

C.C. Desai and others jointly purchased land in a co-
holding, but claimed compensation separately on the basis
of area. This holding has not been partitioned so far.
details of the field Nos. with area and kind of soil
given below:-

Field No.	Area Big-Bis.	Kind of soil.
54/2/1	0 - 5	
54/2/2	3 - 0	Banjar Qadim.
55/2	6 - 7	-do-
1165, 1166/70/3	2 - 16	-do-
1165-66/70/4	19 - 3	-do-
71	6 - 17	-do-
941/72	1 - 3	Ghairmunkin Pahar.
942/72	1 - 4	-do-
943/72	1 - 4	-do-
944/72	1 - 4	-do-
945/74	1 - 4	-do-
946/74	5 - 14	-do-
1402/75	0 - 8	-do-
1403/75	3 - 19	-do-
1404/75	2 - 1	Banjar Qadim.
1405/75	2 - 16	-do-
1406/75/1	0 - 19	-do-
1406/75/2	1 - 6	-do-
1407/75	7 - 5	-do-
76/1/1	1 - 0	-do-
76/1/2	1 - 6	-do-
77/2	1 - 4	-do-
950/88/1	2 - 14	-do-
93/2	3 - 6	-do-
94/2	8 - 13	Ghairmunkin Khar.
95	9 - 7	Banjar Qadim.
	124 - 0	-do-
96	0 - 8	Banjar Qadim.
97 part	22 - 18	Kotha & well.
98/1	0 - 2	Banjar Qadim.
98/2	0 - 12	-do-
98/3	0 - 10	Ghairmunkin Rasta.
98/4	0 - 10	-do-
98/5	0 - 4	Ghairmunkin Plot.
98/6	0 - 7	-do-
98/7	0 - 10	Ghairmunkin Rasta.
98/8	0 - 9	Ghairmunkin Plot.
98/9	0 - 10	-do-
98/10	0 - 8	-do-
98/11	0 - 7	-do-
98/12	0 - 10	-do-
98/13	0 - 7	-do-
98/14	0 - 10	-do-
98/15	0 - 7	-do-
98/16	0 - 1	-do-
1246/99/1	0 - 10	Ghairmunkin Rasta.
1246/99/2	0 - 10	-do-
1246/99/3	0 - 10	-do-
1246/99/4	0 - 10	-do-
1246/99/5	0 - 10	-do-
1246/99/6	0 - 12	-do-
1246/99/7	0 - 0	-do-
1246/99/8	0 - 0	-do-
1246/99/9	0 - 9	-do-
1246/99/10	0 - 10	-do-
1246/99/11	0 - 9	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1246/99/12	0 - 4	-do-
1247/99/1	2 - 18	Ghairmunkin Plot.

C.C. Desai and others jointly purchased land in a co-
holding, but claimed compensation separately on the basis
of area. This holding has not been partitioned so far.
details of the field Nos. with area and kind of soil
given below:-

Field No.	Area Big-Bis.	Kind of soil.
54/2/1	0 - 5	Banjar Qadim.
54/2/2	3 - 0	-do-
55/2	6 - 7	-do-
1165, 1166/70/3	2 - 16	-do-
1165-66/70/4	19 - 3	-do-
71	6 - 17	-do-
941/72	1 - 3	Ghairmunkin Pahar.
942/72	1 - 4	-do-
943/72	1 - 4	-do-
944/72	1 - 4	-do-
945/74	5 - 14	-do-
946/74	0 - 8	-do-
1402/75	3 - 19	-do-
1403/75	2 - 1	Banjar Qadim.
1404/75	2 - 16	-do-
1405/75	0 - 19	-do-
1406/75/1	1 - 6	-do-
1406/75/2	7 - 5	-do-
1407/75	1 - 0	-do-
76/1/1	1 - 6	-do-
76/1/2	1 - 4	-do-
77/2	2 - 14	-do-
950/88/1	3 - 6	-do-
95/2	8 - 13	Ghairmunkin Khat.
94/2	9 - 7	Banjar Qadim.
95	124 - 0	-do-
96	0 - 8	Banjar Qadim +
97 part	22 - 18	Kotha & well.
98/1	0 - 2	Banjar Qadim.
98/2	0 - 12	-do-
98/3	0 - 10	Ghairmunkin Rasta.
98/4	0 - 10	-do-
98/5	0 - 4	Ghairmunkin Plot.
98/6	0 - 7	-do-
98/7	0 - 10	Ghairmunkin Rasta.
98/8	0 - 9	Ghairmunkin Plot.
98/10	0 - 10	-do-
98/11	0 - 8	-do-
98/12	0 - 7	-do-
98/13	0 - 10	-do-
98/14	0 - 7	-do-
98/15	0 - 1	-do-
98/16	0 - 10	Ghairmunkin Rast.
1246/99/1	0 - 6	-do-
1246/99/2	0 - 10	-do-
1246/99/3	0 - 10	-do-
1246/99/4	0 - 10	-do-
1246/99/5	0 - 10	-do-
1246/99/6	0 - 10	-do-
1246/99/7	0 - 12	-do-
1246/99/8	0 - 0	-do-
1246/99/9	0 - 0	-do-
1246/99/10	0 - 9	Less than a Bis.
1246/99/11	0 - 10	-do-
1246/99/12	0 - 9	Ghairmunkin
1247/99/1	0 - 4	Ghairmunkin Plot.
	2 - 18	-do-

Field No.	Area		Kind of soil.
	Big	Bis.	
1247/99/2	0	0	Ghairmunkin Plot
1247/99/3	0	1	(Less than a Biswa).
1247/99/4	0	1	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1247/99/5	0	1	-do-
1247/99/6	0	2	-do-
1247/99/7	0	2	-do-
1247/99/8	0	2	-do-
1247/99/9	0	0	-do-
1247/99/10	0	2	Ghairmunkin Rasta.
1247/99/11	0	11	(less than a Biswa)
1247/99/12	0	6	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1247/99/13	0	12	-do-
1247/99/14	0	1	Ghairmunkin Rasta.
1247/99/15	0	10	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1247/99/16	0	10	-do-
1247/99/17	0	10	-do-
1247/99/18	0	2	-do-
1247/99/19	0	10	Ghairmunkin Rasta.
1247/99/20	0	10	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1247/99/21	0	2	-do-
1247/99/22	0	10	Ghairmunkin Rasta.
1247/99/23	0	10	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1247/99/24	0	2	-do-
1247/99/25	0	10	Ghairmunkin Rasta.
1247/99/26/1	0	10	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1247/99/26/2	0	2	-do-
1247/99/27	0	10	Ghairmunkin Rasta.
1247/99/28	0	10	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1247/99/29	0	10	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1247/99/30	0	2	-do-
1247/99/31	0	10	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1247/99/32	0	10	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1247/99/33	0	2	-do-
1247/99/34	0	7	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1247/99/35	0	17	Ghairmunkin Plot.
1247/99/36	0	5	Ghairmunkin Plot.
100	1	4	Ghairmunkin Plot.
101/2	3	16	Banjer
108/3	13	19	-do-
109	2	16	-do-
971/110	1	3	-do-
972/110/1	0	9	-do-
972/110/2	0	10	-do-
972/110/3	0	5	-do-
972/110/4	0	1	-do-
972/110/5	0	2	-do-
972/110/6	0	14	-do-
972/110/7	0	3	-do-
972/110/8	0	10	-do-
972/110/9	0	10	-do-
972/110/10	0	10	-do-
972/110/11	0	2	-do-
972/110/12	0	12	-do-
972/110/13	0	2	-do-
973/110	3	4	Ghairmunkin Plot.
974/110	0	5	Banjer
111/1	3	8	Ghairmunkin Plot.
112/3	4	5	-do-
113/2	0	15	Banjer Cadim
114/2	0	3	Ghairmunkin Plot.
	0	1	Ghairmunkin Plot.
Total:-	206	0	

Banjer Cadim
Ghairmunkin.

152 Biswa and 2
34 Biswa and 2
colt 2

COMPENSATION:

1. CLAIMS: The details of the claims filed by the persons interested are given below:-

<u>Sl. No.</u>	<u>Name of the claimant</u>	<u>Claims made.</u>
1.	National Insurance Co. Ltd, Council Street, Calcutta.	Rs.30/-/- per sq.yard + 15% towards compulsory acquisition cost + interest. Rs.22,480/-/- for a pucca well.
2.	Sunlight Insurance Co.Ltd.	Rs.30/-/- per sq.yard + 15% towards compulsory acquisition cost + interest @ 6%.
3.	Shri Ram Rattan.	nil.
4.	Smt.Nando Kapur.	Rs.45/-/- per sq.yard or Rs.22,500/-/- in all.
5.	Sh.Madan Mohan Verma, Chairman, Rajasthan Public Service Commission, 20 Rambagh Road, Jaipur.	Rs.25 or 30/-/- per sq.yard.
6.	Miss.Nargis & Miss.Parweej ds/o Benjanji Jahangirji Hira.	Rs.45/-/- per sq.yard i.e. Rs.22,500/-/-.
7.	Sh.O.Kondapi, Indian Council of World Affairs, New Delhi.	Rs.45/-/- per sq.yard.
8.	Smt.Modhvi Gupta B.A. w/o Sh.H.L.Gupta, 2-33, Main Vinay Nagar, New Delhi.	Rs.45/-/- per sq.yard..
9.	Sh.Harbans Singh Bawa s/o Bawa Budh Singh I.S.E., 48 Lodhi, Estate, New Delhi.	Rs.45/-/- per sq.yard or Rs.43,650/-/-.
10.	Smt.Kamalam Surya Narainan w/o Sh.K.V.Surya Narainan. 2-A/57, W.E.A. Karel Bagh, Delhi.	Rs.45/-/- per sq.yard.
11.	Sh.Kripa Shankar Hajela of Seva Sadan Barud Khana, Lucknow.	Rs.45/-/- per sq.yard.
12.	Smt.Nirmal Bahal w/o Sh.Baij Nath Bahal, c/o Frem Nath Motors Ltd; 12, Scindia House, New Delhi.	Rs.50/-/- to Rs.61/-/- per sq.yd.
13.	Sh.Yajna Lalakya Bhardawaja s/o Lachhman Dass Bhardawaja 20, Kirby Place, Delhi Cantt. c/o Lt.Col.K.Bhardawaja E.M.E. 507, Army Base Workshops, E.M.E. P.O. = E.S.D. (Machinery), 24 Parganas, West Bengal.	Rs.45/-/- per sq.yard. i.e. Rs.22,500/-/-.
14.	Smt.Savitri Devi w/o Sh.Dev Sharma, 61 A, Gautam Nagar, N.Delhi.	Rs.45/-/- per sq.yd. or Rs.22,200/-/-
15.	Dr.Devi Chand s/o Prabh Dayal Director of Health Services, Himachal Pradesh, Simla., Professor of Medicine, Lady Harding Medical College, New Delhi. President of M/s.Chankaya Nagri Co-operative House Building Society Ltd; New Delhi.	Rs.45/-/- per sq.yard or Rs.45765/-/-.

Sl.No.	Name of the claimants.	Claims made.
16.	Sh. Ram Narain Verma s/o Ram Sarup Verma, 1056, Gali Hira Nand, Maliwara, Delhi.	Rs. 60/-/- per sq. yard. i.e. Rs. 17,520.00
17.	Smt. Chandervati, 35/52 Prabhat Road, Karol Bagh, Delhi.	Rs. 45/-/- per sq. yard.
18.	Sh. Dara Shah s/o N.M. Wadia, Geogical Advisor, Govt. of India, 10, King George Avenue, New Delhi.	nil.
19.	Sh. Phul Chand s/o Dhan Singh alias Dhani Ram Vaish Aggarwal of Pahar Ganj, Delhi Ramdawara Road.	Rs. 100/-/- per sq. yard. + 15% towards compulsory acquisition cost.
20.	Smt. Kanti Bai Gupta w/o Sh. Ram Ratan Gupta of Kanpur c/o Sh. H.K. Saug, xxxxx No. 44, Kingsway, N. Delhi.	nil.
21.	Sh. Behari Lal Ram Chand, Charitable Trust, New Delhi c/o Sh. H.K. Saug.	nil.
22. ^{cy}	Sh. Anand Sarup Bhargo s/o Sh. Kishan Dev Bhargo, 12/14, Arya Samaj Road, Karol Bagh, Delhi. (7, Bazar Lane, Bengali Market, New Delhi.)	Rs. 70/-/- per sq. yard i.e. Rs. 7,81,270.00
23.	Sh. Laxmi Chander Jain. I.C.S., Commissioner, Meerut Division, Meerut.	nil.
24.	Sh. Abnash Chander s/o Kishan Chander No. 12, Lady Harding Road, New Delhi.	nil.
25.	Sh. C.C. Desai, I.C.S. Retired Ex. High Commissioner for India in Pakistan, Friends Colony.	Rs. 40/-/- per sq. yard + 15% i.e. Rs. 3,27,960.00 plus levelling charges Rs. 1000/-. Revised claim. Rs. 40/-/- per sq. yard or Rs. 3,28,320.00 plus 15% thereon and interest and Rs. 1000/- for levelling & developing for his share
26.	Sh. K.K. Chatter (deceased) through Smt. Meenakshi, widow.	Rs. 40/-/- per sq. yard i.e. Rs. 1,26,360.00 + 15% plus levelling and developing charges Rs. 1500/-/- or land for land.
27.	Sh. A.K. Roy. Secy. Government of India, Ministry of Finance, New Delhi.	Rs. 40/-/- per sq. yard or Rs. 1,21,000/-/- For levelling Rs. 2000/-/- + 15% towards compulsory acquisition or land for land.
28.	Sh. K.S. Venkataraman, 28 Narindra Place, New Delhi at present, 53 Vyali Kaval, Malleeswarm Post, Bangalore.	first market value + 15% revised. Rs. 40/-/- per sq. yard or Rs. 64,520.00 for share. Rs. 1000/-/- developing. Rs. 1200/-/- levelling. Rs. 1240/-/- account of supervising work + 15% +

Sl.No.	Name of the claimants.	Claims made.
29.	Dr. Miss Kaumudi, Under Secretary, U.P.S.C., New Delhi.	Rs.40/-/- per sq.yard. or Rs.40,320/-/- for her share. For levelling..Rs.1500/-/-. + 15% towards compulsory acquisition cost. @ 6% P.A. interest.
30	Smt.Chander Kumari Mital w/o Sh. G.L.Mital, U.P.C.S, Deputy Secy. Govt. of Uttra Pradesh, Ministry of Local Self, Lucknow.	for 1222 sq.yards under lease of M/s.Caltex (India) Ltd....1,11,000/-/-. for the remaining 912 sq.yd. @ Rs.25/-/- per sq.yard + 15% towards compulsory acqn. cost.
31	Smt.Rohina G.Manghermalani w/o Sh.G.D.Mangher Malani E.E.Fertilizer & Chemical Ltd; Nangal Township.	nil.
32.	Sh.Harpal Singh s/o Ch.Hukum Singh, No.2784, Hamelton Road, Delhi & Sh.Rajindera Singh.	Rs.25/-/- per sq.yard plus 15% towards compulsory acqn. cost.
33.	Smt.Manbhari m/o Chet Ram.	} one third share. Rs.15/-/- per sq.yard.
34	Sh.Man Singh s/o Mohan.	
35	Sh.Chhatter s/o Mohan.	
36	Sh.R.N. Bannerji I.C.S.Retired. Chairman, U.P.S.C., Friends Colony, New Delhi.	Rs.40/-/- per sq.yard. + 15% towards compulsory acquisition cost or land for land.
37	Sh.P.K. Samal Under Secy.Govt. of New Delhi at present 4th Floor, 42, Queens Road, Bombay.	Rs.40/-/- per sq.yard.
38	Sh.Uttam Chand Suri s/o Ram Chand Suri.	Sold out to Sh.Champalal Baid & Champalal Bauthia.
39	Sh.Champalal Baid of Banasar, Bekaner State.	Rs.35/-/- per sq.yard + 20% on account of compulsory acquisition.
40	Sh.Champalal Bauthia of Banasar, Bekaner State.	-do-
41	Smt.Harbans Kaur c/o Sh.N.D. Rekhi, 20-B, M.E.A., New Delhi.-5.	Rs.20/-/- per sq.yard.
42	Smt.Vimla Samal w/o Sh.P.K.Samal, 4th Floor, 42, Queens Road, Bombay.	Rs.40/-/- per sq.yard plus 15% towards compulsory acquisition cost plus interest. For developingRs.2000/-/- including part of Khasra No.73, which is not the subject matter of the acquisition or land for land.
43	Sh.Devi Chand s/o Atma Ram Khatri of 213, Kitchner Road, Delhi.	nil.
44.	Sh.Jasjit Singh s/o N.D. Rekhi of 9 Tughlak Road, New Delhi.	nil.
45	Sh.Inder Mohan Furi No.1, Mutcalf Road, Civil Lines, Delhi.	Rs.12/-/- per sq.yard.
46	Sh.Uttam Chand Suri s/o Ram Chand Suri, No.4, Ram Chand Lane, Civil Lines, Delhi.	nil.

Sl. No.	Name of the claimants.	Claims made.
47	Sh. Shanti Devi w/o Sh. R. N. Katerwal of Market Road, New Delhi.	nil.
48	M/s. Burmah Shell Co-operative Housing Society Ltd; Burmah Shell House, Cannaught Place, New Delhi.	Rs. 150/- per sq. yard. i.e. Rs. 43,54,500/-/-.
✓ 49	Dr. B. R. Umbedkar (deceased). No. 26, Alipur Road, Civil Lines, Delhi.	nil.
50	Sh. Lachhman Sarup Sehgal.	nil.
51	Sh. Bhup. Singh s/o Nathan.	nil.
52	Sh. Ram Mehar s/o Dhan Singh.	
53	Sh. Chhuttan Singh s/o Dhan Singh.	
54	Sh. Rachpal Singh s/o Dhan Singh.	
55	Sh. Deep Chand s/o Daya Ram.	
56	Sh. Risale s/o Daya Ram.	
57	Sh. Jai Lal s/o Tika.	nil.
58	Sh. Mawasi Lal s/o Nain Sukh Dass of Khurja, Distt. Baland Shehar.	Rs. 20/-/- per sq. yard or Rs. 1,74,400/-/- * 15% u/s 23(2).
59	M/s. Shib Bannerji Property Construction Private Ltd through Mr. Ajit Parshad Jain, Advocate, Delhi.	Rs. 25/-/- per sq. yard plus 20% towards compulsory acquisition cost.
60	Shmt. Maya Devi d/o Mohit Kumar Sen Gupta w/o Sh. A. K. Roy, No. 814, International Avenue Building, University Street, Montreal, Canada. through Sh. D. K. Sen, No. 7, Rowdan Street, Calcutta.	Rs. 15/-/- per sq. yard. Rs. 500/- for damages and severance. Rs. 400/- for levelling. 15% towards compulsory acquisition cost.
61.	Sh. Amrit Pershad s/o Ram Singh. of Mehrauli, Delhi.	Rs. 30/-/- per sq. yard. 15% towards compulsory acquisition allowance.
62	Sh. Jai Ram s/o Uderam of Mehrauli, Delhi.	-do-

2. EVIDENCE:

Through his statement on the 28th May, 1958 Shri Chhatter s/o Mohan demanded a rate of Rs. 15/-/- per sq. yard saying that the land was good. Shri Phul Chand through his statement dated the 8th July, 1958 stated that he purchased a plot of land measuring 252 sq. yards for Rs. 3,000/-, through a Registered Deed, a copy of which is Ex. P-1, and paid Rs. 90/-/- for stamps in the year 1955. Shri P. S. Mital s/o Shri Pyare Lal Gupta stated on the 8th July, 1958 that he was prepared to purchase the land of Shri Mawasi Lal at the rate of Rs. 15/-/- to Rs. 16/-/- per sq. yard, but he demanded Rs. 20/-/- to Rs. 21/-/- per sq. yard in the year 1955. That it was shown to him by Shri Bhagat Ram Furi. This was supported by Shri Bhagat Ram Furi through his statement on the same day, further adding that he was prepared

purchase land there at the rate of Rs.25/-/- per sq.yard.. This was further supported by Shri Muwasi Lal & Misri Lal, through their statements on the 11th July, 1958. Shri Inder Mohan Oberoi, Counsel for the Life Insurance Corporation of India, produced Sh.Gobind Lal, as witness No.1, Captain Bal Mukand as witness No.2, Sh.Sapuran Singh, as witness No.3, Sh.Madan Lal Mehra, as witness No.4, Sh.Venkataraman, as witness No.5, Sh.S. Dalbir, as witness No.6, Sh.K.L. Bajaj, as witness No.7, Sh.Karam Narain as witness No.8, Sh.N.N. Malhotra, as witness No.9, Sh.C.M.Shah, as witness No.10,* Sh.Braham Dutt, as witness No.12, Sh.Sadhu Singh as witness No.13, Sh.Lal Singh Patwari, as witness No.14, Shri R.R. Furi as witness No.15, Sh.D.D. Soni, as witness No.16 and himself as witness No.17. Witness Nos.1,2,6,7 and 15 are Property Dealers, who are supposed to be experts in the land business. They had stated about the rates of sale of land in the Diplomatic Enclave, Medical Enclave, Safdarjang area, Green Park and other important places situated near or far off to and from the land under acquisition. They verified that the land in question was free-hold and situated within the Delhi Municipal Committee (Municipal Corporation of Delhi). They described the rates prevalent in that locality to be from Rs.29/-/- to Rs.45/-/- per sq.yard, at the time of the Notification under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. They produced documents in support of their expert opinions, such as Mark 'A' a copy of the Registered Deed No.1089, dated 13.6.52, Mark 'B', a copy of Registered Deed No.1052, dated 2.6.52, Mark 'C', a copy of Registered Deed No.1447, dated 17.7.52, Mark 'D', a copy of Registered Deed No.1575, dated 31.7.52, Ex.C.W.1/1, C.W.1/2, C.W.1/3, C.W.1/4, C.W.1/5, C.W.1/6, C.W.1/7, C.W.1/8, Mark 'E' a copy of sale deed dated January, 1958, Mark 'F', a copy of an agreement for sale, dated 6.7.57, Mark 'H', a copy of an agreement dated 11.8.57, Mark 'I' a copy of Registered Deed, dated 23rd December, 1957, Mark 'J', a copy of agreement, Mark 'K' a copy of Registered Deed, dated 12.10.57, Mark 'L'

Sh.A.N.Oberoi,
as witness
No.11,

Registered Deed, dated 15.10.57, Mark 'X' a copy of Registered Deed, dated 19.11.57, Marks 'Y' & 'Z', copies of receipts, Ex.C.W.13/1 to 26, C.W.9/7-9, etc.

Witness No.3 is a purchaser of plot Nos.5 and 6, from the Company, at the rate of Rs.15/-/- per sq.yard in the year 1952.

Witness No.4 is an Assistant Settlement Officer (Sales), who quoted rates of auction in different localities, such as Defence Colony, & Nizamuddin about resale. Witness No.5 took the land in question from the Company. Witness No.8 is a contractor, who stated to have constructed a wall in field No.112/3 and attested the vouchers. Witness No.9 is an employee of the Company, who stated that the rate of the land in question was from Rs.40/-/- to Rs.45/-/- per sq.yard. Witness No.10, certified the cost at the rate of Rs.8/-/- to Rs.9/-/- per sq.yard of the Company, in which interest was not included. He also verified certain other documents.

Witness No.11 an employee of the Company verified certain documents to be correct. He further stated that there were brick kilns in this land, but it was suitable for colonisation purposes as it had no building or ancient monument; that barbed wires were placed around it. Witness Nos.12 and 13 are also employees of the Company. Witness No.14 deposed about the entries in the Revenue Records. Witness No.16 verified certain documents and witness No.17 stated that the evidence produced in the case of Servashri A.K. Roy, Miss. K.K. Chettur, Dr.Miss. Kaumudi & P.K. Samaul be read as evidence in this case also and claim of Sh.P.K.Samaul for 6 Biswas may also be considered in addition to his previous claim. Shri Jai Ram s/o Ude Ram stated on the 27th August, 1958 that the evidence in the case of the Life Insurance Corporation of India be read as evidence in their cases also.

CHIEF DATA:

The Naib-Tehsildar, Land Acquisition, vide his report dated the 25th September, 1958, proposed a flat rate of Rs.5.50 per sq.yard to be awarded as compensation for the land in question.

DEPARTMENTAL REPRESENTATION:

The Executive Engineer, Construction Division No.1, C.P.W.D., New Delhi, vide his letter No.Wn/37/11388-90, dated the 30th September, 1958 wrote to say" taking in view the area of land, the rates to be given, to represent the average cost of this area, may be taken as Rs.3/-/- per sq. yard (this rate may apply to the area excluding Nallas for which the rate of Re.1/-/- per sq.yard may be taken) as a reasonable rate for payment of compensation to the owners"

FAIR & REASONABLE VALUE:

I ^{have} gone through the file and inspected the spot on the 17th September, 1958. The land under acquisition is situated on the North of the Ring Road, adjoining it. The land is nearly of the same quality and there is no ^{considerable} development there except the one belonging to the Burma-Shell Company, where roads have been laid out and plots have been demarcated at site as indicated by the boundary pillers existing at the spot. There is a wall in field No.112/3 and a small wall about 2 feet high on the boundary of field Nos.94/2 and 1246/99 with a water-trough situated in the latter. There are boundary pillers in cement concrete in and along the boundary of field No.94/2 and iron boundary pillers partially along the western boundary of field No.95. There is also a gate of quite ordinary type. There are open dry latrines in field No.97 and a sort of pucca support for a reservoir in field No.1247/99 of quite ordinary type. There is a dilapidated Kotha alongwith a very small room made of pile of bricks, which could hardly be called a structure. These have not been mentioned in the Revenue Records and it appears that these came into being after the date of the Notification under section 4 of the Act, in an unauthorised manner. There is a Jhera in field No.95, in a very bad condition, which would involve some expenditure in filling it. There is a ^{small} ~~ronjh~~ tree, in field No.94/2, weighing about 3 maunds. The C.P.W.D. Authorities were requested to assess the valuation of these items, which they did and sent to this office.

contd.....11

as given in the

vide letter No. WN-37/11228-30, dated the 25th September, 1958.

After the Government quarters were constructed at that place and the Vinay Nagar colony came into being, the land in question attracted the attention of the house-builders and colonisers for their own houses or for commercial purposes. It is also possible that some shrewd purchasers might have foreseen the importance of the land in question, which it was likely to take, in due course of time because of the construction of the Ring Road there. The land was being sold at the rate of about Re.00.50 nF. per sq.yard in the year 1948, as known from the rate of mutation No.1131, sanctioned by the Collector, on the 30th December, 1948 under the Panjab Alienation of Land Act. Afterwards the land in question changed hands from the original owners to the house builders or colonizers and was intended to be utilised for building purposes, because of its situation on the Ring Road on the one hand and its proximity to the built up area on the other, its potential value and special adaptability for building purposes. The market value as defined by the High Courts in India is enumerated in the main Award No.883, dated the 1st September, 1958, for the acquisition of land for the same purpose, situated towards the South of the Ring Road.

The prices prevalent in the year 1952 came down in the year 1953 and again rose up in the year 1954. There was a steady rise again during the years 1955, 56 and 1957, as shown in the statements given below:-

Total No. Sales.	Total Area Big-Bis.	Total amount of consideration.	Average per bigha.
26 - 12		1,07,432/8/-	4,038/80 nF.
72 - 16		2,46,393/12/-	3,384/53 nF.
20 - 11		87,470/-	4,256/45 nF.
260 - 5		7,83,668/11/-	3,011/20 nF.
166 - 10		6,54,937/8/-	3,933/56 nF.
82 - 11		3,68,364/8/-	4,462/32 nF.

The prices varied from year to year in this very area, as shown in the sale transactions book during the period from 1952 to 1957 as given in the

Year	Mutation No.	Date of transaction	Area sold Big-Bis.	Consideration money	rate per bigha.
1952	-	-	-	-	-
1953	1330 1331	25.5.53	4 - 8	15,000/-	Rs. 3,409/-/-
1954	-	-	-	-	-
1955	1394 1316 1397 1405 1436	27.4.55. do 28.4.55. 15.6.55 22.8.55	7 - 2 7 - 1 12 - 10 6 - 7 3 - 11	35347/- 35347/- 53021/13/- 20320/-/- 17500/-/-	Rs. 4,996/3/6. Rs. 4,996/2/6. Rs. 5000/-/11. Rs. 3,200/-/- Rs. 4,929/60
1956	1472 1399	13.6.56 28.5.55	0 - 5 3 - 0	3000/-/- 11,250/-/-	Rs. 12,000/-/- Rs. 3,750/-/-
1957	1564 1565 1554 1563 1566	22.1.57 do 6.8.57 8.8.57 9.8.57	0 - 9 0 - 12 0 - 10 0 - 10 0 - 6	5,500/-/- 5,170/-/- 4,750/-/- 5,000/-/- 2656/50	Rs. 12,222/23. Rs. 8,616/66. Rs. 9,500/-/- Rs. 10,000/-/- Rs. 8,855/-/-

The above statement shows that during the last 5 years, preceding the date of the Notification under section 4 of the Act, the minimum rate per bigha was at Rs. 3,200/-/- and maximum rate per bigha was at Rs. 12,222/23 nP.. The minimum rate was for the area, which was not sold out in the shape of plots, while the highest rate is for the ^{area} ~~year~~, which was sold out in the shape of plots.

Land was acquired for the Ring Road, through Notification No. F.24(1)/52-LSG(i), dated the 4th July, 1952, vide award No. 717, dated the 28th August, 1953, against which some persons interested went in reference to the Addl. District Judge and the result thereof is given below:-

Field No.	Rate given in the award (No. 717).	Rate allowed by the Addl. District Judge
54/1, 55/1, 56/1	3006/-/-	----
1166-1165, 933 70/1 68/1	3406/-	Rs. 5,170/-.
934/68/1	5,170/-/-	---
76/2, 77/1,	5,170/-/-	Rs. 5,170/-/-.
93/1 and 1284/92	5,170/-/-	---
102/1	5,170/-/-	---
101/1, 103/1, 104/1, 105/1	5,170/-/-	---
107/1	3,006/-/-	---
981/88/1, 111/2	3,006/-/-	---

Field No.	Rate given in award No. 717.	Rate allowed by the Addl. District Judge.
108/1, 950/88/2	Rs. 4,770/-/-	
112/1	Rs. 4,770/-/- for 4-6) Rs. 5,170/-/- for 8-3)	Rs. 5,663/-/-.
113/1	Rs. 3,006/-/-	
114/1	Rs. 4,770/-/-	

The only basis upon which compensation can be assessed in respect of the land within a Municipal area is the rental value at so many years purchase, determined on the basis of Municipal assessment. According to the principles laid down in Secretary of State versus Baij Nath Goenk - 12 C.W.N. cc. 1/6 should be deducted from the Municipal assessment on the whole area for road-cess and other costs, taxes and ground rents should also be deducted and balance should be estimated at 20 years purchase. No authentic data in this respect is available to depend upon. However, there is one in the case of Mrs. Nital, of which the rate, according to this principle comes to Rs. 49.1 per sq. yard, but this is obviously too high. It was intended to be for a specific purpose in connection with a lucrative business, for which every piece of land is not suitable. Therefore, it is not at all safe to take it as a criterion for the assessment of the valuation of the land in question.

The persons interested filed claims ranging from Rs. 12/-/- per sq. yard to Rs. 150/-/- per sq. yard and adduced evidence in support thereof (oral and documentary), including the opinion of the experts. Other indirect evidence is not so reliable as a sufficient number of sale transactions are available in the land itself, which provide enough data to depend upon. These sale transactions give a true picture of the prevalent prices of the land. The rates of these transactions are shown on a map, which is on the file. The best piece of evidence in this case is award No. 717 and the rates given therein. These are rates of the year 1952, when prices were sufficiently high as shown in the statement of sale transactions, already given. There appears to be no reason to enhance the rates prevalent at that time. There is no special development except

contd....14.

the area belonging to the Burma-Shell in the land under acquisition. The development cost would be separately assessed for that area (Burmah-Shell). The land in question, is, therefore treated as belonging to the same category. There is a Mallah covering some area, The development of which would require some expenditure. This covers only a small area. It is, therefore, advisable to adopt a flat rate of whole of the area, which happens to be nearly of the same quality. Keeping in view the claims of the persons interested, the evidence produced by them, the Chief-data, provided by the Naib-Tehsildar Land Acquisition, the Departmental Representation and my personal observation of the spot, I consider a flat rate of Rs.3.00 per sq.yard as fair and reasonable value of the land in question and award accordingly.

STRUCTURES:

There is a sort of pucca support for a reservoir situated in field No.1247/99, of quite ordinary type. There is a dilapidated kotha alongwith a very small room made of pile of bricks, which could hardly be called a structure. There is a small wall and water trough in field Nos.94/2 and 1246/99. These have not been mentioned in the Revenue records and it appears that these came into being after the date of the Notification under section 4 of the Act, in an unauthorised manner. According to instructions, these must have been noted at the time of the harvest inspection, if these had been in existence (at the time of the Notification under section 4). Therefore, no compensation is assessed for these items. There are boundary pillers in cement concrete in and alongwith the boundary of field No.94/2 and angle iron pillers partially along the boundary of field No.95 and a gate of quite ordinary nature, which need not to be mentioned in the Revenue records and in all probability these might have existed at the time of the Notification.

There is a retaining wall in field No.112/3, which was assessed at Rs.14,330/-/- by the Executive Engineer,

contd.....15.

Construction Division No.1, C.P.W.D, New Delhi. There are metalled roads, masonry pillers, fixed on the plots and R.C.C. Boundary pillers in the land belonging to the Burmah Shell Company, of which the valuation was assessed by the same officer at Rs.8,000/-/-, Rs.290/-/- and Rs.108/-/- respectively. There are angle iron pillers in field No.95, gate pillers in brick masonry in the same field No.95 belonging to late Mr.B.R. Umbedkar, which were assessed at Rs.88/-/- and Rs.58/-/- respectively, by the same officer. There is no reason to differ from the Executive Engineer, as regards the assessment of the valuation of the above items as concerned and I, therefore award accordingly.

There is a katcha well in field No.95, a water trough and a wall in field Nos.94/2 and 1246/99, a building shed, W.C.Block and Cabin in the other land. Had these been in existence, at the time of the notification under section 4, these must have been mentioned in the harvest inspection register according to the instructions, but these items do not find their place in the revenue records. I have, therefore, no option ~~other~~ than to ignore these items and not to assess their valuation. Otherwise, ^{to} all these items are of quite ordinary type. Similarly a dilapidated kotha along with a small room made of pile of bricks could hardly be called a structure.

T R E E S:

There is a ronjh tree, weighing about 3 maunds, of which the value has been assessed at Rs.4.00 by the Naib-Tehsildar, with whom I agree and award accordingly. There was no crop standing at the time of the transfer of possession.

D A M A G E S:

Under clause sixthly of section 23 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, Mrs.C.K. Mital is entitled to the amount of compensation resulting from the diminution of the profit of the land between the time of the publication of the Declaration under section 6 of the Act (25.10.1957) and the time of Collector's taking possession of the land.

at the rate of Rs.300/- P.M. for 1222 sq.yards, according to the Registered Lease Deed, dated the 30th May, 1957, in favour of Caltex India Ltd; which is Ex.C.W.1/1, placed on the file. She put up a claim for Rs.1,11,000/-/-, which is too high. According to the calculations the diminution of the profit of her land comes to Rs.1,600/-/-, which I award accordingly.

SEVERANCE:

No claim for severance is justified from any person, as the land is bounded on one side by the Ring Road and the Government land on the other; therefore, no piece of land is separated from other rendering it less useful for any other purpose.

COMPULSORY ACQUISITION COST:

A sum of 15% on the amount of compensation (except in the case of compensation allowed for damages resulting from diminution of the profits), will be added for compulsory nature of acquisition under section 23(2) of the Act.

INTEREST:

Possession was handed over to the Requiring Department on the 2nd April, 1958, from which interest at the rate of P.A. would accrue upto the anticipated date of payment, which is 18-10-1958.

APPORTIONMENT:

There is a dispute about field Nos.972/110/1, 1247/99/2, 1246/99/7, 1246/99/8, 98/7, 98/8, 1247/99/15, 1247/1247/99/17, 1247/99/19, 1247/99/20, 1247/99/36, 1246/99/2, 1247/98/3, 98/4, 98/5, 1246/99/5, 1246/99/4, 1406/75/2, 1407/75, 1402/75, 1404/75, 1405/75, 944/72, 1406/75/1, 98/1, 98/2, 98/3, 98/15, 1246/99/1, 1246/99/9, 1246/99/12, 1247/99/1, 1247/99/9, 1247/99/12, 1247/99/18, 1247/99/21, 1247/99/24, 1247/99/26/3, 1247/99/30, 1247/99/33, 1247/99/35, 945/74, 1000 yards of out of the area of the Chankaya Colony represented by plots 47 and 48 and compensation for the entire share of Sh. Abn Chander about which a reference shall have to be made to Court. Servashri K.K. Chetture and Dr.E.A. Unbedkar have

contd.....17

Their successors have not been brought on the revenue records; therefore, their cases shall have to be referred to the District Judge for verification and payment to the right persons. The compensation to the other persons interested shall be disbursed according to their shares recorded in the revenue papers. A list of the persons interested is given below:-

Sl. No.	Name of the right-holders - persons interested.
1.	National Insurance Co. Ltd; Council Street, Calcutta (Life Insurance Corporation, New Delhi.).
2.	Sunlight Insurance Co. Ltd; New Delhi (Life Insurance Corporation of India Ltd.).
3.	Shri Ram Ratan s/o Kashmiri Lal, No. 42-B, Irwin Road, New Delhi.
4.	Shri Lachhman Sarup singal s/o Sultan Singh, C-2/10, Rana Partap Bagh, Subzi Mandi, Delhi.
5.	Shri Sanwalia s/o Tuhia of Munirka.
6.	Chumma }
7.	Chiranji } ss/o Daulta of Munirka.
8.	Ratan Singh s/o Bujan of Munirka.
9.	Bhartu s/o Nannu. of Munirka.
10.	Shera s/o Khuba of Munirka.
11.	Ram Saran }
12.	Ram Bhaj } ss/o Kallu of Munirka.
13.	Chhajju s/o Todar of Munirka.
14.	Dr. Yajna Valakya Bhardawaj s/o Lachhman Dass Bhardawaj, No. 20, Kirby Place, Delhi Cantt c/o Col. K. Bhardawaj, M.E. 507 Army base workshop E.M.E.P.S.D. (Machinery), 24 Pargnas, West Bengal.
15.	Smt. Savitri Devi w/o Sh. Dev Sharma of Hathras, Aligarh, (present) 61-A, Gautam Nagar, New Delhi.
16.	Dr. Devi Chand s/o Parbh Dayal, Director of Health Services, Himachal Pradesh, Simla.
17.	Shri Ram Narain Verma s/o Ram Sarup, 1056 Gali Hira Nand Maliwara, Delhi.
18.	Shri Dara Shah s/o N.M. Wadia, Geological Advisor, Government of India, 10 King George Avenue, New Delhi.
19.	Sh. Phul Chand s/o Dhan Singh alias Dhani Ram vaish Aggarwal of Pahar Ganj, Ram Dawara Road, Delhi.
20.	Smt. Kanti Bai Gupta w/o Shri Ram Ratan Gupta of Kanpur under the management of Shri H.K. Saughi No. 44, King George Avenue, New Delhi.
21.	Behari Lal Ram Chand, Charitable Trust, New Delhi under the management of Shri H.K. Saughi, No. 44, King George Avenue, New Delhi.

- Sl. No. Names of the right-holders - persons interested.
22. Sh. Anand Sarup Bhargo s/o Shri Kishan Dev Bhargo, 12/54, Arya Samaj Road, Karol Bagh, Delhi.
 23. Sh. Laxmi Chand Jain, I.C.S., s/o Shri Nawal Kishore, Commissioner, Meerut Division, Meerut.
 24. Abnash Chander s/o Kishan Chander, No. 12, Lady Hardinge Road, New Delhi.
 25. Sh. C.C. Desai I.C.S. Retired, Ex. High Commissioner for India in Pakistan, Friends Colony, New Delhi c/o Shri I.M. Oberoi Advocate, Delhi.
 26. Sh. K.K. Chettur (deceased) represented by Smt. Meenakshi c/o Shri C.C. Desai, I.C.S. (Retired), Friends Colony, New Delhi.
 27. Sh. A.K. Roy, Secretary, Govt. of India, Ministry of Finance, New Delhi.
 28. Sh. K.S. Venkataraman, 28 Narendra Palace, New Delhi. at present 53 Vayali Kavel Malleshwaram Post Bangalore.
 29. Dr. Miss Kaumudi under Secretary U.P.S.C., New Delhi. c/o Shri I.M. Oberoi Advocate, Delhi.
 30. Smt. Chander Kumari Mital w/o Sh. G.L. Mital, U.P.S.C. Deputy Secretary, U.P. Government, Ministry of Local Self Government Lucknow.
 31. Smt. Rohina G. Manghirmalani w/o Sh. G.D. Manghir Malani, Executive Engineer, Fertilizer & Chemical Ltd; Mangal Township, Panjab.
 32. Sh. Harpal Singh s/o Ch. Hukam Singh, 2784, Hemalton Road, Delhi.
 33. Smt. Manbhari m/o Chet Ram of Munirka village.
 34. Sh. Man Singh.)
35. Sh. Chhattar) ss/p Mohan of Munirka.
 36. Sh. R.N. Banerji I.C.S. Retired, Chairman U.P.S.C., Friends Colony, New Delhi.
 37. Sh. P.K. Samal, Under Secretary, Govt. of India, New Delhi. at present 4th Floor, 47 Queens Road, Bombay c/o Shri I.M. oberoi, Advocate, Delhi.
 38. Sh. Uttam Chand Suri s/o Ram Chand Suri No. 4, Matcalf Road Civil Lines, Delhi.
 39. Smt. Vinla Samal w/o Shri P.K. Samal No. 43, Pandara Road, New Delhi at present 4th Floor, 42 Queens Road, Bombay.
 40. Sh. Devi Chand s/o Atma Ram, Khatri, 213, Kitchner Road, New Delhi.
 41. Sh. Jasjit Singh Rikhi, 9, Tughlak Road, New Delhi.
 42. Sh. Inder Mohan Puri No. 1, Matcalf Road, Civil Lines, Delhi.
 43. *Sh. R.N. Banerji* s/o Sh. R.N. Banerji, 14, Marine Road, New Delhi. *Burmah-Shel Co-operative Housing Society Ltd;* Burmah-Shel House, Connaught Place, New Delhi.
 44. Dr. B.R. Umbedkar (deceased), No. 26, Tilipur Road, Civil Lines, Delhi.

Sl.No.	Names of the Right-Holders - Persons interested.
45.	Sh.Bhup Singh s/o Nathan Singh of Mohd.Pur Munirka.
46.	Sh.Ram Mehar, Richhpal Singh, Chhuttan Singh s/o Dharam Singh of village Mohd.Pur, Munirka.
49.	Sh.Deep Chand s/o Daya Ram of Mohd.Pur Munirka.
50.	Sh.Risale s/o Daya Ram. -do-
51.	Sh.Jai Lal s/o Tika -do-
52.	Sh.Mawasi Lal s/o Nainsukh Dass of Khurja, Baland Shahr.
53.	Major Shib Bannerji Property & Construction Private Ltd; through Mr. Ajit Pershad Jain, Advocate, Delhi.
54.	Smt.Maya Devi d/o Mohit Kumar Sen Gupta w/o Shri. A.K.Roy 814, International Aviation Building University Street, Montreal, Canada through Shri D.K. Sen, No.7, Rawdon Street, Calcutta-16.
55.	Sh.Amrit Parshad s/o Pt.Ram Singh of Mehrauli.
56.	Sh.Jai Ram Advocate s/o Ude Ram -do-

Apart from the above persons who have been shown as owners in the revenue records, following persons have as well claimed interest in the land noted against each.

1. Smt.Nando Kapur w/o Jagat Kishore Kapur, Jeweller, 1895-Chandni Chauk, Delhi.
2. Miss Nargis } d/o Bejonjee Jahangirjee Heera,
3. Miss Parwaj } 1/25-B.N.E.A., New Delhi c/o Sh.M.N. Block K.45, Opposite Odeon Cinema, Delhi.
4. Sh.C.Kondapi, Indian Council of World Affairs, New Delhi, r/o 63/1, Darya Ganj, Delhi.
5. Smt.Madhvi Gupta B.A.wife of Shri H.L.Gupta, 2.33, Main Vinay Nagar, New Delhi.
6. Sh.Harbans Singh Bawa s/o Budh Singh Bawa, I.S.E. No.48, Lodhi Estate, New Delhi.
7. Sh.Kripa Shankar, Hajala of Serva Sadan Barudkhana, Lucknow.
8. Smt.Mirmal Bahal w/o Shri Raj Nath Bahal, c/o Prem Nath Motors Ltd, 12, Scindia House, New Delhi.
9. Sh.Madan Mohan Verma, Chairman, Rajasthan PUBLIC Service Commission, 20, Ram Bagh Road, Jaipur.
10. Smt.Kamalan Suryanarainan w/o Sh.K.B. Suryanarainan, 2-A/47, W.E.A. Karol Bagh, Delhi.
11. Smt.Chander Vati Caup, 33/52, Parbhat Road, Karol Bagh, Delhi.
12. Sh.Champa Lal Baid s/o Panna Lal Baid of Banaras, U.P. State.
13. Sh.Champa Lal Bauthia s/o Hamirul Mal Bauthia of Bikaner State.
14. Smt.Harbans Kaur c/o Sh.N.D.Rikhi, 20-B, N.E.A.
15. Sh.Rajinder Nath Mehra

There is one Shri Sri Ram s/o Het Ram of Masjid Moth, Delhi who has been shown as tenant at will of field Nos. 54/2/2 and 54/2/1. The land in question is not governed by the Delhi Land Reforms Act, 1954; therefore, tenants have no right to the compensation.

The award is summarised as below:-

Sl.No.	Kind of Soil	Area Big-Bis.	Rate per sq. yard	Amount of compensation.
1.	Banjar Qadim.	152 -2	} Rs.3.00	Rs. 6,23,906.22
2.	Ghairmunkin	54 -3		
		2,07,968.74 sq.yds.		
Add compensation for a Boundary Wall, Roads, Boundary Pillars, Gate Columns, Iron Angles fixed at Boundaries.				
Compensation for a Ronjh Tree. ...			Rs. 22,874.00	
Total:-			Rs. 4.00	
Add 15% on account of Compulsory Nature of Acquisition.			Rs. 6,46,784.22	
			Rs. 97,017.63	
Add damages under clause sixthly of Section 23 of the Act.			Rs. 1,600.00	
Total:-			Rs. 7,45,401.85	
Add interest @ 6% P.A. from 2.4.1958 to 18.10.58.			Rs. 24,506.37	
GRAND TOTAL:			Rs. 7,69,908.22	

LAND REVENUE DEDUCTION

LAND REVENUE DEDUCTION:

An amount of Rs. 29.94 will be deducted from the Khalsa Rent Roll of village Mohd. Fur Munirka with effect from Kharif, 1958.

(Munir Singh)
Land Acquisition Collector, Delhi.
Dt. 10.10.1958

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Delhi (Collector of the District), for information.

(Munir Singh)
Land Acquisition Collector, Delhi.
Dt. 10.10.1958.

19/10/58

Whereas it appears to the Chief Commissioner of Delhi that 25.10.57.
P.15(17)/55-LSG(11)

required for a public purpose, namely for the

Housing Schemes.

it is hereby notified that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 70 of the said act, the Collector of Delhi is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

A plan of the land may be inspected at the office of the Collector of Delhi.

SPECIFICATION.

LOCALITY.

TOTAL AREA.

FIELD NOS OR BOUNDARIES.

Mohdpur Munirka.

223 bighas 8 biswas. 54/2

7

55/2

3-5

973/110

3-5

6-7

974/110

0-8

1165-66/70/3

2-16

111/1

3-5

1165-66/70/4

19-3

112/3

4-15

71

6-17

113/2

0-3

941/72

1-3

114/2

0-1

942/72

1-4

950/88/1

3-6

73

17-6

223-8

945/74

5-14

946/74

0-8

943/72

1-4

or 46.52 aces

944/72

1-4

1402/75

3-19

1403/75

2-1

1404/75

2-16

1405/75

0-19

1406/75

8-11

1407/75

1-0

76/1

2-12

77/2

2-14

93/2

8-13

94/2

9-7

95

24-0

96

0-8

97

23-2

98

6-9

1246/99

4-8

1247/99

13-11

100

1-4

101/2

3-16

108/3

13-19

109

2-16

971/110

1-3

972/110

4-6

LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR,
DELHI.

Sham Sunder Lal
Ag. (C.S.)
to Secy. Home.

(TO BE PUBLISHED IN PART V OF THE DELHI GAZETTE)
DELHI ADMINISTRATION : DELHI.

NOTIFICATION
No. P. 15(7)/55-L.S.G. (11)

Dated the **October, 1955**

Whereas it appears to the Chief Commissioner of Delhi that land is required for a public purpose, namely Housing Schemes, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act the Collector is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

A plan of land may be inspected at the office of the Collector, Delhi.

S.No.	Distt Tehsil	Village	Approximate Area.	Kh. Nos.	Where plan can be inspected.	Office of the Collector, Delhi.
1.	Delhi	Delhi Mohd Pur Munirka	46.52 Acres.			

Village : Mohdnur Munirka.

Statement showing the details of the filed Nos with their respective areas.

Khasra No.	Area.	Big.	Bis.	Khasra Nos.	Area	Big.	Bis.
54/2	3	5		1246/99	4	8	
55/2	6	7		1247/99	13	11	
1165-66/70/3	2	16		100	1	4	
1165-66/70/4	19	3		101/2	3	16	
71	6	17		108/3	13	19	
941/72	1	3		109	2	16	
942/72	1	4		971/110	1	3	
73 (with drawn from the notification vide order no 15(12)/55)	17	6		972/110	4	6	
945/74	5	14		973/110	3	5	
946/74	1	8		974/110	3	8	
943/72	1	4		111/1	3	5	
944/72	1	19		112/3	4	15	
102/75	1	16		113/2	3	3	
103/75	3	19		114/2	3	1	
104/75	2	11		950/88/1	3	6	
105/75	2	12			223	8	
106/75	8	14					
107/75	9	13					
91	24	7					
92	23	8					
93	6	2					
94	9	9					

or 46.52 Acres.

By order,

Khasra no 97 in
annexure 0-5
Khasra plan to
notification vide
order no 7-15(17) 55 (ii) 99.e.e.

DELHI ADMINISTRATION: DELHI.NOTIFICATION.

P- 15(17)/57-L.S.G. (1)

Dated the October, 1957

Whereas it appears to the Chief Commissioner of Delhi that land is likely to be required to be taken at the public expense for a public purpose, namely for Housing Schemes and is hereby notified that the land described in the Schedule is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid Act, the Chief Commissioner of Delhi is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey the land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

The Chief Commissioner of Delhi, being of opinion that the provisions of section 17(1) of the said Act, are applicable to the land, is further pleased under Sub-Section (4) of the said section, to direct that the provisions of section 5A of the said Act shall not apply.

SPECIFICATION.

Distt	Tehsil	Village	Approximate Area.	Kh. Nos.	Where the plan can be inspected.
Delhi	Delhi	Mohd Pur Munirka	46.52 acres	-----	Office of the Collector Delhi.

Village :- Mohdpur Munirka.

Statement showing the details of the field Nos. with their respective areas.

Field No.	Area.		Khasra No.	Area.	
	Big.	Bis.		Big.	Bis.
	3	5	77/2	2	14
	6	7	93/2	8	13
66/70/4	19	3	94/2	9	7
66/70/3	2	16	95	24	-
	6	17	96	-	8
72	1	3	97	23	2
72	1	4	98	6	9
	17	6	1246/99	4	8
74	5	14	1247/99	13	11
74	-	8	100	1	4
72	1	4	101/2	3	16
72	1	4	108/3	13	19
75	3	19	109	2	16
75	2	1	971/110	1	3
75	2	16	972/110	4	6
75	-	19	973/110	3	5
75	8	11	974/110	-	8
75	1	-	111/1	3	5
	2	12	112/3	4	15

Area No.	Area.	Bis.
13/2	-	3
14/2	-	1
10/88/1	3	6
	223	8

or 46.52 acres.

By order,

89-

(SHAM SUNDAR LAL)
ASSISTANT SECRETARY (LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT): DELHI
ADMINISTRATION : DELHI.

F. 15(17)/57-L.S.G. (1)

Dated the 26/11 October, 1957

Copy forwarded to the :-

Recruitment & Services (in duplicate) for
four of publication in part V of the Delhi Gazette.

Assistant Secretary, Delhi Development
(Provisional) Authority, Regal Building, New Delhi.

Land Acquisition Collector, Delhi with reference
his semi-official letter No. 2246/LACB, dated the 11th
September, 1957.

Deputy Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Health, New Delhi.

Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry
of Public Works & Supply, New Delhi.

Shri M.L. Nanda, Additional Chief Engineer,
Central Public Works Department, New Delhi.

Sham Sundar Lal

(SHAM SUNDAR LAL)
ASSISTANT SECRETARY (LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT): DELHI
ADMINISTRATION : DELHI.

1/21.10

2/11/57

No 2789/LACB

of the 2nd Nov 1957

Copy forwarded to the

NT (LA) for wide publicity
in the locality concerned.
Report should be sent to
this office within a fortnight.

E date 28/10

3317

28/10/57
28/10/57