Kham. This field number is just on the Robtak road. There is absolutely no comparison of this field nucle with the land under acquisition and, therefore, no releve for valuation purposes in this case.

The annual rental value of M. 48.28 nF of Mosli land for the year 57-58 and of M. 39.27 nF of mixed Rosli and Chahi lands for the year 1958-59 is available. After deducting 10% towards land revenue, cesses and the cost of their realization their capitalised value over 20 years purchase comes to M. 878.04 nF and t. 706.86 nF respective. This is about the agricultural land, the type, which is undaracquisition and is more or less a true index of the prices prevalent in the locality. The land under acquisition is one fundamental defect of its being affected by the drain action at the time of heavy rains and, therefore, it is ins-ecure.

As stated by some of the persons interested Cand Sailab kinds are treated in one block because the

Vi.

Marked distinction in their produce as given in the Settlement directory. About the prices of Chahi and Rosli the Naib-tehsildar suggested the ratio of 3:2 i.e. Sailab and Chahi 3 against Rosli 2. He has given the reas for keeping this ratio on the basis of the produce and it appears to be correct.

To the east of this area is situated the land of Basaidarapur being utilised for residential purpose by the Punjabi Bagh Co-operative Society. On its west is situate the land of Jawalaheri where award Mo. 1084 was drawn up i connection with the acquisition of land for the same scheme at the rate of p. 400/- per bigha for Chahi. To its south-west is situated the land of village Khyala of which the rates of Chahi and Sailab hase been adopted at Rs. 600/- per bighe. The land of this village was acquired for the Punjabi Bogh at the rate of P. 1967/- per bigha for irrigated and D. 800/- per bigha for unirrigated but that land is situated on the Rohtak Road and is, therefore, appropriate for residential purposes while the land in question lacks in this respect as residential, plots are not possible in this land. The claims of the persons interested are exharbitant and are not supported any authentic relevant evidence. The proposal of the Naib-tehsilder appears to be reasonable which found agreement with the Departmental officer. Keeping in with the material on the file and my own observation of the I consider a rate of N. 600/- per bigha for Chahi and Sailab lands, F. 400/- per bight for Rosli and F. 100/1 bigha for Chairmumkin Mullah as fair and reasonable and award accordingly.

CROP COMPANS TION.

It the time of taking over possession on the 11th July, 1960, crops were standing in certain field number for which the Haib-tehsilder, Land Acquisition proposed a sum of As. 2153.80 nF. He has taken into account the deduction of land revenue and cesses, the rate present



during the fortnight in which possession was taken (from the Delhi Gazette) and the produce of land from the settlement directory. His proposal is reasonable and I have no reason to differ from him. I, therefore, award the same amount. The details are given below:-

• •		02.011 8010				
	Name of the person interes	Field No.	B	Area ig. Bis	Kind of Crop	Amount of compensation
	Jawahar Singh	1154/1 × 1169/1	1 1	4 11	Juwarfodo	lem. 184.45 i
	Mst. Shimli	1190/2	0	. 12	Sugarcane	k. 67.75 n
	Prithi Singh	1188/20 1191/20	1	5		Ps. 141.10
	Bhagwan Singh etc.	1082/2	0	16	-do-	Ps. 90.28 n
	Umrao Singh e-	tc.1176/1	1	7	Juwar	Rs. 90.02 m
	Ram Fars-had etc.	1175/1 1196/2 1195/2	2	1	fodder Juwarfodd 1-3 Sugarcane 018	N. 179.33
1.044/22	Kanwar Singh E	tc .1047/2	0	8		B. 45.18 n
	Ka lhi Singh	1193/2 1194/2 1192/2	1	7		Ps. 152.44
1083/2 ×	Ratan Singh	1085/2 (° 1173/1 (°	1	8	Juwor Fodder	E93.76 nF
- L	Wihal Singh	1170/1	1	0	-d o-	Ps. 67.02 nF
	Amin La-1	-do-	0	6	-do-	5. 20.11 n
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Balwant Etc.	1161/1	1	15	-do-	Ra. 117.35 n
•	Kirpa Rem etc.	1049/2 1179/1	1	19	-do-	B. 131.22 n
	Dhan Singh	1047/2 1179/1min	0	19 3	Sugarcane Cha-ri	Fs. 184.72 n
	Sehan	1050/2min	1/	4	-	Rs. 80.39 nF
A STATE OF THE STA	Bhikha etc.	1133/2	1	18		Pa. 127.05 n
	Maman	1183/2	1	3	_ 44	№. 76.79 m
1045/2	Kabir Das	1039/2	0	12		Rs. 67.76 nl
* 	Gopi	1189/2	0	11		Ps. 62.14 nT
	Udmi	1594/1181/2	2 1 	11		ls. 174 , 94 ni
1		Total:-	26	0	Total:-	: 2153 80 :

Trees:-

There were 16 kikar trees standing in the 1300 per

acquisition when possession was taken over and handed over the departmental officer. The naib-tehsildar, Land Acquisit has proposed Rs. 186/- keeping in view the weight of the fuel wood and the rate of Rs. 2/- per maund which it could fetch the market. His proposal is reasonable and agreeing with his I award the same amount. The details are given below:-

Field	No. Person interested.	Mo. of trees	Wei ght	Rate per Ame	ount.
1170	Jas Ram son of Nibal	1`	7	2/- Ps. 1	4/-
1049/	2 Kirpa s/o Nanak	2	10	2/- 8. 2	20/- =
· 1535/	1135/1 Nathan s/o Baldev	3	18	n Ro. Z	56/ -
113/2	Surat Singh s /o Shiv Lal	2	1 5	n 5.30)/-
1 173/	1 Rattan Singh 2 s/o Baldev	3	15	n B. 3	50/-
1176/	1 Surat Singh, Bhup Singh Ss/o Ranjit Singh	4	8	n Pg. 1	6/-
1165/	R Chandgi	1	20.	n B. 4	:0/-
	Total:-	16	98	Fs. 186.00	

SEVERENCE.

Udmi son of Ram Sahai stated that 1 bigha 16 biswas of his land comprising field No. 1594/1181/2 was covered by to scheme. It was found on the 17th November, 1960 at spot that 5 biswas out of his field number remained outside the scheme this narrow strip of land is of course not conveniently cultivable. It is, therefore, desirable to allow damage for severence. The kind of soil is Chahi. The departmental officients not been consulted whether or nor it would be acceptable than to acquire this land. No doubt the remaining land has been rendered less profitable for **sultivation** purposes. I, therefore allow Rs. 300/- per bigha for damage due to severence.

There is no well or structure in the land under acquisition.

SOLATIUM .

As provided in sub-section 2 of section 25 of the



Land Acquisition Act, 1894 15% will be added to the amount of compensation for the land and trees and not to the compensation of crops and damage due to severence.

INTEREST

Interest is hereby allowed from the 11th July, 1960 the date of taking over possession upto the 10th January, 1961 the anticipated date of payment to the persons interest at the rate of 6% P.A.

APPORTIONMENT.

Bhumidhari rights have been declared in this estate and the payment of compensation will be made according to the bhumidhari rights but there are disputes in the following cases:-

- (i) Field Nos. 1094, 1170, 1078, 1091, 1179, 1059, 1051 have been allotted to more than one persons while the area allotted to them has not been precisely defined. Unless the Titamas are prepared and it is not known whose area has been covered it is not possible to disburse the compensation to the rightful owners.
- (ii) The title of field Nos. 1136/1, 1535/1135/1, 1170, 1183/2, 1536/1135 has been questioned in the claims filed by the persons interested. Unless the title is clearly proved in respect of these field numbers it is not possible to make payment of compensation.

The pa-yment of the above mentioned field numbers will be stopped till the Tittamas are prepared or rights well defined: In the absence of it the matter shall have to be referred to the District Judge for verification of rights and payment to the rightful owners. The details of the rights are given in the statement prepa-red under paragraph 55 of Standing Order No. 28.

The award is summarised as below:-

Kind of soil	Area Big. Bis.	Rate per Bigha.	Compensation
Chahi Sáilab	38 15≬ 3 7≬	Ps. 600/-	Es. 25260
Rosli	11 8	Ps. 400/-	B. 4,560.00



Ghairmumkin Nullah 0 10 Rs. 100/- Rs. 50.00

Add compensation for trees:- Rs. 186.00

Add 15% for compulsory acquisition cost:- Rs. 4508.40 nF.

Add compensation for crops:- Rs. 2153.80 nF.

Add compensation for severence of Rs. 75.00 nF.

Add compensation for severence of 5 biswas @ Rs. 300/- per bigha.

Total:- Rs. 36,793.20 nF.

Add interest @ 6% P.A. from 11.7.1960 to 10.1.1961.

B. 1103.80 nF.

Grand Total:- Rs

Rs. 37,897.00

LAND REVENUE DEDUCTION.

An amount of R. 23.84 nF will be deducted from the Khalsa rent Roll of village Madipur from Rabi, 1961.

(Murari Singh)
Land Acquisition Collector,
Delhi. 9.12.1960.

Submitted to the D.C. (Collector of the District), Delhi for information.

(Mursri Singh)
Land Acquisition Collector,
Delhi. 9.12.1960.

Jean. 1002/13/14/60

By Mr Mase. It 12 bo.