

Award No. *Supplementary Award no. 7885/1972-73.*

Name of village : Nangal Raya

Nature of acquisition : Permanent.

Purpose of acquisition: Plan Development of Delhi.

A w a r d:

Through notification No.F.4(92)/62-L&H(1) dated 27.3.63 u/s 6, an area measuring 486 bighas 4 biswas situated in village Nangal Raya were notified for acquisition. Out of it 415 bighas 15 biswas have already been acquired in award No.1885. Now khasra No.578/165/2/1(0-06), 576/164/2/1(0-08) are required by the Acquiring Department. Notices u/s 9 & 10 of the Land Acquisition Act were issued to the persons interested who have filed their claims and evidence. The claims and evidence will be discussed under the heading 'compensation claims'.

Ownership:

The details of the area under acquisition, ownership, tenancy, khasra number and classification of soil are as under:

S.No.	Name of owner	Name of occupant	Kh.No.	Area	Kind of soil
1.	Chander Bhan s/o Nathu.	Kartar Singh s/o Kana, Gair Maruci.	578/165/2/1.	0-06	G.M.Abadi
2.	Ram Kishan s/o Bhiku, 18 shares, Hari Kishan, Gopal Maruci. s/o Bhiku 44 shares, Ujagar Singh s/o Battan Singh 4 shares.	Tulsi Ram s/o Shailu, Gair	576/164/2/1	0-08	G.M.Thaupt

Compensation claims:

The following have filed their compensation claims:

S.No.	Name of claimant	Compensation claimed
1.	Ujagar Singh through Rajinder Singh, advocate.	Has claimed compensation for khasra No.576/164/2/1 @ Rs.1000/- per sq. yd.

contd....2

- | | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 2. | Chander Bhan s/o Nathu Singh through Shri Chander Bhan Chaudhary, advocate. | Has claimed compensation for khasra No.576/165/2/1 @ Rs.150/- per sq. yd. for the land and Rs.80,000/- for the structures. |
| 3. | Ram Kishan, Har Kishan Gopal Kishan through Shri Chander Bhan Chaudhary, advocate. | Has claimed compensation for khasra No.576/164/2/1 @ Rs.150/- per sq. yd. for the land and Rs.80,000/- for the structures. |
| 4. | Duli Chand s/o Richha Ram Sahai s/o Ram Pal Balu s/o Mangia Murli s/o Dhanna, Rewar Ram s/o Ram Chand, Babu s/o Makan through Shri Chander Bhan Chaudhary, advocate. | Have claimed compensation for kh.No.576/164/2/1 and jhuggis @ Rs.500/- each. |
| 5. | Hari Prashad s/o ShBv Nath. | Has claimed compensation amounting to Rs.63,500/-. |
| 6. | Babu Lal s/o Piarey Lal | Has claimed compensation amounting to Rs.68,500/-. |
| 7. | Ghaffoor s/o Sedan | Has claimed compensation amounting to Rs.52,000/-. |
| 8. | Hira Lal s/o Nanhu Ram | Has claimed compensation amounting to Rs.17,000/-. |
| 9. | Gobinda Ram s/o Lachhman Dass. | Has claimed compensation amounting to Rs.69,000/-. |
| 10. | Harbans Lal s/o Jai Dayal | Has claimed compensation amounting to Rs.90,000/-. |
| 11. | Santokh Singh s/o Milkha Singh. | Has claimed compensation amounting to Rs.90,000/-. |
| 12. | Nawab s/o Shariff | Has claimed compensation amounting to Rs.69,000/-. |

Market value:

The land acquired in award No.1885 was divided into two blocks 'A' & 'B'. The Block 'A' comprised of land abutting and also close to the Pankha Road. The Block 'B' comprised of khasra numbers away from the aforesaid road. The LAC allowed for Block 'A' Rs.4,000/- per bigha kham and for Block 'B' Rs.3,500/- per bigha kham. In reference u/s 18, the ADJ enhanced the compensation of those khasra numbers which were actually abutting the Pankha Road to Rs.6,200/- and that of land behind the khasra numbers abutting

contd....3

-: 3 :-

the Pankha Road to Rs.5330/-.

The land under acquisition is not on the Pankha Road but on the Jail Road running almost parallel to the Pankha Road. The situation and other conditions of the land under acquisition are ^{not} ~~are~~ dis-similar to the land for which the ADJ awarded Rs.6,200/- per bigha kham. This is a judicial judgment and is therefore, the best evidence for evaluating the market price of the land under acquisition. Besides, this award is a supplementary of ^{the original} ~~additional~~ award No.1885. The market value therefore, has to be the same unless of course there is a judicial judgment. As the ADJ has decided references u/s 18 arising out of award No.1885, the market value determined by the ADJ is the true market value of the land. In L.A. Case No.103 of 1970 Khazan Singh etc. Vs. Union of India award No.1885 Village Nangal Raya, the ADJ fixed the market value of the land abutting the Pankha Road at Rs.6,200/- per bigha kham. The conditions of the land under acquisition are identical to the land abutting the Pankha Road. The date of notification u/s 4 is the same. In the result I award Rs.6,200/- for the land under acquisition.

Compensation for Trees: - Nil 4

Compensation of wells: - Nil -

Compensation of structures:

There are structures belonging to Shri Ram Kishan and Shri Chander Bhan in khasra Nos. 578/165/2/1 and 576/164/2/1 respectively. The Asstt. Engineer(Valuation) Delhi Development Authority has assessed the value of structure of Shri Ram Kishan at Rs.3,200/- and that of Shri Chander Bhan at Rs.5,000/- . I agree with the assessment of the Asstt . Engineer(Valuation) and award the same.

contd....4

Apportionment:

The compensation for structures has been claimed by the tenants and as well as the owners. As such, the compensation will be sent to the ADJ u/s 30 & 31.

Land Revenue:

The land revenue of the land under acquisition is reported to be Rs.0.42P. This amount will be deducted from the Rent Roll of the village from the date of taking over possession. The land will vest in the government free from all encumbrances.

Solatium:

15% solatium will be paid for compulsory acquisition.

Interest:

The date of notification u/s 4 is 13.11.1959 and the notification u/s 6 was published on 27.3.63. The period between ^{the} two is more than three years. Therefore, the persons interested are entitled to interest @ 6% per annum u/s 4(3) of the Land Acquisition (Amendment & Validation) Act, 1967 from 13.11.1962.

Summary:

The award is summarised as under:

Compensation for 0-14 biswas of land @ Rs.6200/- per bigha kham.	=	Rs.4,340.00
Compensation for structures	=	Rs.8,200.00
15% solatium	=	Rs.1,881.00
Interest u/s 4(3) @ Rs.6% per annum from 13.11.62 to 17.4.72 (9 years 156 days).	=	Rs.7,092.30
		Rs.21,513.30

(Rupees twenty one thousand five hundred thirteen & paise thirty only).

(SHAM KARAN)
LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR(P)
DELHI.

Announced and filed
....

4.5.72.

AWARD NO.....1885
NAME OF THE VILLAGE.....NANGAL RAYA.
NATURE OF ACQUISITION.....PERMANENT.
PURPOSE OF ACQUISITION....PLANNED DEVELOPMENT OF DELHI.

In pursuance of notification No.F.15(iii)/59-LSG dated 13.11.59 published u/s 4 of the land acquisition Act 1894, it was proposed to acquire 34070 acres of land in the various villages of the Union Territory of Delhi. Village Nangal Raya was one of those villages. Subsequently an area of 486 bigha 4 bis. situated in village Nangal Raya was further notified u/s 6 of the Land Acquisition Act 1894 vide notification No. F.4(92)/62-L&H(i) dated 27.3.63. In the aforesaid notification the following Kh.Nos were included.

559/140min-572/430/162min-568/429/162min-574/163min-576/164min-578/165min-440/166min-170min-167-168min-580/169min-632/462/176min-200min-201min-202min-203-204-205-206-207-495/208-496/208-209min-210min-211min-212min-203min-213min-214min-215min-216-217min-218min-219min-220min-221-222-223-224-225-226-227min-228-229-644/509/232-645/509/232-643-509/232-510/232-248-258min-651/259min-260min-261min-262min-263min-264-265min-266-267-268min-425/269-226/269-270-271-272-273-274-275-276-277-278-279-280-281-282-283-284-813/285-514/285-515/285-286-287-288-290-425/291-426/291-292-293-394-296min-450/308min-451/308min-309-310min-311-520/312-521/312-313-314-315-316-317-318-319-320-321-322-323-324-325-326-327-477/328-478/328-479/329-480/329-481/330-482/330-483/331-484/331-332-485/333-486/333-334/337-338-339-

The reasons for increase or decrease in area are as under

(a) Kh.Nos. 227min-296min & 310min measuring 3 big. 5 bis. 19 big. and 2 big 3 bis respectively were notified u/s 6. At the time of measurement the area available for acquisition was 3 big. 6 bis. 2 bis and 2 big. 7 bis. respectively. As such 1 bis.

Contd.....2

Comp and R
No 1885

- 2 -

were found in excess. Thus there is an increase of 1 bigha 6 bis.

(b) According to the Deputy Housing Commissioner letter No.F.4(92)/62 L&H dated 4.5.65 the built up area covered by Kh.No. mentioned below has been left out of acquisition. Thus there is a decrease of 67 big. 17 bis.

559/140, 572/430/162-568/429/162-574/163-576/164-578/165-167/2-580/

169-170min-632/462/176/2-634/462/176/2-200/1-201-202/10-201-202

201-202; 201-202; 201-202; 201-202; 201-202; 201-202; 201-202 201-202
28 33 34/1 34/2 35 35 46 52

209/1- 210min-211-212/2min-213/2-214/2-215/3/1-215/3/3-215/3/4- 215/2

216/1-217/5-217/6-218-433/219min-432/219min-220-644/509/232-645/509/

232-643/509/232-510-232-651/259/1-260min-261/1-262min-263/2-265/1-

268/15-268/16-268/20-268/21-268/22-268/23-268/24-268/29-268/30-

268/33-270/1-273/3-273/1- 276-277; 276-277; 276-277; 276-277; 276-277
9 5 15 38 41

288/1-339-290-450/308min-451/306min-276-277 and 217/3

3. According to the Deputy Housing Commissioner's letter No.F.4(92)

62-L&H dated 29-8-63 and letter No.F.4(92)/62-L&H dated 21st Dec.

1965, The Kh.Nos 168min and 203 measuring 1 big. 16 bis, and Kh.No. 351

651/259 min. and No. 648/427/258/1 measuring 16 bis are not being acquired at present.

4. In the revenue records it has been indicated that Kh.Nos 276 & 277 measuring 6 big. 15 bis have been broken up into 41 min numbers. The same area has been notified u/s 6. As per measurement the area comes to 6 big. 16 bis. Thus there is a decrease of 4 bis.

5. The area notified u/s 6 is 486 big. 4 bis. but when the papers were being prepared it was detected that 5 bis were notified in excess. The actual area is 485 bigha 19 bis. Hence there is a decrease of 3 bis.

6.)/Kh.No. 258 min measuring 1 big. 19 bis notified under section 6 sub-section have been made as a result there is decrease of 17 big.

Contd.....3

7. Kh.Nos 425/269 and 426/269 notified u/s 6 do not exist in the Revenue Record. The correct Kh.Nos are 416/269 and 415/269 which have been acquired and a note has been given to the Tehsildar to made necessary correction in the records.

The Total increase is 1 big. 6 bis and total decrease is 71 big. 15 bis. The net decrease after deducting the increase is 70 big. 9 bis. Hence the actual area under acquisition comes to 415 big. 15 bis.

Notices u/s 9(i), 9(iii) and 10 were issued to the known interested persons requiring them to file their claims. The claims will be discussed at the proper place.

OWNERSHIP:

The details of the area under acquisition, ownership, tenancy Kh.Nos and classification of soil are given in the statement below:-

S.No.	Name of owner	Cultivation.	Kh.Nos.	Area	Kind of soil
1.	Pirbhu Dayal s/o Khushia. Smt. Giaso mother of Braham Singh in equal shares 1/2, Tika Ram, Ram Mehar s/o Lal Chand in equal shares..1/2	Tika Ram, Ram Mehar share-holder in equal shares.	272	3-18	Bhoor.
2.	Pirbhu dayal etc. 1/2 shares. Smt. Sohan Dai wd/o Tulsi 1/2 shares.	1/2 Tika Ram, Ram Mehar share-holders in equal shares 17 bis. Pirbhu dayal Mt. Giasu shareholder in equal share 18 bis.	361/2	1--7	Rosli (0-16) G.M.Abadi (0-11)
3.	Narain Singh s/o Shibba, Ram Chand s/o Thakaria in equal shares.	Narain Singh sharer through Chhanga s/o Shahmal. non-occupancy tenent, Batai.1/2	415/269	2-19	Bhoor.

Contd.....4

Compare &
P.W. No 1885

Narain Singh share- 649/427/ 0--3 G.M. Abadi
 holder transferer 258/2 /
 Mst. Mohan dai wd/o Tusli
 transferee in possession 649/427/0--4 "
 of transferee. 258/2

Mam Chand shareholder 416/269 2-17 Bhoor.
 through Chhanga s/o
 Shahmal, non-occupancy
 tenant, Batai...1/2

Mam Chand shareholder 428/258/1 0-07 G.M. Abadi
 transferer Roop Chand
 Chhatter Singh s/o
 Girdhari in equal
 share...1/3.

Mir Singh s/o Bahut Ram 428/258/ 0--3 "
 Shiv Narain s/o Sohan 3
 Lal in equal share 2/3
 transferees in possession.

Total 0-10

Narain Singh shareholder 273/2 0-16 Chahi
 Vendor.
 Radhey Shiam s/o Mam Raj
 11 share.
 Jagmal Singh s/o Chaman, Lal
 5 share. *1 Singh*
 Amar Singh s/o Bahador
 Singh 4 share.
 Tulsi Ram s/o Ganga
 Sahai 4 share.
 Vendees without share
 of Shamlat through
 Chhaga s/o Shahmal,
 non-occupancy tenant
 24/38 share Narain Singh
 share holder through
 Chhaga s/o Shahmal,
 non-occupancy tenant 14/38 share.

Total 8--7 Chahi (0-16)
 Bhoor (5-16) G.M. (1-5)

4. Tulsi Ram, Sehdev Self possession. 651/259/2 3--3 Rosli
 s/o Chander Mal 296/2 2--0 G.M. Abadi
 in equal share. 274 9--7 Chahi
 Total 14-13 Chahi (9-7)
 Rosli (3-6) G.M. (2-0)

Self cultivation 275 7--8 Chahi
 Tulsi Ram share
 holder.

Self cultivation Sehdev share 292 5--3 G.M. Abadi
 holder 1/2 share non-occupancy
 tenant 1/2 share Batai....1/2 on site vacant.

Contd.....5

Compared R

5. Bahut Ram s/o Kalu 120/122 share, Omrao Singh s/o Hazari 2/122 share.	Siri Ram, Ram Chander ss/o Bikan in equal shares non-occupancy tenants.	263/1	0-11	G.M. Plot.
6. Tej Ram s/o Sukh Lal 8/10 share Mohan Lal s/o Mukh Ram 1/10 share Mohinder Lal s/o Ram Sarup 1/10 share.	Self cultivation Tej Ram s/o Sukh Lal nonoccupancy tenant to the extent of two shares and other to the extent of eight shares.	270/2	2--7	Chahi
7. Sohan Lal s/o Nathu Ram, Bhoop Singh s/o Girdhari Lal alias Girdhari, Piaray s/o Sis Ram in equal share.	Self possession.	268/25 268/26 268/27 268/28 268/31	0--4 0--3 0--3 0--4 0--3	Chahi " " " "
	Total		0-17	"
8. Tej Ram s/o Sukh Lal	Self possession.	268/1 268/10 271	0--4 0--2 2-13 2-19	Chahi " " "
9. Smt. Sarvan Devi w/o Kedar Nath.	- do-	268/17	0--2	"
10. Smt. Savitri Devi w/o Om Parkash.	- do-	268/18	0--2	"
11. Smt. Vidia Vati w/o Mahabir prasad.	- do-	268/19	0--2	"
12. Ram Sarup s/o Meeda	- do-	268/9	0--2	"
13. Jhabermal s/o Jit Ram.	- do-	268/6	0--4	"
14. Sunhra, Amar Singh ss/o Ganga Sahai Smt. Gandi Devi, d/o Ganga Sahai in equal share 1/3 Khazan Singh s/o Dhani Ram, Smt. Man Bhati wd/o Dhani Ram in equal shares...1/6	Ram Sarup s/o Khubi non.occupancy tenant Batai....1/2	267	2--3	Chahi(1-15) G.M. Chah pacca (0-8)
Nanak s/o Budha 1/6 share. Sikander Lal, Brahm Singh ss/o Sohan Lal. Smt. Kartar Devi d/o Sohan Lal. Smt. Parsanny Davi wd/o Sohan Lal in equal share.....1/6				

Contd.....6

Compared R
AW No 1885

- 6 -

15. Roop Ram s/o Nawal Ram Sarup s/o 266 2--7 Chahi
Khubi, non-occu-
pancy tenant
Batai...1/2
16. Siri Ram, Ram Chander Self cultivation
ss/o Bhagan in equal share holders
shares...1/2 share mortgagages. 265/2 2--7 Chahi
mortgages without share
of Shamlat Smt. Hira
Devi w/o Balbir Morta-
gagor 1/2.
17. Ram Sarup s/o Self Cultivation. 264 3-10-Chahi.
Khubi, Ratan Singh
s/o Ram Saran in
equal shares.
18. Jag Ram s/o Jag Ram share holder 288/2 6-14 Chahi(6-11)
Mukha Sis Ram s/o through Sis Ram s/o G.M.Chah(0-3)
Surja in equal share. Surja non-occupancy
tenent, Batai 1/2 Sis Ram 287 9-18 Chahi(9-13)
share holder. G.M.Chah(0-5)
19. Ram Saran, Chander Self Cultivation. 248 4-18 G.M.Ahadi
Sunder Lal, Lachhu 16--9 Bhoor.
Gaida ss/o Behari.
20. Ram Sarup, Amin Lal Ram Sarup Amin Lal 513/285 6--0 Bhoor.
Sita Ram ss/o Nawal Sita Ram share min.
Singh in equal share. 1/2 holders in equal
share through Sahdev
s/o Chander Mal non-
occupancy tenent.
- Roop Chand, Chhatter Self cultivation Roop 513/285 0-15 Bhoor
ss/o Girdhari in Chand, Chhat-ter in min.
equal share...1/6 equal share...1/3 Mir
Shiv Narain s/o Sohan Singh, Shiv Narain in
Lal...1/6 Mir Singh equal share....2/3
s/o Baput ...1/6 share holders.
- Roop Chand, Chhatter
in equal share...1/3
Mir Singh, Shiv Narain
in equal share...2/3
Transferers Mam Chand
s/o Tankarai transferee
through Chhanga s/o
Shahmal non-occupancy
tenent, Batai...1/2 515/285 1--8 Bhoor

Completed R
Aw 1885

Contd.....7

Roop Chand Chhatter
in equal share...1/3
Mir Singh, Shiv Naraina
in equal share...2/3
Share holders transferer,
Dalu s/o Sukh Ram tran-
sferee self cultivation
transferee.

514/285 3--3 Bhor

21. Bharat Singh
Om Mitter s/o
Marey in equal
share.

Ram Sarup s/o Bharta,
non-occupancy tenent
Batai...1/2

283 13--7 Bhor
284 31-16 11 "
Total 17--3 Bhor

22. Ram Parshad Ram Self cultivation.
Dass, Ram Sarup
s/o Sunder Lal
in equal shares.1/2
Mohinder Singh s/o
Moti1/2

278 4--19 Bhor
279 1--14 "
Total 6...13 "

23. Smt. Ram Piary d/o - do-
Rati Ram.

276-277
1 0--5 Bhor on site
276-277
2 0--2 G.M.Plot
276-277
3 0--2 "
276-277
12 0--3 "
276-277
13 0--3 "
276-277
14 0--1 "
276-277
23 0--16 "
276-277
24 0--3 "
276-277
25 0--3 "
276-277
26 0--2 "
276-277
27 0--3 "
276-277
28 0--3 "
276-277
29 0--1 *
276-277
30 0--3 Bhor on
site G.M.Plot
276-277
31 0--2 "
276-277
32 0--2 "

Compared R
Pro. No 1885

Contd.....8

			<u>276-277</u>	
			33	0--3 Bhoor on site G.M. Plot
			<u>276-277</u>	
			34	0--1 "
			<u>276-277</u>	
			20	0--1 "
			<u>276-277</u>	
			35	0--3 "
			<u>276-277</u>	
			36	0--3 "
			<u>276-277</u>	
			37	0--3 "
			<u>276-277</u>	
			39	0--1 "
			<u>Total</u>	<u>3--9 "</u>
24. Sukh dev Singh	Self cultivation		<u>276-277</u>	
s/o Hardayal			18	0--4 Bhoor
25. Subedar Ratan	- do-		<u>276-277</u>	
Lal Ghakker s/o			18	0--3 "
Ram Lal Ghakker			<u>276-277</u>	
5 shares. Mst- Ram			11	0--3 "
Piary d/o Rati Ram				
one share.				
26. Tara Chand s/o			<u>276-277</u>	
Krishan.	- do-		22	0--4 Bhoor
27. Sukhbir Singh			<u>276-277</u>	
s/o Murari Lal.	- do-		21	0--4 "
28. Sohan Lal Nath			<u>276-277</u>	
s/o Raghunath.	- do-		4	0--2 "
29. Harbilas s/o			<u>276-277</u>	
B. Bakhi Ram.	- do-		7	0--2 "
			<u>276-277</u>	
			6	0--2 "
30. F-teh Chand s/o			<u>276-277</u>	
Lal Chand.	- do-		16	0--3 "
31. Kalvir Lal Vishvir			<u>276-277</u>	
Lal Warvir Lal ss/o	- do-		19	0--4 "
Kundan Lal.				
32. Roshan Lal s/o			<u>276-277</u>	
Khim Chand.	- do-		17	0--4 "
33. Barnabas s/o			<u>276-277</u>	
Behari Lal	- do-		8	0--2 "

Contd.....9

Completed
12

34. Ram Parshad, Ram Self cultivation Dass, Ram Sarup, ss/o Ram Payari share- Sunder Lal in equal holder. share...1/4 Mahinder Singh s/o Moti 1/4 share Ram Payari d/o Rati Ram 1/2 Share.	280	3--0	Bhoor.
35. Ram Parshad, etc. as Ram Payari share- Above.	holder...33 shares 28	1-15	"
	Smt. Shila A Mecleran 282	1-16	"
	d/o E.T. Maccleran 4	3-11	"
	share M.L. Mecleran s/o E.T. Meclera...4/shares.		
36. Mahabir Singh Raghbir shares through 167/1 Singh Randhir Singh Mahabir Singh etc. Lakhbir Singh, Dharam Bhag-ss/o Kundan Singh mal Singh ss/o Kundan Singh non-occupancy in equal share...1/4 share tenants in equal Raghunath s/o Pirthi...1/4 share (Batai half) share Bhagmal s/o Lakhi.1/2 share.		1--1	Chahi.
37. Mahabir Singh, Raghbir In the cultiva- Singh Randhir Singh, Lakhbir tion of Shibu, Ram Singh Dharam Singh ss/o Kundan Chander share- Lal in equal share...1/16 shareholders in equal Bhagmal s/o Lekhi 1/8 share shares. Shibu Ram, Chander ss/o Badam in equal share 3/4 share.	440/166	1--1	Chahi
38. Mehar Chand, Tara Singh Self cultivation. Ram Chander, Siri Ram ss/o Girdhari, Lal Singh s/o Rup Chand in equal share...1/2 share. Bhulan, Chet Ram, Chatu Lal Ram Saran, Ram Sarup ss/o Fateh in equal share 1/2 share.	205	1--9	"
39. Kehari Munshi, Kishan Lal, ss/o Gulab in equal share.	" "	204 1--7 206 1--7	" "
	Total	2-14	"
40. Ram Narain, Jagdish, Kalla Chand Rajinder ss/o Ramji Dass in equal share...1/4 share. Tulsi s/o Chhalw 1/4 share Paltu Kiran ss/o Kahana in equal share....1/2 share	" "	207 12.15 200/2 9--3	" "
	Total	21-18	"

Contd.....10

Compared
11
Apr 1885

41. Girdhari s/o Daya Ram Self Cultivation. 201-202

3	0--2	Chahi
201-202		
4	0--6	"
201-202		
49	0--3	"
201-202		
11	0--2	"
201-202		
12	0--3	"
201-202		
13	0--3	"
201-202		
19	0--3	"
201-202		
20	0--1	"
201-202		
29	0--1	"
201-202		
37	0--3	"
201-202		
38	0--1	"
201-202		
47	0--1	"
201-202		
48	0--3	"
201-202		
56	0--1	"
201-202		
57	0--2	"
201-202		
58	0--2	"
Total	1-17	Chahi

Se If cultivation half	201-202	0--3	Chahi
share Amar Sigh, Bhoop	1		
Singh s/o Girdhari in	201-202		
equal share 1/2 share as	5	0--4	"
non-occupancy tenent	201-202		
(Batai half)	14	0--7	"
	201-202		
	23	0--4	"
	201-202		
	32	0--7	"
	201-202		
	41	0--4	"
	201-202		
	50	0--7	"
	201-202		
	59	0--3	"
Total		1-19	"

Compare d n
As 1885

Contd.....11

Self cultivation 1/3 share Amar Singh Bhoop Singh ss/o Girdhari in equal share 2/3 as non-occupancy tenant.

Amar Singh, Bhoop Singh ss/o Girdhari in equal shares as non-occupancy tenant.	<u>201-202</u> 6	0--3 Chahi
	<u>201-202</u> 7	0--3 "
	<u>201-202</u> 8	0--3 "
	<u>201-202</u> 9	0--3 "
	<u>201-202</u> 15	0--2 "
	<u>201-202</u> 27	0--3 "
	<u>201-202</u> 53	0--2 "
	<u>201-202</u> 54	0--2 "
Total		<u>1--1</u> "

42. Inder Bhan s/o Rup Chand. Self possession 201-202
40 0--3 "

43. Tara Chand s/o Chhotey. - do- 201-202
31 0--3 "

44. Kirshan Lal s/o Dewan Chand. Under cultivation of Amar Singh Bhoop Singh non-occupancy tenant in equal share Batai Half. 201-202
18 0--3 "

45. Chajū Ram, Karan Chand ss/o Roshan Lal. - do- 201-202
16 0--3 "

46. Smt. Sarawati w/o Bhagwan Dass. - do- 201-202
35 0--3 "

47. Ram Gopal Gian Chand ss/o Ram Narain. - do- 201-202
26 0--3 "

48. Smt. Bharta Devi w/o Jai Narain. - do- 201-202
45 0--3 "

49. Smt. Shih Dai w/o Ram Babu. - do- 201-202
44 0--2 "

50. Smt. Gita Devi w/o Ram Karwar. - do- 201-202
42 0--2 "

Contd.....12

Compare d 12
Aw. 1885

		201-202		
		43	0--2	Chahi
		Total	0--4	"
51. Kasturi Lal s/o Self possession.	201-202	0--3	Chahi	
Perma Nand.	39			
52. Kundan s/o Prithi - do-	209/2	9--13	"	
Sunhera s/o Teja in	495/208	7--8	"	
equal share.	496/208	4--6	"	
	Total	21--7	"	
53. Dalu s/o Sukh Ram Self cultivation	215/3/2	2--11	"	
	227	3--6	"	
	228	3--6	"	
	Total	9--3	"	
54. Hukimi, Sunder Lal Self cultivation. Bhoop	482/330	0-18	Rosli	
ss/o Yadu in equal share 1/2 Ram Chander Singh s/o Gir-	486/333	0-18	"	
Harish, Mohabir Singh dhari, non-occu-				
Dharam Singh ss/o pancy tenant				
Hardan in equal share Batai...1/2				
1/6. Balvant, Sunehara Tara Chand s/o	478/328	0--13	"	
ss/o Sis Ram in equal Mehar Chand non-				
share 1/3 share. occupancy tenant				
Batai.....1/2				
Ram Saran s/o	484/331	0--13	"	
Tulsi non-occu-				
pancy tenant				
Batai...1/2				
55. Hukimi Sunder Lal Self cultivation	634/463	1-12	"	
ss/o Yadu in equal Hukimi Sunder Lal	176/1			
share...1/2 Jumma Ram share holder in				
s/o Nihal Chand 1/4 equal share. Jumman				
share. Ganesh Dass Tek Ram 1/4 share				
Chand ss/o Dalu Ram in Ganesh Dass, Tek				
equal share. Chand share holder				
in equal share 1/4				
through Dalu s/o				
s/s Ram non-occupancy				
tenant Batai...1/2				
56. Dudh Singh, Chaman Self possession.	632/462/			
Perkash, Rohtas ss/o	176/1	1--4	Chahi	
Mst. Lachmi wd/o				
Bhagwan Dass in equal	229	6--5	Chahi(4-5)	
share...1/4 Tara Chand			Rosli(2-0)	
Munshi Lal, Girwer Singh				
ss/o Mehar Chand in	Total	7--9	Chahi(5-9)	
equal share ...3/4			Rosli(2-0)	

Contd.....13

Campana
Ans 1885

57. Dalu s/o Sukh Ram Jagga, Ganga Ram 221 6--1 Rosli
 1/5 share. Hukmi, ss/o Uday in equal 213/1 1--0 "
 Sunder Lal ss/o Yadu share occupancy
 in equal share 1/5 tenent u/s 5, Ziman
 A Act No. 16, 1887

Jumma Ram s/o Nihal
 Chand share 1/10
 Ganesh Dass, Tek Chand
 ss/o Balu Ram in equal
 share...1/10

Budh Singh Chaman Parkash Abhey Ram, Hukam 214/2 1--1 Rosli
 Rohtas ss/o Mst. Lachmi ss/o Chatru in
 wd/o Bhagwan Dass inequal equal share occu-
 share 1/15 Tara Chand, Munshi pancy u/s 5
 Lal Girwar Singh ss/o Mehar Ziman A act 16, 1887
 Chand, Piarey Lal s/o Umri
 Mst. Chhotty d/o Mehar Chand
 in equal share1/3

58 Ram Chander s/o Self cultivation 225min 1-10 Rosli
 Girdhari. half with
 Sis Ram s/o Mohan
 non-occupancy tenent
 Batai...1/2

Dalu s/o Sis Ram
 non-occupancy tenent 225min 1-0 Rosli
 on account of transfer.

59. Khiman s/o Beg Dalu s/o Sukh Ram 216/2 1--1 "
 Ram, Ram Chander s/o non-occupancy tenent.
 Girdhari Sis Ram s/o
 Bhupan in equal share.

60. Mst. Lachho Devi Sunehra s/o Teja 224 2-10 Chahi
 Mst. Phool Bati d/o non-occupancy tenent 226 4-00 "
 Bhagwani in equal Batai...1/2
 share.

Total 6-10 "

Karan s/o Kamla 217/2 0--9 Rosli
 non-occupancy tenent 217/2 0--2 "
 Batai....1/2 217/4 0--5 "
 217/7 0--4 "
 217/9 0--3 "
 217/10 0--5 "
 Total 1--8 "

On site G.M.plot.

61. Khiman s/o Beg Ram Beg Ram Mehar 222 2-17 Rosli
 1/6 share Ram Chander Chand ss/o 293 1-10 G.M. Abadi
 s/o Girdhari 1/6 share ansa in equal
 Sis Ram s/o Bhupan 1/6 share Ram Kishan

Contd.....14

Comp. M. S. R.
 A. No. 1885-12

share Mst. Lachho devi Vinod Kumar ss/o
 Mst. Phool Bati d/o Man Kaur devi,
 Bhagwani in equal shares Birmala devi d/o
 1/2 share. Smt. Chandri wd/o
 Mir Singh in equal sh
 share 1/2 share
 occupancy tenant
 u/s 6 act 1887.

Total 4--7

Gobind Singh Dyay 223 2-17 Rosli
 Nand ss/o Smt. Ram 294 1-10 G.M. Abadi
 Kaur Mehar Kaur Man
 Bhari d/o Smt. Dhapo
 wd/o Ram Parshad
 occupancy tenant in
 equal share u/s 6
 Act 1887 cultivation
 occupancy tenants.

Total 4--7

62. Dalu s/o Sukh Ram Ghasi s/o Bhoop 425/291 1--7 Rosli
 share1/7 occupancy tenant
 Khiman s/o Beg Ram u/s 5 Ziman A Act
 Ram Chander s/o Gir- 16, 1887 cultiva-
 dhari Sis Ram s/o tion occupancy tenant.
 Bhupan in equal share.
 3/7 Mst. Lachho Devi Mst.
 Phool Bati d/o Bhagwani
 in equal share...3/7

Ram Dass Sunder 426/291 1--13 Rosli
 Lal, Bansi Ram
 Lal Singh ss/o
 Baldev, occupancy
 tenant u/s 5 Ziman
 A act 16, 1887
 cultivation occu-
 pancy tenant.

63. Ram Sarup s/o Bharta, Self cultivation. 337 4-15 Rosli
 Khazan Singh s/o Khazan 11-17 "(8-17)
 Singh Naider Ram ji Lal G.M. home (3-0)
 s/o Bhani, in equal share. Total 16-12

64. Khiman s/o Tota 61/ 183 - do- 479/329 4-19 Rosli
 share Din daval adopted son 480/329 4--2 "
 of Girdhari 38/183 share 319 1--9 "
 Tek Chand s/o Baldev 84/ 320 3-15 "
 183 shares. Total 14-15 "

65- Roopan, Sada Ram - do- 481/330 9--3 Rosli
 Sunehra s/o Naider. 335 0--2 "
 318 4--4 Bhoor
 Total 13-9 Rosli
 (9-5)
 Bhoor(4-4)

*Completed R
 No 1885*

Contd.....15

66. Jagdish Satpat Self cultivation. 321 2--3 Bhoor.
 ss/o Ram Saran in
 equal share...1/2
 Mst. Sona dei wd/o
 Chandru 1/2 share.

67. Gopal Singh s/o Tara Chand s/o 477/328 6--9 Rosli.
 Fateh Singh 1/4 share Mehar Chand non-
 Bhagwan Dass s/o occupancy tenant
 Bhudi 1/4 share Harish Batai...1/2
 Chander, Dharam Singh
 Baldei, Lachmi Jagandai
 d/o Mst. Nandi wd/o Net
 Ram in equal share...1/6
 Ramji Lal s/o Umrao share
 ...1/6 Mahabir, Sohan Lal
 Atma Ram s/o Hargian in
 equal share1/6

68. Jagdish, Sat Pal ss/o Self cultivation. 334 2-11 Rosli
 Ram Saran in equal share. 322 2-18 Bhoor.
 1/4 Mst. Sona Dai wd/o
 Chandru 1/4 share Chootey
 s/o Fateh singh share...1/8
 Bhagwan Dass s/o Budhi
 share...1/8 Harish Chander
 Dharam Singh ss/o Mst.
 Baldai, Lachmi Jagandai
 d/o Mst. Nandi wd/o Net Ram
 in equal share...1/12 Ramji
 Lal s/o Umrao share...1/12
 Mahabir, Sohan Lal, Atma
 Ram ss/o Hargian in equal
 share.....1/12

Total 5-9

69. Tirkha s/o Bonti. Self cultivation. 324 2-19 Rosli(1.9)
 326 G.M. Bhatta(1-10)
 1-18 Rosli
 Ram Saran s/o Tusli
 non-occupancy ten-
 ant Batai....1/2 483/331 0-14 Rosli

70. Chander Bal s/o Self cultivation. 332 1-12 Rosli
 Tej Ram...1/2 share 323 2-15 G.M. Bhatta
 Mange Ram, Piarey
 Lal s/o Smt. Sona Devi Bharta s/o Bhani 325 1-18 Rosli
 in equal share...1/2 non-occupancy
 tenant Batai....1/2

71- Tilak Ram s/o Yad Self cultivation. 311 13-17 Rosli
 Ram 1/2 share, Ram Saran 315 6--18 Bhoor
 Bhallan Sultan, Om 317 18-15 "
 Parkash Bhagwan Sahai
 ss/o Tulsi in equal
 share...5/12 Ram Pall
 s/o Baljit share...1/12

Total 6-16 "

485/333 1-19 Rosli
 327 4-17 "
 Contd.....16

Compared to
 Anu No 1855

- 16 -

72. Ghasita s/o Ramji Self cultivation.	311	13--17	Rosli
Lal, share....1/4 Amar	315	6--18	Bhoor
Singh, Bajey Singh Dharam	317	18-15	"
Pal Jai Pal ss/o Khem			
Chand in equal share.1/4	Total	39-10	Rosli(13-17)
Raghubir Singh Ram Karan			Bhoor (25-13)
ss/o Raghunath in equal			
share...1/4 Bhagwan Dass			
s/o Sis Ram share...1/4			

73. Paltu Singh, Shiv Ram, Self cultivation.	314	4-17	Bhoor
Shambhu Dayal ss/o Dharam	521/312	7--5	"
Singh in equal share..1/2			
Mst. G-nge dai wd/o Jaggi	Total	12-2	"
1/2 share.			

Paltu Singh share 520/312 4--6 Bhoor.
holder mortagagee
Khem Chand s/o Man
Singh mortagagor

Shambhu Dayal Mst. 309	2-13	Rosli(2-0)
Ganga Dai in equal	G.M.House(0-13)	
share Vendor, Piarey	onsite only bound-	
Lal s/o Bahut Ram	dary wall.	
Vendeo self cultiva-		
tion vendee.		

74. Hari Singh s/o Chander			
Bal 4/9 Share Mahabir Self cultivation.	313	8-12	Bhoor
Rattan Singh Gahraj Singh	316	16-17	"
ss/o Ram Saran, in equal	310/2	2--7	Rosli
share..6/18 Piarey Lal s/o	Total	27.16	Rosli(2-7)
Bahut Ram share....5/18			Bhoor(25-9)

Grand Total 415-15

Chahi(129-12): Rosli(110-12) Bhoor (149-17) G.M-(25-14)

CLAIMS:

In response to the notices u/s 9 and 10 the claimants have forwarded with the objection that the land is in residential area and it should not be acquired. They have requested that in case their request can not be ^{accepted} ~~accepted~~ to they may be given alternative land for constructing residential buildings.

As regards compensation most of the claimants have not specification-~~tion~~ mentioned the amount of compensation but have only filed copies of sale deeds in support of their claims.

Contd.....17

*Compared 12
Ans No 18 & 5*

9. Om Parkash Gupta. 230-510/232 These Kh.Nos. are not under
Hira Lal s/o Nathan acquisition at present.
Smt. Shanti w/o Sunat
Parkash Jain.
10. Inder Bhan s/o 201-202/45 He has not specifically men-
Roop Chand. tioned the amount of compen-
sation in his claim. He has
prayed that the plot should
not be acquire because the
compound wall and house has
already been constructed (on
site there is no house)
11. Umrao Singh s/o 263 min 100 sq. AS per housing commissio er's
Hazari Lal. yds. letter No.F.4(22)/62-L&H
dated 4.5.65 Kh.No. 263 min
has been left out of acquisition.
12. Khazani Devi 263 min 200 sq.
through Hashiar Singh yds. -do-
s/p Kehri Singh.
13. Vir Bahadur 201-202 plot No. He has claimed Rs. 1000/-
Bishan Sarup Gupta. 3, 150 sq.yds. for land and Rs. 5000/- for
structure totalling Rs. 15000/-
Moreover he wants land to land
compensation in the same co-
lony. Further he states that
the plot holders were entitled to
to compensation for road and
not the owner. A copy of
sale deed has been filed.
14. Girdhari Lal s/o 201-202 Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq.
Daya Ram through yds. He has formed plots in
Bhoop Singh. the Kh.No. and has sold out
some plots. He has requested
that the land be exempted from
acquisition. A plan has been
filed.
15. Kishori Lal s/o 201-202/39 He has not specifically men-
Parmanand. tioned the amount of compen-
sation in his claim. He prays
that the land may be relea-
sed from acquisition because
he has no land in Delhi. One
room and compound wall has
been constructed in this plot.
16. Krishan Lal s/o 201-202/18 He has demanded Rs. 10,000/-
Dewan Chand. for land.
17. Saraswati devi w/o 201-202 - do-
Bhagwan Dass Contd.....18

Compare d 12
Aw No 1855

Their assertion however is that the land under acquisition is highly potential and suitable for residential purposes. Their main argument is that they should be paid compensation at the prevailing market price because the land is surrounded by colonies. The claims submitted by them are discussed as under:-

S.No.	Name of claimants.	Kh.No.	Details of claim & evidence.
1.	Tara Chand s/o Siri Kishan.	276/277/22	Has claimed Rs. 50/- per sq.yd. He prayed that the land may be released from acquisition because he has no land anywhere.
2.	Amar Singh s/o Ganga Sahai.	267	He has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yds. and has claimed reasonable rate for well.
3.	R.S.Shukla president residents welfare association Lajwanti Garden Mangal Raya.	212-213-209 210-211-214 209-210 218-429/162 430/162-438/161-	He has requested that Kh.No. 210-211-214 209-210 which fall in the approved colony of Rajouri Garden he left out of acquisition and that compensation of the land should not be paid to the owner. He has not mentioned the compensation he wants.
4.	Abhey Ram, Huzami s/o Chatru.	214 min	He claimed Rs. 40/- per sq.yd. and as occupancy tenant he has demanded 14 annas in a 1/4.
5.	Amin Lal s/o Naval Singh.	513/285min	He has claimed Rs. 50/- to Rs. 100/- per sq. yd.
6.	Amer Singh s/o Bahadur Singh	273 plot No. 4 measuring 213 sq. yd.	Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. and says Kh.No. 18 surrounded by colonies. He has prayed that the plot should not be acquire because he has no land anywhere.
7.	A.W.Wilson d/o Behari Lal.	215 Plot No. 10 measuring 200 sq. yd.	She has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. and says that mutation has been sanction. So the compensation be given to her.
8.	S.Rambu s/o S.Deena.	276-277 plot No. 17 measuring 107 sq. yd.	Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd.

Contd.....18

*Compared & Approved
No 1885*

18. Smt. Shib Devi 201-202/44
w/o Ram Babu. She has claimed Rs. 50/- per sq. and Rs. 1000/- for compound wall and she has further stated land to land compensation be given to her.
19. Ram Gopal Gian 201-202/26
Chand s/o Ram Narain. They have claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. vds. that compensation claimed is Rs. 8000/- for plot and that the land should not be acquired because the land is surrounded by the Abadi and compound wall has been constructed.
20. Chabhu s/o Sartham 201-202/16.
He has claimed Rs. 1500/- for plot & compound wall. He has requested that the plot be exempted from Acquisition because the plot is surrounded by the Abadi.
21. Tara Chand s/o Chootay. 201 He has claimed Rs. 50/- per sq. yd He has requested that the land should not be acquire because he has no land at any other place.
22. Mst. Bharta Devi 201-202/45
w/o Jai Narain. She has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. he has requested that the land be exempted from acquisition because the land is surrounded by the Abadi and compound wall has been constructed. (It has been constructed after the notification u/s 4 and 6.)
23. Smt. Manbhari w/o 648/447/258
Pirbhu Dayal She has not specifically mentioned the amount of compensation She prays that the land be exempted from acquisition because a room has been constructed, hand pump installed and trees planted in this Kh. (not under acquisition)
24. Roop Chand s/o 515/285
Girdhari. Has claimed Rs. 50/- to Rs. 100/- per sq. yd He says that his cousins brothers are also shareholders.
25. Chather Singh s/o
Girdhari 514/285 - do-
26. Ram Sarup s/o
Naval Singh. 517/285min - do-
27. Shiv Narain s/o
Sohan Lal. 514/285 - do-
28. Sita Ram s/o
Naval Singh. 513/285min As S.No. 24.
29. Harish Chand
s/o Mir Singh. 514/285 - do- Mir Singh is dead

Contd.....19

Compared R
Ans 1885

30. Lal Singh s/o 205 He has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. he has requested that the land be exempted from acquisition because the land is surrounded by the Abadi.
Roop Chand.
31. Sehdev s/o 513/285 Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd and Rs. 20000/- for well and persian wheel in Kh. Nos 267-268 and 270. The compensation of well is to be distributed according to the share of the shareholders. It is further stated that Kh. No. 290 is adjoining the quarters of C.O.D. There are about 30 to 40 houses constructed at a cost of Rs. 2000/- each. The land is near the Railway station and Bazar.
Chander Mal. 292
32. Mehar Chand, Tara 205 He has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. He has requested that the land be exempted from acquisition because the land is surrounded by the Abadi.
Singh Ram Chander Siri
Ram s/o Girdhari Bhulan
s/o Fateh.
33. Ram Chander 225, 216 min He has claimed Rs. 100/- per sq. yd he s/o Girdhari. 293, 294, 223 has requested that the land should 222, 426/291 not be acquired because the land is 425/291 surrounded by the Abadi.
34. Mst. Lachho 222, 226, 224, - do -
Davi, Phool 294, 293, 223,
Bati d/o 426/291, 416/
Bhawani. 291, 217/1-5-
6-7-9-10
35. Sis Ram s/o 223, 222, 293, - do -
Bhupan through 425/291-216
Rama Nand s/o min-294-225
Sis Ram. min, 426/291
36. Ghasi s/o Bhup 425/291 He claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd and as Occupancy. occupancy then he has demanded 14 annas in a rupee and Rs. 2500/- for putting a compound wall. He says that he is entitled to compensation for compound wall and not the owner.
37. Lal Singh Bansi 426/291 - do -
Ram Sunder Lal, Ram
Dass s/o Baldev
occupancy.
38. Smt. Dhanno wd/o 223-294 She has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd She has prayed that the land should not be acquired.
Ram Parshad.

Contd.....20

Compared
At No 1885 12

39. Daya Nand s/o 223-294
Ram Prashad. Gobind
Singh s/o Ram Parshad.

As on S.No. 38.

40. Ram Kaur, Mehar
Kaur, Manbhari ds/o 223.294
Ram Parshad.

- do-

41. Ram Kishan s/o 4 big. 7bis. He has claimed Rs. 50/- per sq. yd. and also land to land compensation. Further he says that in case land to land compensation cannot be given, Compensation should also be paid to his sister.
Mir Singh.

42. Kishan Lal Munshi
s/o Gulab. 204-206

They have claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. and demanded reasonable price for well. (There is no well in Kh.No. 204)

43. Sada Ram s/o 481/330-335 He claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd and
Niader. 318. also that Sunehra s/o Rupan were
shareholders.

44. Mehar Chand s/o 4 bi He has claimed Rs. 50/- per sq. yd
Heta. 7 bis. and land to land compensation.

45. Sunehra s/o 226-224
Tej Ram

Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd and that Kh.Nos. were in his possession and ownership.

Note: (According to revenue record Sunehra s/o Lajjay is a non-occupancy tenant of the Kh.No)

46. Ram Sarup s/o 264
Khubi, Rattan Singh
s/o Ram Saran through
Ram Sarup.

A joint claim has been filed. They have demanded Rs. 100/- per sq. yd. They say that Rattan Singh is in the Army and his share should not be acquired.

47. Ram Sarup s/o 229-1512
Naval Kishore sq.yd.
Laxmi Narain
adopted son of
Pirthvi Singh
Amir Singh s/o
Gokal Chand.

A joint claim has been filed and they have ~~xxxxxx~~ demanded Rs. 50/- per sq. yd. They have requested that compensation of land be paid to them according to their share and compensation of compound wall and structure to Ram Sarup.

Note (In the said land there are no structures but a compound wall has been constructed This compound wall was constructed after notification u/s 4-6.

48. Roop Ram s/o 266
Naval Singh.

Has claimed Rs. 100/- per sq. yds.

49. Khazan Singh 267
s/o Dhani Ram.

- do-

Contd.....21

Compare d R
AN NO 1885

50. Pirbhu Dayal 272-261 A joint claim has been filed and they have demanded Rs. 80/- per sq. yd. They state in Kh.No. 261 sonadei wd/o Tusli Ram has no share and that they have filed a writ in the Punjab High Court for its release from aquisition.

Note: (No stay order has been received from the Punjab High Court regarding Kh.No. 261)

51. Kehri s/o 204-306 He has claim Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. He states that there is a well and a persian wheel in Kh.No. 204 for which compensation has been claimed.

Note(The correct Kh.No. is 206 and not 306 in Kh.No. 204 there is no well)

52. Khiman s/o Tota 479/329 They have claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. Dindayal adopted 480/329 It is stated that Kh.No. 480/329 son of Girdhari, 319-320- measuring 2 big. has been transferred through Dindayal. by mutaul agreement to Bharat Singh Om Mitter who should be paid compensation for it.

53. Ram Saran, Chander A joint claim has filed and they have Sunder Lal, Lachu 248-286 demanded Rs. 100/- per sq. yd and Rs. 2000/- for structure. No.Kh is surrounded by the Abadi on three side. A compound wall has been constructed.

54. Mam Chand s/o 415/269- He has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. and Thakaria. 416/249- says that compensation for the land 273 he sold should be paid to the vendees. whose list has not been filed by him.

55. Narain Singh 415/269- He has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. He s/o Shibba. 416/249 states that Chhanga s/o Shahmal who is in occupation as non-occupancy tenant should be paid compensation for being non-occupancy tenant and the remaining compensation be paid to him. It is further stated that vendees should be paid compensation for the land they have purchased. The list of vendees has not been filed.

56. Chhanga s/o 415/269- It is stated that he is cultivating the land since 40 years as non-occupancy tenant and that as per mutual agreement between him and the owners he was entitled to the compensation at the rate of 81 paisa in a rupee. agreement has not been filed. 416/269- 272-275/ 285-

Compared R
An. No 188

Contd.....22

57. Khazan Singh 338-337 A claim has been filed for Rs. 100/-
s/o Niader Self per sq. yd. in it is mentioned that
and for Ram Khazan had filed claim on behalf of
Sarup s/o Bharata Ram Sarup s/o Bharta for Kh. Nos. 283-
Ramji Lal s/o Bhani. 284 and 223.

Note (The Civil court has decided in favour of the owners about Kh. No. 283 and 284 see claim No. 58).

58. Bharat Sing Om 283-284 Rs. 40/- per sq. yd has been claimed.
Mittan s/o Kamev. 480/329 They have claimed compensation for Kh.
No. 480/329 measuring 2 big. No. 283-
284 as per the decision of Civil Court
Ram Sarup s/o Bharata has filed an
application stating that compensation
for Kh. No. 283 and 284 be paid to Bharat
Singh and Om Mitter equally as he is
not a non-occupancy tenant.

Note (Bharat Singh and Om Mitter are entitled to compensation for Kh. Nos. 283-284 and 480/329 as per the Judgement of the Civil Court.)

59. Shanti Sarup 215-180 He has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd.
s/o Yad Ram. sq. yd.

60. Raja Ram s/o 215 He has purchased through sale deed
Banwari Lal. 100 sq. yd. dt. 19.7.62 and has claimed compensa-
tion.

61. Smt. Jai Wanti through She has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd.
Raghbir Singh. 215-150 sq. yd.

62. Raghbir Singh 215-250 sq. yd. - do-
Bakhshi s/i Dhian Singh

63. Jaswant Singh s/o - do-
Bhaggu Singh. 215-152 sq. yd.

64. Mr. Jone 215-200 sq. yd - do-

65. Navir Lal Kulvir - do-
Lal, Yashvir Lal 276-277
ss/o Kundan Lal. 200 sq. yd.

66. Barna Bas s/o 276-277 He has not specifically mentioned
Behari Lal. 200 sq. yd. the compensation in his claim. He
has prayed that the land be released
from acquisition because in it he has
constructed a house in the year 1962
costing Rs. 30,000/-

Note (as per housing Commissioner letter No. F.4 (92)/62-L&H dt. 4.5.65 Kh. No. 276 min-277 min has been left out of acquisition.)

Compare
Aw 1885

Contd.....23.

67. Harbilas 276-277-208 sq. yd. He has not specifically mentioned the compensation in his claim. He has prayed that the plot should not be acquired because he had purchased it in year 1962 and has spent Rs. 2800/- on levelling.
68. Mst. Mahohri 276-277 devi w/o Murari Lal. Plot No. ydx 14 She has not specifically mentioned the compensation in her claim. She has prayed that the plot be released from acquisition because her husband is in the army. She has no land anywhere else.
69. Mst. Kartar Devi w/o Kishan Chand. 276-277 She has demanded Rs. 10,000/- and prayed totalling Rs. 14000/- and damages Rs. 1500/-. She has constructed a compound wall.
71. Sarla Chwdhary. 276-277 290 sq.yd. yd. She has claimed at Rs. 40/- per sq. totalling Rs. 11600/-
72. Sukhbir Singh 276-277/ s/o Murari Lal. 21. Has claimed Rs. 50/- per sq. yd and he has constructed a compound wall at a cost of Rs. 1000/-
73. Sukhdevi Singh 276-277 s/o Hardayal Singh 200 sq.yd Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yds.
74. Sohan Lal Nath 276-277 s/o Raghnath. 208 sq.yd He has not specifically mentioned the compensation in his claim. He prays that the land be released from acquisition. The plot was purchased in year 1962 (See claim No. 65)
75. Roshan Lal 276-277 s/o Khem Chand. 200 sq.yd He has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd.
76. Raja Ram s/o 276-277 Chiranji Lal. 301 sq. yd He has demanded Rs. 10,000/- as total compensation. He has claimed land to land compensation. He says he purchased the land for about Rs. 6000/- to 7000/-
77. Subedar, Devi 276-277 Dayal s/o Jaswant Singh. He has not specifically mentioned the compensation in his claim and prays that the land be released from acquisition because the house has been constructed at a cost of Rs. 20,000/-
78. P. Krishna Rai 276-277 s/o P. Sheshapuraya. 200 sq.yd He has demanded Rs. 10,000/- and prays that the land be released from acquisition. He has spent Rs. 2000/- on miscellaneous.

Contd.....24

70 not Savitry Devi

276-277

St. Rao

has constructed a compound wall

13000/-

79. Lako Ram 217/4 He has demanded Rs. 13000/- as total
Niranjan Dass 366 sq.yd. compensation in his claim and stated
s/o Kesho Ram that there is a pacca house and hand
pump in this land.

80. Dayal Devi w/o 217/2 She has demanded Rs. 16000/- in all.
Gian Chand. 255 sq. yd

81. Hira Nand s/o 217/3 min Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yds and
Sadhu Ram. 92½ sq.yd. Rs. 6000/- for damages.

82. Tehal Chand s/o 217/3 - do-
Sudhu Ram. min 92½ sq.yd

83. Smt. Lachmi Devi 217 She has demanded Rs. 1000/- as total
w/o Uttam Chand. 200 sq.yd compensation in her claim.

84. Tulsi Ram s/o 202 Has claimed Rs. 35 to Rs. 40/- per sq.
Chander Mal. yd. and Rs. 2000/- for expenses in
curred an house.

Note: (38 Jhuggies on site but not pacca
house in t his land)

85. Rajinder Kala 200 min-207 They have claimed Rs. 140/- per sq. yd
Chand, Ram Narain, 495/208 They have claimed compensation for
Ramji Dass 10 bis in Kh.No. 209 and 10 bis in
Kh.No. 495/208 in addition compensation
for tube well and well has been claimed
as per share. It is stated that there
is a fruit garden and a Nursery on one
bigha 5 bis. in Kh.No. 207 min.

Note (The Kh.No. 430/161 and 435/161 are
not under acquisition.)

86. Tulsi Ram s/o 200 min Has claimed Rs. 140/- per sq. yd and he
Chhailu. 207-209 has demanded Rs. 50,000/- for fruits
495/208 trees numbering 1100/-. A claim has
been made for tube well and well and
also for 600 feet channel and 50 feet
'KHEL'.

(Note Well in Kh.No. 203 and Kh.Nos 199
430/161 and 435/161 are not under
acquisition.

87. Karan s/o Manba 200 min. See claim No. 85 (Note Kh.No.
Pattu s/o Karha. 207-430/161 430/161, 435/161 is not under
435/161-495/- acquisition at present.
208

88. Sunehra s/o 209 min 495/ Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd.
Tej Ram. 208-496/208

89. Kundan s/o 209 min-495/ He has claimed Rs. 140/- per sq. yd
Pirthi. 208-496/208 and He has also claimed 1/4 share
in well in Kh.No. 200. 1/3 share in
tube well and 1/3 in 600 feet channel.
He has transferred 10 bis. in favour

Contd.....25

Compared n

- 25 -

of Laxmi Ram in Kh.No. 209 He has filed copies of sale deeds dt. 21.12.54 & 27.1.60.

90. Sohan Lal Mehta 268/25-A claim has been filed for Rs. 40/- per s/o Nathu Ram Mehta 26-27- sq. yd. They have also claimed a share Bhoop Singh s/o 30-31-32-in the compensation for Roads. They Girdhari. 33- have sold 500 sq. yd but have not filed a list of purchaser.
Note (Kh.No. 268/30-32-33 are not under acquisition.

91. Tej Ram s/o 271-270min Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq yd. Sukh Ram. 268.

92. Piarey Lal s/o 268/25-26- They have claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. S/o Ram, Bhoop Singh 27-28-30- yd and they have sold 550 sq. yds s/o Girdhari Sohan 31-32-33 Lal Mehta.

93. Jhabermal s/o 268/6 He has claimed Rs. 50/- per sq. yd and Chhat Ram. demanded Rs. 2000/- for compound wall.

94. Smt. Vidya Wati 268/113 She has claimed the compensation at w/o Mahabir Prishad sq.yd. the rate of prevailing market price and demanded Rs. 2000/- for compound wall and two pucca rooms.

95. Smt. Savitry 268/18 She has claimed Rs. 50/- per sq. yd. devi w/o Om Prakash. 113 sq.yd

96. Smt. Sarvadevi 268/17 - do- w/o K. dar Nath. 109sq.yd

97. Ram Sarup s/o 268 He has claimed the compensation at the Meda. 109 sq.yd rate of prevailing market price and demanded Rs. 1000/- for compound wall.

98. Harish Chander 270 Has claimed the compensation at the through Ram Sarup 251 sq.yd. rate of prevailing market price and demanded Rs. 1500/- for compound wall.

100. Piarey Lal s/o 323-332- A joint claim has been filed and Mehar Chand Chander 325 demanded Rs. 50/- per sq. yd. Mal s/o Tej Ram Smt. Sona devi wd/o Mehar Chand.

101. Tek Chand s/o -- He has claimed Rs. 100/- per sq. yd. He Baldev. states that he has filed a Civil suit against other share holders and obtained a decree. He has requested that compensation should not be paid to any one.

Compared R

Contd.....26

Ans. 11.10.60

79 Plot under the Bhagat Ram & Smt. Sarvadevi 2707/31/54 yd. has been claimed Rs. 1500/- for compound wall.

102. Ganesh Dass 634/463/176 He has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd and has sold 200 sq. yd to Sighy Lal etc.
s/o Walu Ram.
103. Sunder Lal 463/176 They have not specifically mentioned the compensation in their claim. They state that they are owners to the extent of half which they have sold. The compensation be paid to the purchaser.
Hukmi ss/o Yad Ram.
- Note (Buildings have been acquired but no compensation will be paid for them because construction was done after notification u/s 4 and 6.
104. Jumma s/o 634/463/176 Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. 200 sq. yd. out of this Kh. Nos have been sold to Sighu Lal, Kishan Lal, Sanu Lal.
Nihal Chand.
104. Tek Chand 634/463/176 - do-
s/o Walu Ram.
- 106- Sadhu Lal, Kishan 463/ A joint claim has been filed. They have demanded Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. totalling Rs. 8000/-
Chand, Shalu Lal. 176
107. Budh Singh 632/462/176 A joint claim has been filed. They have demanded Rs. 200/- per sq. yd. for Kh. No. 632/462/176 and for other Kh. Nos demand has been made for Rs. 100/- per sq. yd.
Chaman Parskash, Rohtash 213, ss/o Bhagwan Dass, 214, 221. Mst. Lachmi wd/o Bhagwan Dass, Tara Chand, Munshi Lal Girwar Singh ss/o Mehar Chand.
108. Jag Ram s/o 287-288 They have claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd and demanded Rs. 20,000/- for well, trees and Rahat. They can remove the Rahat.
Mukh Ram Sis Ram s/o Surja.
109. Tulsi Ram s/o 273 He has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd He has requested that the land be released from acquisition because he had constructed a compound wall.
Ganga Sahai.
110. Ram Piary. 280-281-282 She has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd.
d/o Ratti Ram. 276-277
111. Mahinder Singh 278-279- They have claimed Rs. 40/- pwe sq. yd.
s/o Moti Ram, Ram 280-281- Parshad s/o 282. Sunder Lal, Ram Sarup s/o Sunder Lal.

Contd.....27

Comp. and R
Ans No 1885

112. Dharam Singh s/o 216 He has not specifically mentioned
Gurbachan Singh. 227 $\frac{3}{4}$ sq. the compensation in his claim. He
yds. has requested that the land be re-
leased from acquisition because
a house has been constructed on the
plot.
113. Subedar N. 216 Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. He
Chand. 200 sq. yd. has purchased this plot from Khiman
s/o Beg Ram for Rs. 1600/- on 23.4.62.
Copy of sale deed has been filed.
The transaction took place after
notification u/s 4.
114. Tirkha s/o 324-326- Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd.
Booti. 483/331
115. Ram Saran s/o 484/331- 483/ - do-
Tuli non-occu- 331-485/333
pancy tenant as owner 327
116. Bhuttan Singh 230-510/232 As per Housing Commissioner letter
s/o Shiv Nath Singh. No. F.4(92)/62 L&H dt. 4.5.65 Kh.No.
230-510/232 had been left out of
acquisition.
117. Sunder Lal s/o 482/328-482 He has claimed Rs. 40/- per
Yadu. 486/333-484 sq. yd.
331-634/461/
176-221-213min
214 min.
118. Hukmi s/o Yadu. 482-478-486- He has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq.
484-634/463/ yd Note: Kh.Nos. 482-478-486-
176-221-213- 484- are not under acquisition
214 at present.
119. Dalu s/o 227min-228- He has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd
Sukh Ram. 215min-221- and demanded the compensation for two
213min-214min rooms and well and compensation as
425/291-426/ a non-occupancy tenant for Kh.No.
291 216 min-225min-634/463/176.
120. Ram Chander Sri 265 min. A joint claim has been filed They
Ram ss/o Bhagam Mst. have demanded Rs. 40/- per sq. yd
Hira Devi s/o Balbir
Singh.
121. Hargian, Harnand 213min- They have claimed Rs. 40/- per sq.
ss/o Jagga, Ganga Ram 221. yd. as occupancy tenant. They
s/o Uday (Occupancy have demanded 14 annas in a rupee.
Tenant.
122. Piarey Lal s/o 309-310- He has claimed Rs. 40/- to Rs. 100/-
Bahut Ram. 313-316 per sq. yd.

Contd.....28

Compare R
Aw NO. 1885

123. Shiv Ram, Shambhu
Dayal s/o Dharam 309-312- They have claimed Rs. 40/- to Rs. 100/-
Singh, Mst. Ganga 314 per sq. yd and they state that the
devi wd/o Jangli. Kh.No. 309 has been sold to Sh.
Piaray Lal s/o Bahut Ram so they are
not entitled to compensation of
this Kh.No.
124. Bhagnal s/o 167-440/
Lakhmi. 166 Has claimed Rs. 40/- per sq. yd and
he stated that reasonable compensa-
tion for wall be assessed.
125. Mahabir Singh 167 He states that the entire land has
Raghubir Singh, been sold and also that it should not
Randhir Singh be acquired. The list of purchasers
Mokbir Singh has not been filed.
Dharam Singh s/o /
126. Shivi Singh s/o 440/166 Has claimed Rs. 85/- per sq. yd and
Badam. he stated that his brother Ram Chander
is also a shareholder in this land.
127. Ram Narain s/o 270 He has demanded Rs. 12000/- as total
Bhagwana. 174 sq. yd. compensation and requested that the
plot should not be acquired because a h
house has been constructed.
128. Mohan Lal 270 He has not specifically mentioned the
251 sq. yd. compensation in his claim. He has de-
manded market price and Rs. 2000/-
for compound wall.
129. Bhagwan Dass 477/328 He has claimed Rs. 100/- per sq. yd.
s/o Budhi. and has also claimed damages at the
rate of 1500/- per bigha in case
possession of the land is taken over
immediately. It is stated that some
land has been transferred in his name
by Shri Tirkha s/o Banti and also by
Sada Ram Ropan Sunehra, Tilak Ram,
Namberdar. He has claimed compensa-
tion for the land transferred to him.
- Note(Details of the land transferred to him
have not been given.
130. Gopal Singh 477/328 - do-
s/o Fateh Singh.
131. Chhottey alias 322-334 As per claim No. 130 Further he says
Gopal Singh s/o that his correct name is Gopal Singh
Fateh Singh. s/o Fateh Singh and not Chhottey s/o
Fateh Singh.
132. Pirbhu Dayal 477/258- He has not specifically mentioned the
Mst. Manbhari 259 compensation in his claim. He has
through Pirbhu requested that the land be release
Dayal from acquisition.
- Note (As per Housing Commissioner letter
No. F.4(92)/62-I&H dt. 21.12.65 Kh.
Nos 651/258 min, 648/427/258/1 min.
have been left.

Contd.....29

*Compared &
Ans 18/11/65*

- 29 -

133. Roop Chand, Mir 428/258 They have not specifically mentioned the compensation in their claim and requested that the land be released from acquisition.

134. Ram Dhari s/o 651/259 The area in the possession of Ram Dhari s/o Rati Ram is not under acquisition.

135. Saraswati Devi --
w/o Bhagwan Dass
through Bhagwan Dass.

the compensation therein his claim.

136. Tulsi Ram 651/259-296
Sahdev ss/o 274-275-267-
ChanderMal 268-270

A claim for Rs. 50/- per sq. yd has been filed and a claim for well and Persian wheel has also been filed for which Rs. 20,000/- have been claimed. In the well both claimants have half half share. They have stated that compensation for 18 bis in KH. No. 651/259 be paid to Pirbhu Dayal.

137. Dalu s/o Sukh Ram 425/
Sis R-m s/o Bhupam Ram 291 It is stated that the Kh.No. has been sold to Sita Ram s/o Tej Ram who was entitled to compensation.

Chander s/o Girdhari
Khimman s/o Beg Ram
Smt. Lachho w/o Ram Dass
Smt. Phool Bati w/o and Lal.

MARKET VALUE

In order to assess the market value of the land under acquisition the Naib Tehsildar has furnished the following date:-

S.No.	year	Area sold Big. Bis.	Compensation money	Average per big Kham.
1.	1954-55	5-7	Rs. 24200-67	Rs. 4523-58
2.	1955-56	0-11	Rs. 1800-00	Rs. 3272-73
3.	1956-57	2-7½	Rs. 13715-00	Rs. 5774-74
4.	1957-58	9--9	Rs. 33993-00	Rs. 3597-14
5.	1958-59	17--2	Rs. 24525-00	Rs. 1434-21
G.Total		34-16½	Rs. 98233-67	Rs. 2820-77

We have to see the market value of the land prevailing at the time of notification u/s 4 of the land acquisition Act which in this case is 13.11.59. The average of five years preceding the

Compared to

Contd.....30

The average of five years preceding the date of notification comes to Rs. 2880-77P. To arrive at a correct market price it is necessary to take into consideration the awards already announced. They are as under:-

S.No.	Award No.	No & date of notification	Rate per bigha kham.
1.	696	F.15(29)/51-MLT	Ch. hi Rosli B. Qadin 500/- 300/- 100/- G.M./80/-
2.	1620	F.15(III)/59-LSG	Flat rate Rs. 3000/-
3.	1709	- do -	Flat rate Rs. 3200/-

I have inspected the land under acquisition. The under acquired through awards 1620 and 1709 is opposite to the land under acquisition, which is similar in nature and value to the land ~~owned~~ of the aforesaid awards. In Kh.Nos 323 to 327 & 337 and 338 the owners have dug earth to the extent of 3 fth. This has taken the shape of a pond. Rain water accumulates in it. During the other seasons it is brought under the plough. The area covered by the pond is 30 big. 19 bis.

The claimants have demanded Rs. 15/- per sq. yds to Rs. 40/- per sq. yds. In support copies of sale deeds have been filed. Mostly the sale deeds were executed after the notification us/ 4. Under the circumstances no reliance can be placed on them. The judgement of Shri K.M.Bhatia Rent Controller dated 31.7.51 fixing the value of land at Rs. 7/- per sq. yd and the sale deed in respect of Kh.No. 104 are not relevant for land is situated in the Abadi and the land under acquisition is about 4 furlongs.

Thus after giving full consideration to the demand of the claimants, the situation of the land the relevant sale transaction and the awards already announced I assessed and award Rs. for Block 'A' Rs. 4,000/- and for Block 'B' Rs. 2,500/-

Comd. d. u

Contd.....31

A Block comprises of Kh.Nos as under measuring 220 bigha 8 Bis.
 440/166, 167/1, 632/462/176/1, 634/463/176/1, 200/2, 201/202/1,
 201/202/2, 201/202/3, 201-202/4, 201-202/5, 201-202/6, 201-202/7
 201-202/8, 201-202/9, 201-202/11, 201-202/12, 201-202/13, 201-202
 /14, 201-202/15, 201-202/16, 201-202/18, 201-202/19, 201-202/20
 268/9, 268/10, 268/17, 268/18, 168/19, 268/25, 268/26, 268/27,
 268/28, 268/31, 415/269, ^{416/269} 270/2, 271, 272, 273/2, 274, 275, 276-
 277/1, 276-277/2, 276-277/3, 276/277/4, 276/277/6, 276-277/7,
 276-277/8, 276-277/10, 276-277/11, 276-277/12, 276-277/13,
 276-277/14, 276/277/16, 276-277/17, 276-277/18, 276-277/19,
 276-277/20, 276-277/21, 276-277/22, 276-277/23, 276-277/24,
 276-277/25, 276-277/26, 276/277/27, 276-277/28, 276-277/29,
 276-277/30, 276-277/31, 276-277/32, 276-277/33, 276-277/34, 276-
 277/35, 276-277/36, ^{276-277/37} 276-277/39, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 285/
 291, 426/291, 292, 293, 294, 296/2, 309, 310/2, 479/329, 480/329
 481/330, 482/330, 483/331, 484/331, 332, 485/333, 486/333, 334,
 335, 201-202/23, 201-202/25, 201-202/26, 201/202/27, 201-202/29,
 201-202/31, 201-202/32, 201-202/37, 201-202/38, 201-202/39,
 201-202/40, 201-202/41, 202-202/42, 201-202/43- 201-202/44, 201-202/
 45, 201-202/47, 201-202/48, 201-202/49, 201-202/50, 201-202/53,
 201-202/54- 201-202-56, 201-202/57, 201-202, 58, 201-202/58
 204, 205, 206, 207, 495/208, 496/208, 209/2, 213/1, 214/1, 215/3/
 2, 216/2, 217/1, 217/2, 217/4, 217/7, 217/9, 217/10, 221, 222
 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 248, 649/427/258/1, 649/427/
 258/2, 428/258/1, 428/258/3, 651/259/2, 261/2, 263/1, 264, 265/2,
 266, 266, 268/1, 268/6,

Block B of Kh.Nos as under measuring 195 big 7 bis.

283, 284, 513/285, 514/285, 515/285, 286, 287, 288/2, 311, 520/312,
 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323,
 324, 325, 326, 327, 477/328, 478/328, 337, 338.

Contd.....32

The rate assessed is reasonable and fair market price in this case 15% of the value will be paid as solatium for compulsory acquisition.

Compensation of trees:

S.No.	No. Kh.	Kind of trees	Weight	Rate	Compensation.
1.	440/166	Bewason 3 Arand 1	1½ Qtl.	Rs. 5/- per Qtl.	7.50.
2.	205	Neem 2 Kikar 1	4 Qtl 4 "	Rs. 8/- per Qtl	32-00 32-00
3.	267	Kikar 1	"	Rs. 8/- per Qtl	48-00
4.	287	Kikar 1	2 "	Rs. 8/- " "	16-00
5.	288	Neem 1	19 "	Rs. 8/- "	152-00
6.	296	Neem 1	1½ "	Rs. 8/- "	12-00
7.	310	Neem 3 Kherwa 2 Kikar 1	10 "	Rs. 8/-	80-00
8.	428/258/1	Kikar 2 Neem 1			
Total			13 Qtl	Rs. 8/- per Qtl	104-00
9.	428/258/3	Kikar 1	4 "	Rs. 8/- "	32-00
10.	200/2	Amrud 26½ Khattey 3½ Shahoot 8½ Kikar 1 Neem 1 Amrud 42 Neem 5	37 1 " " 5 " " - 9 " "	Rs. 10/- " " Rs. 8/- " Rs. 8/- " Rs. 10/- "Tree Rs. 8/- "	370-00 8-00 40-00 420-00 72-00
G.Total					1425-50

Compensation of Wells

The compensation for wells is assessed as under:-

Contd.....33

*Compared to
An No 1885*

S.No.	No.Kh.	Condition of well	Compensation	Remarks
1.	227	Constructed in bricks & lime and plasteryed it is in good condition & serviceable.	900/-	The owner can remove the persian well. As per revenue records the owner is Dalu s/o Sukh Ram but inquiry reveals that Lachhu Devi & Phool Bati d/o Bhagwati have got 1/3 share in equal share. Dalu s/o Sukh Ram has got 2/3 share. Hence compensation will remain disputed.
2.	200	Constructed in sotne and lime and broken from the top	1000/-	The owner is at liberty to remove the tube well machinery. There is more than one owner of the wall. Hence the compensation will be paid according to their share.
3.	267	It is constructed in bricks lime and has been plastered and Khel and Parchha constructed. Two persian wheels are installed serviceable It is in good condition.	1100/-	The owners are liberty to remove the persian wheels.
4.	287	The well has been constructed in bricks and lime and also plastered. It is serviceable parchha and CHANDA constructed is pucca one KHEL is in good condition.	1000/-	

COMPENSATION OF STRUCTURES:

S.No.	Kh.No.	Owner	kind of Structure	Diameter	Compensation
1.	440/166	Mahabir Singh Raghur Singh, Randhir Singh, Lokbir Singh, Dharam Singh s/o Kundan in equal share 1/16. Raghunath Singh s/o Pirthi Singh 1/16 share, Bhagmal s/o Lakhi 1/8, share, Shibbu, Ram Chander s/o Badam 3/4 share.	Wall 4' x 72" Hs.		173/-

Contd.....34.

Compare d n
Aug 1885

2.	227	Dalu s/o Sukh Ram	Pacca Room & 9½x19½" B.	282/-
3.	248	Ram Saran, Ran Chander Sunder Lal, Lachho Gainda ss/o Behari.	Compound wall Eastern wall 6' x 214 Northern wall 6' x 206	1509-75
4.	200/2	Ram Narain, Jagdish Kala Chand, Rajinder ss/o Ramji Dass in equal share 1/8 Tulsi s/o Chhailu 1/8 share Faltu Karan ss/o Kanha in equal share 1/4 Kundan s/o Pirthi, Girdhari s/o Daya Ram in equal share. 1/2	Pacca room for tube well 8x13½x10'	265-80
5.	288/2	Jagram s/o Mukha Sis Ram s/o Surja in equal shares.	Pacca wall 9x4x190' One Khel pacca Plastered 2x2x25'	324-20
6.	425/291	Ghasi s/o Bhoop occupancy.	Two pacca walls Western 6'x90' Southern 6x148'	851-56
7.	426/291	Ram Dass etc. ss/o Baldev occupancy tenant in equal share.	two pacca walls eastern 6'x80' Southern 6'x180'	939-68
8.	649/427/ 258/1, 428/258/3	Roop Chand s/o Girdhari.	9"x4'x25' 9"x4'x25'	76-34
9.	649/427/Smt. 258/2	Manhori Devi w/p Tulsi Ram	Compound wall 9'x4'x198'	173-24
10.	200, 201, 202 204, 207	Tulsi Ram s/o Ghailu	pacca water channel 492 feet long Compensation will be paid after statement of other share holders disputed.	246-00
11.	481/330	--	Pacca water channel 588 feet long. Total	- do- 294-00 <u>5135-57</u>

1. It is reported that in Kh. Nos 217/17-229-651/259/2, 268/2, 268/19, 276-277/4, 276-277/6, 7, 276-277/8, 276-277/10, 276-277/16, 276-277/24, 276-277/25, 276-277/26, 276-277/27, 276-277/30, 276-277/31, 276-277/32, 276-277/35, 276-277/36, 276-277/37, 309, 310/2, 320/2, owners and plot holders have constructed a compound wall after notification u/s 4 and 6. Hence they will not

Ans No 1885

Co ntd.....35

be entitled to any compensation but they however are at liberty to remove the MALBA.

2. In Kh.Nos 292 there are about 40 Jhuggis. In the annexure A the names of the owners and compensation assessed has been shown. The total compensation assessed amounts to Rs. 2003/- The owners of the said Kh.Nos also claims compensation. The compensation in case there is no settlement will be sent to the A.D.J. The owners of Jhuggies can take the MALBA of roofs because no compensation has been determined for MALBA.

OCCUPANCY TENENT:

Claims have been filed by the occupancy tenant and Bhumidars for Kh.Nos. 221-213/1-214/1-293-222-223-294-425/291 and 426/291. As such the compensation will be sent to the A.D.J. Annexure IC.

NONOCCUPANCY TENENT

In the annexure 'B' the names of the non-occupancy tenants and Bhumidars have been shown. The Kh.Nos have also been indicated in the said annexure. The land reforms Act of 1954 is not applicable in this case because the village is in the municipal limits. As there is a dispute between the Bhumidar and the non-occupancy tenants the compensation will be sent to the A.D.J.

APPORTIONMENT:

1. Kh.Nos 649/427/258/1, 649/427/258/2, 428/258/1, 428/258/3-416/269 and 273/2 are in the ownership of Rattar Singh s/o Shibba and Mam Chand s/o Thaka via and Narain Singh, Narain Singh has sold and transferred land more than his share. Unless the owners come to an agreement and clear the position compensation will not be paid to any one. It will remain disputed. Similarly compensation of structure in Kh.No 649/427/258/2 will remain disputed.

Contd.....36

Compared R
Aw 1885

2. Compensation of Kh.Nos 514/285 and 515/285 will be treated as disputed because Roop Chand Shiv Narain and Mir Singh have transferred their share to Dalu s/o Sukh Ram and Mam Chand s/o Thakaria. Mutation has not been done so far. Compensation to the extent of their share will remain disputed.
3. The owners of Kh.No. 201, 202, 213, 214, 215, 216, 263, 273, 276 and 277 have made plots and solds them to different persons. The list of plot holders has not been filed. The compensation will remain disputed.
4. Tek Chand has filed a civil suit against Kheman s/o Tata Din Dayal adopted son of Girdhari for Kh.Nos 479/329-480/329-319 and 220. The compensation will be treated as disputed and will be sent to the A.D.J.
5. There are about 40 Jhuggis in Kh.No 292. Compensation assessed for the walls has been claimed by owners as well as the tenants. The compensation will remain disputed untill the owners and the tenants settle the dispute.
6. Ram Chander, Kala Chand, Ram Narain Jagdish ss/o Ramji Dass have claimed compensation for half bigha in Kh.No. 209 and half bigha in Kh.No. 495/208. The owners and the aforesaid persons should settled the disputed and come forward to receive the compensation untill them compensation will remain disputed.
7. Pirbhu Dayal Smt. Giaso, Ra, Mehar and Tika have claimed compensation for Kh.No. 261 on the ground that Mst. Mohan dai wd/o Tulsi Ram has no titled to the said Kh.No. The compensation will remain disputed till they came to an agreement.
8. Mst. Hira Devi has mortgaged her half share in Kh.No. 265/2 with Shri Ram, Ram Chander ss/o Bhaggan, The compensation will be treated as disputed.

Contd.....37

Completed

9. It is reported that Mir Singh s/o Bahat Ram, Beg Ram s/o Hansa, Bahut Ram s/o Kallu, Sunehra s/o Ganga Sahai Ram Saran s/o Fateh, Jagga s/o Udey, Pirtu s/o Dharam Singh have died and that where about of Chey Ram s/o Fateh were not known.

The heirs should get their names entered in the revenue records and come forward to receive the compensation. The compensation will be treated as disputed.

10. For Kh.No. 309 claim has been submitted by piarey Lal who has purchased the said Kh.No. Shibhu Ram and other, But mutation has not been done. Piarey Lal should get his name entered in the revenue record. Untill then the compensation will remain disputed.

11. Compensation for Kh.No. 634/463/176 has been claimed by the owners as well as Ganesh Dass s/o Wala Ram, Jamma s/o Nihal Chand, Tek Chand s/o Walu Ram, Sidhu Lal, Kishan Lal, Shanu Lal. The compensation will remain disputed.

12. For Kh.No. 323 compensation has been claimed by Ram Sarup s/o Bharta although the owners are Chander Mal s/o Tej Ram Mangey Ram Piarey Lal ss/o Mehar Chand and Mst. Sona Devi d/o Mehar Chand. The compensation will remain disputed.

13. As regards Kh.No. 229 measuring 1512 sq. yds compensation has been claimed by Ram Sarup and others (see at claim No.47) The owners have also claimed compensation. The compensation will remain disputed.

LAND REVENUE

The land revenue of the under acquisition is reported to be 126.42 paisa. This amount will be deducted from the Rent Roll of the village with effect from the date of the possession of the land has been taken over by the Acquiring Department.

Contd.....38

Compensation

There is no temple, mosque graveyard or any other religious structure on the land under acquisition. The land will vest entirely in the Government with effect from the date its possession is taken over.

Summary of the award is as under:-

Compensation of land measuring 220 big. 8 bis in Block A at @ 4000/-	Rs. 8,81,600-00
Compensation of land measuring 195 big. 7 bis in Block 'B' at @ 3500/-	Rs. 6,83,725-00
Compensation of struture	Rs. 7138-57
" " Wells	Rs. 4000-00
15% solutum for compulsorn acquisition	Rs. 2,36,469-53
Compensation of trees	Rs. 1,425-50
G.Total	Rs. 18,14,358-60

(Eighteen laks fourteen thousand three hundred fifty eight and sixty paisa only)

Sd/-
(SHAM KARAN)
LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR (P)
DELHI.

For warded to the Deputy Commissioner (District Collector)
for information and filing the award.

Sd/-
(SHAM KARAN)
LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR (P)
DELHI.

Seen Filed
sd/- (M.L.Mongia) 18.3.66
Collector Delhi.

Compared
Ar No 1885

ANNEXURE 'A'

S.No.	Name of Tenant.	No. of Jhuggi	Monthly rent	Value of Structure.
1.	Bala Ram s/o Chota Lal caste Sweeper.	2	Rs. 6/-	Rs. 80/-
2.	Raghubir s/o Sugna caste Sweeper	1	Rs. 2/-	Rs. 20/-
3.	Rameshwar Dayal s/o Gurmukh	2	Rs. 6/-	Rs. 150/-
4.	Ujager Singh s/o Mewa Singh caste Sikh	2	Rs. 12/-	Rs. 200/-
5.	Murari s/o Kanla caste Balmiki Sweeper	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 10/-
6.	Dayala s/o Ram Chander caste Balmiki	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 100/-
7.	Inder Parkash s/o Gulu caste Balmiki	1	Rs. 4/-	100/-
8.	Ram Sarup s/o Chaundia	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
9.	Chaundia s/o Kali Ram caste Balmiki	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
10.	Daya Ram s/o Ramji Lal Caste Ahir	1 house	Rs. 12/-	Rs. 171/-
11.	Ram Pal s/o Solaha Ram	1	Rs. 4/-	82/-
12.	Chhotey Lal s/o Sadri	1	Rs. 2/-	Rs. 75/-
13.	Chootay Lal s/o Onkar	1	Rs. 2/-	Rs. 75/-
14.	Ram Dan s/o Makhan Lal	1	Rs. 2/-	Rs. 20/-
15.	Nathuli s/o Har Lal	1	Rs. 2/-	Rs. 20/-
16.	Sohan Lal s/o Dalu	1	Rs. 2/-	Rs. 20/-
17.	Mst. Gulaboo w/o Harbash	1	Rs. 2/-	Rs. 20/-
18.	Gulab s/o Balu	1	Rs. 2/-	Rs. 20/-
19.	Jingarh s/o Baju Ram	2	Rs. 5/-	Rs. 50/-
20.	Har Dayal s/o Parshadi	1	Rs. 2/-	Rs. 20/-
21.	Chootay s/o Goap	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
22.	Kali Charan s/o Hardev	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
23.	Bhoolay Ram s/o Bhahadur	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
24.	Babu Lal s/o Tonda	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
25.	Chandi Lal s/o Narwa	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
26.	Kahna s/o Chhatar	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
27.	Kaoria Ram	3	Rs. 8/-	Rs. 150/-
28.	Bhola s/o Kalu	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
29.	Ram Sarup s/o Jiwa	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
30.	Babu Lal s/o Shamu	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
31.	Shivji s/o Lila Ram	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
32.	Jagey s/o Maru	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-

Contd.....

Compare d R
Date 18/8/5

ANNEXURE 'B'

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Name of Non-occupancy tenant</u>	<u>Kh.No.</u>
1.	Chhanga s/o Shahmal	415/269, 416/269, 273, 515/285
2.	Shahdev s/o Chandu Mal	292, 513/285 min.
3.	Shri Ram Ram Chanda s/o Bikhari	263 min.
4.	Tej Ram s/o Sukh Lal	270/2.
5.	Ram Sarup s/o Khubi	266, 267
6.	Sis Ram s/o Surja	288
7.	Ram Sarup s/o Bharta	283, 284
8.	Mahabir Singh Raghbir Singh Bandhir Singh, Lokbir Singh Dharam Singh s/o Kundan Singh	167
9.	Sunahra s/o Teja	224, 226, 424/219
10.	Amar Singh, Bhooop Singh ss/o Girdhari.	201-202 min 486/333
11.	Tara Chand s/o Mehar Chand	478/328, 477/328
12.	Ram Saran s/o Tulsi	484/331, 483/331
13.	Dalu s/o Sis Ram	404/403/176, 225 min
14.	Sis Ram s/o Mohan	225 min
15.	Dalu s/o Sukh Ram	216/2
16.	Karan s/o Kahna	217/1 to 7, 9, 10.
17.	Dharta s/o Bhani.	325

Sd/-
(SHAM KARAN)

Compare dA

Aw NO 1885

33. Kali Charan s/o Hari Singh	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
34. Faqira s/o Guru Ram	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
35. Jogi Ram	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
36. Kishor s/o Gopi	1	Rs. 2/-	Rs. 20/-
37. Giasi s/o Ram Sarup	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
38. Guru Parshad s/o Ram Sarup	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
39. Mst. Gainda wd/o Gainda Mssey	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-
40. Murari s/o Kangla	1	Rs. 4/-	Rs. 30/-

G.Total Rs. 2003/-

Amended

Sd/-
(SHAM KARAN)

Av No 1885

ANNEXURE 'C'

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Name of occupancy tenant.</u>	<u>Kh.Nos.</u>
1.	Jagga, Ganga Ram ss/o Udey	213min, 221
2.	Abhey Ram, Hukmi ss/o Chatru	214
3.	Bag Ram, Mehar Chand ss/o ansa.	222, 293.
4.	Ram Kishan Vinod Kumar ss/o Mehar Singh	222, 293
5.	Smt. Mankaur devi, Ant. Birmala devi d/o Mir Singh Smt. Chandri wd/o Mir Singh	222, 293
6.	Gobid Singh, Daya ^N and ss/o Ram Parshad	223, 294
7.	Ram Kaur Mahr Kaur Manbhari d/o Ram Prashad, Smt. Dhappor wd/o Ram Prashad.	223, 294
8.	Ghasi s/o Bhoop	425/291
9.	Ram Dass, Sunder Lal Bansi Ram, Lal Singh ss/o Baldev.	426/291

sd/-
(SHAM KARAN)

Compared R

Aw No 1885