

Award No.

1635

Name of the village.....Nangloi Jat.

Nature of Acquisition.....Permanent.

Scheme.....Establishment of an Industrial Estate.

In pursuance of Delhi Administration Notification No. F.15(188)/60-LSG dated 16th March, 1961 made under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894, 276 Bighas 11 Biswas of land in village Nangloi Jat was notified to be needed for a public purpose i.e. for Establishment of an Industrial Estate.

Objections were received U/s 5(A) of the said Act and the same were submitted with a report for the decision of the Appropriate Govt. The Appropriate Government after considering the report made U/s 5(A) sub-section(2) being satisfied that the land in question was needed for a public purpose issued a declaration to that effect vide Notification No.F,15(188)/60-LSG dated 21.12.62 under section 6 of the said Act. By the aforesaid Notification, land measuring 268 Bighas 17 Biswas, the details of which shall be shown under the head "Measurement & Ownership" in village Nangloi Jat was notified for acquisition. Notice U/s 9(1) of the Land Acquisition Act was given due publicity inviting claims from all interested persons. Notices U/s 9(3) and 10(1) of the Land Acquisition Act were served upon the known interested persons. The claims for compensation received in compliance with the notices shall be discussed in this award under a separate heading "Compensation Claims".

It may be stated here that in the first instances relevant notices under section 9 & 10 by mistake were issued to the interested persons on the basis of notification No.F.15(295)/60-LSG/L&H dated 24.10.61, but on the report of N.T. dated 26.8.63, notices were issued again under section 9 & 10 to the interested persons who after service of relevant notices still took the position, that their previous claims filed by them and proof led by them be taken into consideration, and that they did not want to adduce any further proof.

Measurement & Ownership.

The area under acquisition in the Notification under section 6 of the Act is 268 Bighas 17 Biswas. The

area of measurement in consequence of the actual measurement covered by the field staff also comes to 268 Bighas 17 Biswas.

A statement of the land under acquisition, showing the fields nos., ownership, area and classification of soil is given below:-

| S.No. | Name of owners. | Khasra Nos. | | Area | | Classification. |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|------|-----------------------------------------------|
| | | Rect.No. | Killa No. | Big. | Bis. | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | | 4 | 5 | |
| 1. | Bhagbir Singh, Sultan Singh, ss/o Udmu in equal shares....1/3. | 9 - 25 | | 2 - 10 | | Chahi |
| | Bhau Singh, Budho ss/o Lachman in equal shares....1/3 | 10 - 21 | | 4 - 16 | | Chahi |
| | Shiban s/o Nihal...1/3 | 10 - 22 | | 4 - 16 | | Chahi |
| | | 10 - 23 | | 4 - 16 | | Chahi |
| | | 10 - 20 | | 5 - 13 | | Chahi |
| | | 35 - 2/2 | | 4 - 11 | | Chahi |
| | | 35 - 3 | | 4 - 12 | | Chahi |
| | | 35 - 4 | | 4 - 12 | | Chahi |
| | | 35 - 5 | | 4 - 12 | | Chahi(4-10) Ghaimunkin(0-2) |
| | | 35 - 6 | | 4 - 16 | | Chahi. |
| | | 35 - 7/1 | | 0 - 5 | | G.M.Well. |
| | | 35 - 7/2 | | 4 - 14 | | Chahi. |
| | | 35 - 8/1 | | 2 - 8 | | Chahi. |
| | | 35 - 13/2 | | 0 - 4 | | G.M.Well |
| | | 35 - 13/3 | | 1 - 6 | | Chahi (1-5) G.Munkin (0-1) |
| | | 35 - 14 | | 2 - 17 | | Chahi |
| | | Total: | | 57 - 08 | | Chahi 56-16 G.M.Well 0- 9 G.Munkin 0- 3 |
| 2. | Chiranji Lal - Ram Chander ss/o Rati Ram in equal shares 1/6 | 10 - 7/2 | | 0 - 11 | | Chahi. |
| | Mst. Ghogri, Jivini ds/o Bhoru in equal shares..1/6 | 11 | | 3 - 10 | | Chahi. (2-5) G.M.Well (0-5) |
| | Behari s/o Ram Parshad..1/6 | 12 | | 4 - 16 | | Chahi. |
| | Maha Singh, Hoshiar Singh ss/o Ram Karan in equal shares....1/6. | 13 | | 4 - 16 | | Chahi |
| | | 14/1 | | 0 - 6 | | G.M.Well. |

contd.....3.

| 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Rect.No. Killa No. | | |
| 1. | Thandi Ram s/o Mehar Singh.....1/3. | 1 10 ^v 14/3 | 2 - 5 | Chahi G.M.Por 2 - 0 0-5 |
| | | 17/3 | 2 - 5 | Chahi. |
| | | 18 | 4 - 16 | Chahi |
| | | 19 | 4 - 16 | Chahi ^v |
| | | Total: | 28 - 1 | Chahi 27 - 5 G.M.Well 10 - 11 G.M.Pond 10 - 5 ^v |
| 3. | Bhola - Abhe Ram, Munshi, Lachmi Narain s/o Roop Chand in equal shares. | 10 - * 17/1 11 - 20/2 10 16/1 16/2 17/2 24 25 Total: | 1 - 4 2 - 8 0 - 5 4 - 11 1 - 4 4 - 16 4 - 16 19 - 4 | Chahi. Chahi. G.M.Well. Chahi. Chahi. Chahi. Chahi. Chahi 18 - 19 G.M.Well 0 - 5 |
| 4. | Mir Singh s/o Kuria | 11 7/2 11/2 12 13 14 17 18 19 20/1 23 Total: | 0 - 9 2 - 12 4 - 16 4 - 4 3 - 7 5 - 19 4 - 16 4 - 16 2 - 8 4 - 16 38 - 3 | Chahi. Chahi. Chahi. Chahi. Chahi. Chahi. Chahi G.M.Well 4-12 ^v 0-4 ^v Chahi. Chahi. Chahi. Chahi 37 - 19 G.M.Well 0 - 4 |
| 5. | Mhaju s/o Lakha Teju s/o Ganga Sahai in equal share. | 11 21 22 34 1 2/2 2/1 9/1 10/2 Total: | 4 - 16 4 - 16 4 - 12 4 - 7 0 - 5 1 - 4 1 - 4 21 - 4 | Chahi. Chahi. Chahi (4-10) G.M.Rasta (0- 2). Chahi. G.M.Well. Chahi. Chahi (1-2) G.M.Rasta (0-2) Chahi 20 - 15 G.M.Well 0 - 5 G.M.Rasta 0 - 4 |
| 6. | Rohtash s/o Lo Ram | 35 1/1 | 2 - 15 | Chahi ^v |

contd.....4.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | 35 | 10/2 | 2 - 16 | Chahi |
| | | | 11/1 | 1 - 18 | Chahi |
| | | 36 | 5 | 4 - 6 | Chahi |
| | | | 6 | 4 - 16 | Chahi |
| | | | 7 | 2 - 1 | Chahi |
| | | | 14/1 | 0 - 4 | G.M. Well |
| | | | 14/2 | 2 - 19 | Chahi |
| | | | Total: | 21 - 15 | Chahi 21-11 G.M. Well 0-4 |
| 7. | Hari Singh s/o Persa | 34 | 3 | 4 - 12 | Chahi G.M. Well |
| | | | 8 | 4 - 16 | Chahi |
| | | | 9/2 | 3 - 12 | Chahi (3-10) G.M. (0-2) |
| | | | 12 | 3 - 0 | Chahi (2-18) G.M. (0-2) |
| | | | 13 | 3 - 0 | Chahi |
| | | | Total: | 19 - 0 | Chahi 18-11 G.M. Well 0-5 G.M. 0-4 |
| 8. | Des Raj s/o Har Deva. Maya Ram s/o Chhaju in equal shares. | 34 | 5 | 4 - 13 | Rosli |
| | | | 6 | 5 - 12 | Rosli |
| | | | 15 | 3 - 14 | Rosli |
| | | | 11 25 | 3 - 5 | Rosli |
| | | | Total: | 17 - 4 | |
| 9. | Roop Chand, Munshi ss/o Hukmi. | 34 | 4 | 4 - 12 | Chahi |
| | | | 7/1 | 0 - 5 | G.M. Well |
| | | | 7/2 | 4 - 11 | Chahi |
| | | | | 9 - 8 | Chahi 9 - 3 G.M. Well 0 - 5 |
| 10. | Raghubir Singh, Sultan Singh ss/o Udm in equal share....1/3. Bhan Singh, Budho ss/o Lachman in equal share....1/3. Shibani s/o Nihal a. 1/3. | 34 | 10/1 | 3 - 12 | Chahi (3-10) G.M. (0-2) Chahi (2-18) G.M. (0-2) |
| | | | 11 | 3 - 0 | |
| | | 35 | 15 | 3 - 0 | Chahi |
| | | | Total: | 9 - 12 | Chahi 9 - 8 G.M. 0 - 4 |
| . | Raj Pal s/o Tokhan | 10 | 6 | 0 - 12 | Chahi |
| | | | 7/1 | 0 - 9 | Chahi |
| | | | 15 | 4 - 16 | Chahi |
| | | | 14/2 | 2 - 8 | Chahi (2-3) G.M. Pond (0-5) |
| | | 11 | 11/1 | 2 - 12 | Chahi (2-11) G.M. Well (0-5) |
| | | | | | contd.... |

contd.....

| 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| | | | Total: 10 - 17 | Chahi 10-7 G.M.Well Pond. 0 - 10 |
| 12. Daya Nand, Perma Nand ss/o Budh Singh in equal share. | 35 - 1/2 2/1 8/2 9 10/1 11/2 12 13/1 | 1 - 18 0 - 3 2 - 8 4 - 14 2 - 0 1 - 6 3 - 2 1 - 10 | Chahi G.M.Well Chahi Chahi Chahi Chahi Chahi Chahi (1-9) G.M.Makan/(0-1) | |
| | | | Total: 17 - 1 | Chahi (16-17) G.M.Well (0-3) G.M.Makan (0-1) |

The total classification of land under acquisition is summarised as under:-

| | |
|---------------------|-----------|
| | Big. Bis. |
| Chahi..... | 247 - 11 |
| Rosli..... | 17 - 04 |
| G.M.Well..... | 2 - 16 |
| G.M.Pond Mekan..... | 1 - 06 |
| Total: | 268- 17 |

Compensation Claim.

In compliance of the Notices issued U/s 9 & 10 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, the following interested persons have filed the claims enumerated below:-

Note:-The table prepared below gives the full particulars of the claimants, their compensation claims, proof led etc. ✓

| S. No. | Name of the claimants. | Khasra No. reg. which claim filed. | Date of filing the claim. | Compensation claimed with grounds. | Documentary proof or any other proof led by claimant. | Any other Claim. |
|--------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| 1. | Sh. Roop Chand & Munshi | Rect. Killa No. 34 - 4 34 - 7/1 34 7/2 | 31.1.63 | Have claimed compensation @ Rs. 20/- per sq. yds. the land was Chahi. | Reg. Sale Deed No. 2414 dated 12.7.61 vide which Sh. Mir Singh s/o Sh. Korla sold 5 Biswas of land from Rect. No. 32, Killa No. 21/1 & 10/1 in village Nangloi Jat sold to Sh. Jai Narain & Zile Singh s/o Ranjit Singh for Rs. 1375/-. | They have claimed compensation for the boundary wall of a Chhapar constructed on the well. |
| 2. | Sh. Maya Ram s/o Chhaju. | 34 - 5 34 - 6 34 - 15 | 31.1.63 | Has claimed a lot of compensation saying vide that | Regd. Sale Deed No. 2414 dt. 12.7.61 | |

contd.,,,6.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

the land around his land under acquisition is being sold at Rs.10/-per sq. yd.

which Shri Mir Singh s/o Shri Korla sold 0-5 Bis. of land from Rect.No.32[✓] Killa No.21/1 and 10/1 in village Nangloi Jat sold to Sh. Jai Narain and Zile Singh s/o Ranjit Singh for Rs.1375/-.

Note: Shri Maya Ram in his application also stated that notice has been issued to one Shri Hardeva who died about 1¹/₂ months ago and that his only son was Shri Des Raj.

3. Sh. Teju s/o [✓] Sh. Ganga ~~Sahni~~ mentioned any Khasra Nos. in his claim.

31.1.63

Has claimed that he and his brother Sh. Chajju [✓] be paid compensation at the rate of Rs.10/- per Sq.Yard as their land was Chahi.

1. Produced Regd. Sale Deed No.2414 dated 12.7.61 referred in column No.6 of above against claim S.No.7.
2. Regd. Sale Deed No.3064 dated 22.10.60 vide which Sh. Arjan Singh s/o Hardial Sh. Munshi Ram s/o [✓] Murti sold 516³/₄ Sq.yard of land out of Khasra No.43/1, 42/5 in village Nangloi Jat to Matu Ram Jain s/o Lala Misri Lal Jain, Nangloi Jat for Rs.3000/-

4. Sh. Raj Singh, s/o Shri Tokhan. 10 - 6
10 - 7/1
10 - 15
10 - 14/2

31.1.63

Has claimed a lot of compensation saying that the market value of the land was Rs.15/- per Sq.yard as it was Chahi and near to Rly.Station and to a Factory and was surrounded by Pucca roads on 3 sides.

Produced Regd. Sale Deed No.2414 dated 12.7.63[✓] particulars of which have been given in Column No.6 against Column No.1.

5. Sh. Mir Singh s/o Korla. 11 - 7/2
11 - 11/2

31.1.63

Has claimed a lot of compensation saying

1. Regd. Sale Deed No.2414 Dt.12.7.63[✓]

contd.....7.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---------------|-----------|---------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | 11 - 12 | | | that the market | particulars of | |
| | 11 - 13 | | | value of the | this Sale Deed | |
| | 11 - 14 | | | land under acqui- | have been given | |
| | 11 - 17 | | | sition was Rs.20/- | in column No.6 | |
| | 11 - 18 | | | per Sq.yard as | against Claim | |
| | 11 - 19 | | | the land was | SN 1. | |
| | 11 - 20/1 | | | Chahi, and was | 2.A copy of an | |
| | 11 - 23 | | | situated near | agreement dt. | |
| | | | | Railway Station | 17.12.60 vide | |
| | | | | and a Factory | which Sh.Mir | |
| | | | | and was surroun- | Singh s/o | |
| | | | | ded by 3 Pucca | Koria made an agreeem | |
| | | | | Roads. | in favour of | |
| | | | | | Piars Lal s/o | |
| | | | | | Loku for sell- | |
| | | | | | ing his 32 Big. | |
| | | | | | 3 Bis. of land | |
| | | | | | at the rate of | |
| | | | | | Rs.8000/-Per | |
| | | | | | Bigha. | |
| | | | | | 3.Copy of Regd. | |
| | | | | | Sale Deed No. | |
| | | | | | 3064 dated | |
| | | | | | 22nd October | |
| | | | | | 1960(particular | |
| | | | | | of this sale | |
| | | | | | deed given in | |
| | | | | | column No.6 | |
| | | | | | against Claim | |
| | | | | | S.N.3 above). | |
| 6.Sh.Ragbhir | 9 - 25 | 31.1.63 | | Have claimed | Regd.Sale Deed Have c | |
| Singh s/o | | | | compensation at | No.2414 dated med | |
| Udmi Ram, and | 10 - 20 | | | the rate of | 12.7.61(parti-Rs.5000 | |
| Shiban s/o | 10 - 21 | | | Rs.6000/-Per | culars of this for ea | |
| Nihala, | 10 - 22 | | | Bigha as the land | sale deed have well a | |
| Bhau Singh | 10 - 23 | | | was being irri- | been given in Rs.500 | |
| s/o Lachman | 35 - 2/2 | | | gated by two | Column No.6 for Ki | |
| Budh Singh | 3 | | | wells and was | against claim trees. | |
| s/o Lachman, | 4 | | | situated on | S.No.1 above. | |
| Sultan Singh | 5 | | | Rohtak Road near | 2.Copy of Regd. | |
| s/o Udmi Ram. | 7/1 | | | Railway Station | Sale Deed 3064 | |
| | 7/2 | | | and a workshop. | dated 22.10.60 | |
| | 8/1 | | | | (particulars | |
| | 35 - 15 | | | | of this sale | |
| | 35 - 14 | | | | deed given in | |
| | 35 - 13/3 | | | | column No.6 | |
| | 35 - 13/2 | | | | against claim | |
| | 34 - 11 | | | | S.No.3 above. | |
| | 34 - 10/1 | | | | | |
| 7.Sh.Daya | 35 - 1/2 | 31.1.63 | | Have claimed | Regd.Sale Deed Have | |
| Nand s/o | 35 - 2/1 | | | compensation at | No.2414 dated imed | |
| Budh Singh | 35 - 8/2 | | | the rate of Rs. | 12.7.61(parti- 5000 | |
| filed the | 35 - 9 | | | 6000/- per Bigha | culars of this for | |
| claim for | 35 - 10/1 | | | as the land was | sale deed have Rs.1 | |
| himself and | 35 - 11/2 | | | Chahi. | been given in for | |
| on behalf | 35 - 12 | | | | Column No.6 Kika | |
| of his bro- | 35 - 13/1 | | | | above against trees | |
| ther Shri | | | | | Claim S.No.1. and P | |
| Perma Nand. | | | | | 3000/ | |
| | | | | | a Hou | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| 8. | Sh. Hari Singh s/o Parsa | 34 - 3 34 - 8 34 - 9/2 34 - 12 34 - 13 | 31.1.63 | Has claimed compensation at the rate of Rs.20/- Per Sq.Yard on the ground that land is Chahi. | 1. Regd.Sale Deed - No.2414 dt. 12.7.61 (parti- culars of this sale deed have been given in Column No.6 above against Claim S.No.3 2. Copy of Regd. Sale Deed No. 3064 dated 22.10.1960 (particulars of this sale deed have been given in column No.6 above against claim S.No.3 | |
| 9. | Sh.Lachmi Narain s/o Roop Chand, Abhe Ram s/o Roop Chand, Munshi Ram s/o Roop Chand, Bholu Ram s/o Roop Chand | 10 - 17/1 16/1 16/2 12/2 24 25 11 - 20/2 | 31.1.63 | Have claimed compensation at the rate of Rs.6000/- Per Bigha as the land was Chahi and was situated near Railway Station etc. | Have filed a copy of the regd. sale deed No.2414 Dt. 12.7.61 (particulars of this Sale deed are mentioned in Column No.6 above against claim S.No.3. 2.Copy of the Regd.Sale Deed No.3064 dated 22.10.60 (particulars of the above sale deed are mentioned in Column No.6 above against Claim S.No.3. | Have claimed Rs. 6000/- a compensation for a well a tree. |
| 10. | Sh.Rohtas s/o Sh. Lo Ram | 35 - 1/1 35 - 10/2 35 - 11/1 36 - 5 36 - 6 36 - 7 36 - 12/1 36 - 14/2 | 31.1.63 | Has claimed compensation at the rate of Rs.10000/- Per Bigha as the land was Chahi and was located near Railway Station and on Rohtak Road. | Copy of the Regd.Sale Deed No.2414 Dt.12.7.61 (particulars of this Sale Deed are mentioned in Column No.6 above against Claim S.No.1. 2.Copy of Regd. Sale Deed No.3978 dated vide which Sh.Munshi Shrimati Johar Kaur Arjan Singh etc.sold about 252 sq.yds. of land in V. Nangloi | Has claimed Rs. 5000/- as compensation for the well. |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

in Rect.No.32
Killa No.21/2
to Sh. Ghisa Ram
Sh. Mange Ram ss/o
Deep Chand for Rs.
1000/-.

Shri Rohtas s/o Lo Ram appeared before the Land Acquisition Collector on 31.1.63 and stated that his land which was Chahi having a well in it was surrounded by two big Roads. He also stated that before acquisition, he was offered Rs.5/- per sq.yds. as its price, but he did not sell the same. He further produced sale deeds in support of his claim.

Shri Roop Chand and Shri Munshi ss/o Hukmi made statement, saying that the claim filed by them was right and that the compensation should be given to them in accordance with the claim. They further produced sale deeds in support of their claim.

Shri ^NTaju s/o Ganga Sahai, Shri Maya Ram s/o Lo Ram, Shri Mir Singh s/o Kuria have stated that they should be given compensation in accordance with the claims filed by them. They produced registered sale deeds in support of their claim.

Shri Raj Singh s/o Tokhand also stated that he should be given compensation in accordance with the claim given by him. Shri Daya Nand s/o Budh Singh, Ragbir Singh s/o Shri Udmi, Sh. Hari Singh s/o Persa and Lachmi Narain s/o Roop Chand have stated that they have filed their claim and that the compensation be assessed keeping in view the quality of their land.

Shri Deeg Ram, ^NUPardhan Panchayat Gaon Sabha stated that Rectangles Nos.9, 10, 11, 34 & 35 comprised the land of the Gaon Sabha where there was a foot-path and a Khal. He stated that he did not receive any notice U/ss 9 & 10 and that the compensation of the land belonging to Gaon Sabha be assessed in accordance with the quality of the land.

contd....10.

I have gone through the various sale deeds and other proof led by various claimants in the case. The copies of all the sale deeds i.e. No.2414, 3064, 3978 which have been produced, go to show that the land in small areas in the shape of plots have been sold under these registered sale deeds, and hence these sale deeds cannot be made the basis for determining market value in the case, because in such sale deeds prices are generally overstated and exaggerated by the vendees in collusion with the vendors with a view either to defeat pre-emption claims or for fetching more prices by way of resales. Prices are also quoted more in the sale deeds involving small plots of lands, because some of the land has to be left out by the vendors for giving certain facilities of access etc. to the purchasers of such plots of land. As such the prices at which these small plots were sold cannot be ^{be} taken into account as criterion for determining the compensation of land under acquisition which is quite large area. For every dozen purchasers who would be willing to buy a small plot, one might hardly find a single purchaser for such a large area of undeveloped land like the land under acquisition.

on
Shri Mir Singh produced a copy of an agreement dated 17.12.60 vide which he entered into an agreement for selling 38 bighas 3 biswas of land at the rate of Rs.8000/- per bigha with piare Lal s/o Loku. The above agreement cannot take place the position of a sale and may be a fake agreement between the parties for using the same in the acquisition proceedings. Hence I do not place any reliance on the same.

contd.....11.

Thus the sale deeds produced in these proceedings in my opinion are quite deceptive for assessing market value of the land under acquisition and hence cannot be relied upon.

The compensation claimed by the various claimants in my opinion is fabulous, grossly ingenuine and excessive.
Market value.

We have to find out the market value of the land under acquisition as prevailing on the date of Notification U/s 4 namely dated 16.3.61.

The year-wise statement of average sale price for the quinquennium immediately preceding the date of Notification under section 4 of the Act has been worked out as under:-

(These sales took place between 17.3.56 to 16.3.61)

| <u>S.No.</u> | <u>Year.</u> | <u>Area sold</u> <u>Big. Bis.</u> | <u>Consideration</u> <u>money.</u> | <u>Average per</u> <u>Bigha.</u> |
|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. | 1956-57 (17.3.56 to 16.3.57) | 12 - 00 | Rs.5500-00 nP. | Rs. 458.33 nP. |
| 2. | 1957-58 (17.3.57 to 16.3.58) | 41 - 12 | Rs.17250.00nP. | Rs. 414.66 nP. |
| 3. | 1958-59 (17.3.58 to 16.3.59) | 3 - 7 | Rs. 3650.00 nP. | Rs.1089.55 nP. |
| 4. | 1959-60 (17.3.59 to 16.3.60) | 27 - 0 | Rs.32560.00 nP. | Rs.1205.93 nP. |
| 5. | 1960-61 (17..3.60 to 16.3.61) | <u>42 - 16</u> <u>126 - 15</u> | <u>Rs.91400.00 nP.</u> <u>Rs.15036000 nP.</u> | <u>Rs.2135-51 nP.</u> <u>Rs.1186.27 nP.</u> |

From the above table it is evident that the average sale price per bigha for the year 1960-61 comes to Rs.2135.51 nP. The sale deeds which took place in the year 1960-61 are as follows:-

| <u>S. No.</u> | <u>Mutation No.</u> | <u>Date of</u> <u>Registra-</u> <u>tion.</u> | <u>Area sold.</u> <u>Big. Bis.</u> | <u>Consideration</u> <u>money.</u> | <u>Average pe</u> <u>Bigha.</u> |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1. | 1187 | 22.4.60 | 2 - 00 | Rs. 8000.00 | Rs.4000.00 nP |
| 2. | 1192 | 26.9.60 | 1 - 00 | Rs. 3000.00 | Rs.3000.00 nP |
| 3. | 1193 | 26.9.60 | 1 - 2 | Rs. 3000.00 | Rs.2727.27 nP |
| 4. | 1194 | 26.4.60 | 5 -12 | Rs.11000.00 | Rs.1964.29 nP |

contd....12.

| 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|-----|------|----------|---------|-----------------|----------------|
| 5. | 1201 | 10.11.60 | 22 - 16 | Rs.46000.00 nP. | Rs.2017.54 nP. |
| 6. | 1202 | 12.8.60 | 1 - 11 | Rs. 3000.00 nP. | Rs.1935.48 nP. |
| 7. | 1204 | 18.10.60 | 2 - 6 | Rs. 4000.00 nP. | Rs.1739.13 nP. |
| 8. | 1217 | 13.10.60 | 0 - 10 | Rs. 3000.00 nP. | Rs.6000.00 nP. |
| 9. | 1219 | 22.10.60 | 0 - 10 | Rs. 3000.00 nP. | Rs.6000.00 nP. |
| 10. | 1224 | 24.6.60 | 0 - 5 | Rs. 400.00 nP. | Rs.1600.00 nP. |
| | 1235 | 21.7.60 | 5 - 4 | Rs. 7000.00 nP. | Rs.1346.15 nP. |
| | | Total: | 42 - 16 | Rs.91400.00 nP. | Rs.2135.51 nP. |

Out of the above mutations nos., 1192, 1193, 1194, 1202, 1204, 1217, 1219 were not sanctioned and were rejected. The rest of the mutations are pending and have not been sanctioned so far. The above table further shows that mutation Nos. 1192, 1193, 1217, 1219 and 1224, 1202, 1187, & 1204 relate to the sales of plots and small areas of land which may be having facilities of roads, lanes etc. with it. In the sales of such plots prices are generally exaggerated and overstated by vendors in collusion with vendees either for defeating pre-emption claims or for fetching more prices by way of resales. As such, the prices quoted in the transaction of the sales of small plots are generally deceptive and do not furnish correct basis for the determination of the fair and reasonable market value of the land. The Naib Tehsildar in his chief data has reported that the land relating to mutation No. 1201 is situated on the Delhi Rohtak Road, at a distance of over one mile away towards Delhi from the land under acquisition. The land being situated nearer to Delhi ^{it} has greater potential value and would not be safe to consider this sale, while assessing the market value of the land under acquisition. The Naib Tehsildar has further reported in his chief data that mutation No. 1194 relates to piece of land situated on the Delhi Rohtak Road wherein the purchaser Shri Awtar Singh of Ferozepur District

bought it. This land is also towards Delhi side about half a mile from the land under acquisition. This particular sale therefore cannot be taken as the true index of the market value of the land under acquisition. As regards, land including in mutation No.1235, this is a private transaction and generally the parties purposefully overstate prices in the sale deeds for fear of pre-emption.

I have seen the land under acquisition. The tract of land lies between the Railway Station, Nangloi and Delhi Rohtak Road.

The following table enumerates the various awards pronounced in this village as reported in the chief data of the Naib Tehsildar.

| <u>S.No.</u> | <u>Award No.</u> | <u>Date of Notifi- cation under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act.</u> | <u>Kind of soil.</u> | <u>Rate per Bigha.</u> |
|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. | 1143 | F.15(116)/59-LSG Dated 7.11.1960. | - | Rs. 750/- |
| 2. | 1321 | F.15(III)/59-LSG Dated 13.11.1959. | Chahi Nehri Ghairmumkin Banjar Qadim " | Rs.1000/- Rs. 400/- 750/- |
| 3. | 1390 | F.15(56)/62-LSG Dated 22.6.62. | Chahi Rosli Ghairmumkin | Rs.1600/- Rs.1300/- |
| 4. | 1403 | F.15(245)/60-LSG-L&H Dated 24.10.61 | Nehri Ghairmumkin | Rs.1250/- Rs. 600/- |

contd.....14.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----|------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 5. | 1440 | F.15(78)/62-LSG 11 Dated 12.7.62 | Rosli G.M. | Rs.1000/- Rs. 600/- |
| 6. | 1564 | F.15(III)/59-LSG Dated 13.11.59 | Chahi Rosli G.M. | Rs.1000/- Rs.1000/- Rs. 400/- |
| 7. | 1612 | F.15(245)/60-LSG dated 24.10.61 | Chahi Rosli Ghairmumkin | Rs.1250/- Rs.1100/- Rs. 600/- |
| 8. | 1622 | -do- | Chahi | Rs.1250/- |

The land acquired vide Award No.1321 & 1564 was notified under section 4 on 13.11.59 and that of AwardNo.1142 on 7.11.60 while that of Award No.1390 on 22.6.62. The land under acquisition was notified on 16.3.61 under section 4 of the land acquisition Act. In Awards No.1321 & 1564, Rs.1000/- per bigha were awarded for Chahi, Nehri & Chahi Rosli land. For Chahi land in Award No.1390 Rs.1600/- per bigha were awarded, while for Rosli land & Ghairmumkin land Rs.1300/- per Bigha were awarded. It is an admitted fact that prices around Delhi are gradually increasing every year. Admitting that the appreciation of prices has been there in these years, then the prices for the land in 1961 should be somewhere in between the prices for the year 1960 and year 1962. In Award No.1403 Rs.1250/- was given for Nehri while Rs.600/- per bigha was given for Ghairmumkin land. The date of Notification under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act of the land covered by Award No.1403 is 24.10.61, while the date of Notification under section 4 of the land under acquisition is 16th March, 1961.

After giving full consideration to all the facts namely;-the situation, the quality of the land, the relevant sales transactions and the Awards announced by the L.A.Cs and also allowing some appreciation of prices, I am of the view that the following rates would be most reasonable and fair in this case and award the same accordingly.

Chahi land per Bigha.....Rs.1250/-
Rosli land per Bigha.....Rs.1100/-
Ghairmumkin per Bigha.....Rs. 600/-

15% of this value would be paid as solatium for compulsory acquisition. contd.....15.

It may be stated here that in Rect. No.10 Killa No.14/3 measuring 2 Bighas 5 Biswas and in Rect. No.10 Killa No.14/2 measuring 2 Bighas 8 Biswas had been shown to be Chahi in the extract of Khasra Girdawari of Kharif 1961. The spot inspection has revealed that out of the total area of Killa No.14/3 and 14/2, 5 Biswas of land in each was found to be Ghairmumkin Johar. The classification of the aforesaid two Killa nos. now shown under the heading 'measurement and ownership', therefore, differs from classification shown in the Khasra Girdawari. The following table shows the position of the classification of these two Killa Nos.:-

| Sl.No. | Rect. No. | Killa No. | Total area as shown in Kharif, 1961. <i>Rabi</i> | | Classification which has been adopted and shown under the heading 'measurement & ownership' | |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | | 5. | |
| | | | Big. | Bis. | Big. | Bis. |
| 1. | 10 | 14/2 | 2 | 8 Chahi | 2 0 | 3 Chahi 5 G.Mumkin Johar. |
| 2. | 10 | 14/3 | 2 | 5 Chahi | 2 0 | 0 Chahi 5 G.Mumkin Johar. |

The compensation of the above two Killa nos. 14/2 & 14/3 shall be paid on the basis of the classification which has been adopted in Column. No.5.

Trees, Wells and other structures.

The details of the wells, structures and trees found on the spot and the amount of compensation is assessed as under:-

| S.No. | Khasra No. in which the well is situated. Rect. No. Killa No. | | Brief particulars of well. | Value assessed. |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. |
| 1. | 10 | 14/1 | One pucca well (Jari) made of lime and brick - 8 feet diameter, 31 ft. Nal. | Rs.1000/- |
| 2. | 10 | 16/1 | Made of cement and brick - Diameter 8 feet, 26 ft. Nal, in bad condition, requires repairs. | Rs. 800/- |
| 3. | 10 | 11 | One pucca small well made of small bricks | Rs. 100/- |

| 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-----|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| | | Gair Jari (very old) and in dilapidated and rotten condition, made of lime & small bricks - 40 feet Nal 8 Feet Diameter. | |
| 4. | ✓ 11 11/1 | One Pucca Well made of cement and bricks 28 Feet Nal - 8 Feet Diameter. | Rs.1000/- |
| 5. | ✓ 11 18 | One Pucca Well (Jari) made of cement and bricks 36 Feet Nal - 8 Feet Diameter. | Rs.1000/- |
| 6. | ✓ 34 2/1 | One Pucca Well (Jari) made of lime and bricks 32 feet Nal - 8 Feet Diameter. | Rs.1000/- |
| 7. | ✓ 34 3 | One Pucca Well (Jari) made of cement and bricks in good condition 36 Feet Nal - 8 Feet Diameter. | Rs.1200/- |
| 8. | ✓ 34 7/1 | One Pucca well (Jari) made of Lime and cement 32 Feet Nal - 8 Feet Diameter. | Rs.1000/- |
| 9. | ✓ 35 2/1 | One Pucca well (Jari) made of Lime and bricks 32 feet nal - 8 feet Diameter. | Rs.1000/- |
| 10. | ✓ 35 7/1 | One Pucca well (Gair Jari) made of Lime and small bricks 32 Feet Nal - 8 Feet Diameter in bad condition. | Rs. 800/- |
| 11. | ✓ 35 13/2 | One Pucca well (Gair Jari) made of Lime and small bricks - 40 Feet Nal - 8 Feet Diameter, in bad condition. | Rs. 800/- |
| 12. | ✓ 36 14/1 | One Pucca well, (Gair Jari) made of lime and bricks - 22 Feet Nal, 8 Feet Diameter. | Rs. 800/- |

Grand Total: Rs.10500/-

Structures.

| S.No. | Khasra no.in which structure exists. | Brief particulars of structures. | Value Assessed. |
|-------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1. | Rect.No. 35 Killa No. 13/1 | One room in bad condition - length 25 feet, width 9 feet Height 10 feet, approximate bricks used 2,250. | Rs.117/50 nP. contd..17. |

List of trees.

| <u>S.No.</u> | <u>Khasra no.in which tree exists.</u> | <u>Kind of tree.</u> | <u>Weight in Quintals.</u> | <u>Rate per Quintal.</u> | <u>Total Value of tree</u> |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| | Rect. Killa <u>No. No.</u> | | | | |
| 1. | 10 14/3 | 1 Kikar | 1/2 | Rs.5/- | Rs. 2.50 n |
| 2. | 10 17/3 | 1 Kikar | 1 | Rs.5/- | Rs. 5.00 n |
| 3. | 11 18 | 1 Kikar | 1 | Rs.5/- | Rs. 5.00 n |
| 4. | 11 19 | 1 Kikar | 2 1/2 | Rs.5/- | Rs.12.50 n |
| 5. | ✓ 34 2/2 | 2 Neem | 1 1/2 | Rs.5/- | Rs. 7.50 n |
| 6. | ✓ 34 5 | 1 Kikar | 1 | Rs.5/- | Rs. 5.00 n |
| 7. | ✓ 34 6 | 1 Ronjh | 1 | Rs.4/- | Rs.4.00 nP |
| 8. | ✓ 34 7/2 | 2 Neem | 15 | Rs.5/- | Rs.75.00 n |
| 9. | ✓ 34 15 | 1 Ronjh | 1/2 | Rs.4/- | Rs. 2.00 n |
| 10. | ✓ 35 2/2 | 1 Kikar | 1 | Rs.5/- | Rs. 5.00 n |
| 11. | ✓ 35 14 | 3 Kikar | 3 | Rs.5/- | Rs.15.00 n |
| 12. | ✓ 35 13/2 | 1 Kikar | 1 | Rs.5/- | Rs. 5.00 n |
| Grand Total: | | | 30 Quintals. | | Rs.143.50 |

On certain wells, persian wheels were found to have been installed. The owners shall be at liberty to remove them and no compensation for these persian wheels is assessed. There is a temporary type of hut which is made of a Ghhapar and bricks. The owner shall be at liberty to remove the Malba and Chhapar of this temporary hut as no compensation for the same is assessed in this Award.

Mode of payment.

The compensation shall be paid on the basis of entries as existing in statement 'B' which has been prepared from the latest revenue record.

Apportionment.

Shri Deeg Ram, U-Pardhan, Panchayat Gaon Sabha

contd.....18.

stated that Rect.No.9, 10, 11, 34 & 35 comprise the land of Gaon Sabha where there was a Foot Path and a Khal and that the compensation of the land belonging to the Gaon Sabha be assessed in accordance with the quality of the land. The Naib Tehsildar in his chief data has written that Khasra No.116/2 according to the Revenue Record prepared under the Land Reforms in the ownership of Gaon Sabha was not acquired vide Notification No.6. In these circumstances the claim of Up-Pardhan becomes irrelevant.

Shri Harnam Singh has claimed half compensation of the well to the tune of Rs.800/- which is situated in Rect.No.10 Killa.No.16/1. Prior to consolidation, said Harnam Singh had half share in this well. During consolidation proceedings the said well was allotted to Bhola, Munshi, Abhe Ram, Lockmi Narain ss/o Roop Chand who had half the share in the said well. Shri Bhola etc. had to pay half the price of the said well to Shri Harnam Singh. According to revenue record under the Land Reforms, Bhola etc. ss/o Roop Chand are the owners of the said well, but in view of the claim made by Shri Harnam Singh the compensation in regard to the well situated in Rect.No.10 Killa No.16/1 shall remain disputed and shall be deposited in the Court of the learned District Judge U/s 31 of the Land Acquisition Act, for disposal in accordance with law.

The Naib Tehsildar in his chief data has reported that Shri Hir Singh s/o Kuria obtained a Taccavi Loan of Rs.1500/- and hypotheticated out of Rect.No.11, Killa No.11/2 measuring 2 Bighas 12 Biswas, Killa No.12, 4 Bighas 16 Biswas, Killa No.13, 4 Bighas 4 Biswas, Killa No.14 measuring 1 Bigha 4 Biswas, Killa No.17 measuring 4 Bighas 12 Biswas, Killa No.18, 4 Bighas 16 Biswas, Killa No.19, 4 Bighas 16 Biswas & Killa No.20/1, 2 Bighas 8 Biswas i.e. total of 29 Bighas 8 Biswas against the above taccavi loan with the Government. The Naib Tehsildar has further

contd...19.

has further reported that Mutation No.1257 has been entered in respect of the above land showing that the same has been released from the aforesaid hypothecation. But the mutation No.1257 has not been sanctioned so far. In the circumstances, the compensation payable in regard to the above khasra no. is held to be disputed. The taccavi loan plus interest and any other charges which are due to the Government shall be deducted first from the aforesaid compensation and thereafter the rest of the compensation shall be paid. In case a clearance certificate from the Tehsildar Taccavi is received or the aforesaid mutation is sanctioned and its copy produced, then the compensation of the aforesaid Kill nos. shall be paid.

Interest.

Since the possession of the land under acquisition has not been taken so far, therefore, the question of payment of any interest does not arise.

The award is summerized as under:-

By applying the rates mentioned above, the price of land works out as under:-

| <u>S.No.</u> | <u>Kind of land.</u> | <u>Area</u> <u>Big. Bis.</u> | <u>Rates awarded per</u> <u>Bigha.</u> | <u>Amount of</u> <u>compensation.</u> |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1. | Chahi | 247 - 11 | Rs.1250/- | Rs.309437-50 nP |
| 2. | Rosli | 17 - 4 | Rs.1100/- | Rs.18920-00 nP |
| 3. | Ghairmumkin | 4 - 2 | Rs.600/- | Rs.2460-00 nP |
| <u>Total:</u> | | <u>268 - 17</u> | | <u>Rs.330817-50 nP</u> |
| Add 15% for compulsory acquisition..... | | | | Rs.49622-63 nP |
| Compensation for trees..... | | | | Rs. 143-50 nP |
| Compensation for wells..... | | | | Rs.10500-00 nP |
| Compensation for structures..... | | | | Rs. 117-50 nP |

Grand Total: Rs.391201-13 nP

(Balbir Singh) 23/10/63
Land Acquisition Collector (IV),
Delhi.

Balbir Singh
(Balbir Singh) 23/10/63
Land Acquisition Collector (IV),
Delhi.

29/15
63
محمد عبد الرحمن

Seen
Honnabolu
ADM L.A. Delhi
with Powers of Collection
29/1/60
COLLECTOR, DELHI.

ایوارڈ سنانے سے پہلے عارضہ کی رقم محمد شفیع سے نکلوانی چاہیے۔ اس
تین اگر میری جیٹی ٹیکائی چاہیے۔ اس رقم کو دور آنے پر پیش کر دے۔ رقم نہ
آئے تک جیٹی کو دور کر دیا جائے۔ معافی کے لئے شکریہ ادا کرتا ہوں۔
سید علی رضا

۱۸۲

29/1/63

(To be published in Part IV of Delhi Gazette.)

DELHI ADMINISTRATION, DELHI.

NOTIFICATION.

Dated 16 March, 1961.

No.F.13(188)/60-LSG:- Whereas it appears to the Chief Commissioner, Delhi that land is likely to be required to be taken by the Government at the public expense for a public expense ^{purpose} namely for establishment of an Industrial Estate, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the L.A.Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of powers confirmed by the aforesaid section, the Chief Commissioner is pleased to authorise to officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts requires or permitted by that section.

Any person, interested, who has any objection in the acquisition of ^{any} land in the locality may within 30 days of the publication of the notification file an objection in writing before the collector of Delhi.

SPECIFICATION.

| Village | Total Area | | Field Nos. or boundaries. |
|-------------|------------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Big. | Bis. | |
| Nangloi Jat | 276 | 11 | 9/25, 10/6, 10/7/1, 10/7/2, 10/11, 10/12, 10/13, 10/14/1, 10/14/2, 10/14/3, 10/15, 10/16/1, 10/16/2, 10/17/1, 10/17/2, 10/17/3, 10/18, 10/19, 10/20, 10/21, 10/22, 10/23, 10/24, 10/25, 11/7/2, 11/11/2, 11/11/1, 11/12, 11/13, 11/14, 11/17, 11/18, 11/19, 11/20/1, 11/20/2, 11/21, 11/22, 11/23, 11/25, 34/1, 34/2/1, 34/2/2, 34/3, 34/4, 34/5, 34/6, 34/7/1, 34/7/2, 34/8, 34/9/1, 34/9/2, 34/10/1, 34/10/2, 34/11, 34/12, 34/13, 34/15, 35/1/1, 35/1/2, 35/2/1, 35/2/2, 35/3, 35/4, 35/5, 35/6, 35/7/1, 35/7/2, 35/8/1, 35/8/2, 35/9, 35/10/1, 35/10/2, 35/11/1, 35/11/2, 35/12, 35/13/1, 35/13/2, 35/13/3, 35/14, 35/15, 36/1, 36/6, 36/7, 36/14/1, 36/14/2. |

By Order,

Delhi Administration

Sd/-(Jagmohan)
Deputy Housing Commissioner, Delhi

No.F.15(188)/60-LSG.

Dated 16 March 1961.

Copy forwarded to the:-

1. Recruitment & Services Department (in duplicate) for favour of publication in Delhi Gazette.
2. Land Acquisition Collector Delhi with reference to his letter No.F.20(40)/60-LAB/REV/264 dated the 8th February, 1961.
3. Director of Industries & Labour, Delhi.
4. Under Secretary (Industries & Labour) Delhi Administration Delhi.

Sd/-(Jagmohan)
Deputy Housing Commissioner,
Delhi Administration, Delhi.

Attested

by n 28/18/63
LAC IV
3
28/18

(To be published in Part IV of Delhi Gazette)

DELHI ADMINISTRATION: DELHI.

NOTIFICATION.

Dated the December, 62.

No. F15(133)/60-136 :- Whereas it appears to the Chief Commissioner of Delhi that land is required to be taken by Government at the public expense for a public purpose namely for the Establishment or an Industrial Estate, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Collector of Delhi is hereby directed to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

A plan of the land may be inspected at the office of the Collector of Delhi.

SPECIFICATION.

Village or Locality.

Total Area.

Field Nos. or boundaries

Ms.

Ms.

Hangloi Jat

268

17

9/25.

10/3, 7/1, 7/2, 11, 12, 13, 14/1, 14/2, 14/3, 15, 15/1, 15/2, 17/1, 17/2, 17/3, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 11/7/2, 11/1, 11/2, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 20/2, 21, 22, 23, 24, 34/1, 2/1, 2/2, 3, 4, 5, 7/1, 7/2, 8, 9/1, 9, 10/1, 10/2, 11, 12, 13, 15, 35/1/1, 1/2, 2/1, 2/2, 4, 5, 6, 7/1, 7/2, 8/2, 8/1, 9, 10, 10/2, 11/1, 11/2.

Contd.....

1. 2. 3.
~~XXXXXX~~ X X X X X
12, 13/1, 13/2, 13/3, 14, 15,
36/5, 6, 7, 14/1, 14/2,

By order.

Sd/-

Deputy (Jagmohan) Housing Comm
Delhi Administration Delhi.

No. F.15(188)/60-180

Dated the 21 December, 1962.

Copy forwarded to the :-

- 1) Recruitment & Services Deptt. (in duplicate)
For favour of publication in Part IV of Delhi.
- 2) Land Acquisition Collector IV, Delhi.
- 3) Assistant Housing Commissioner II, For information.
- 4) Director of Industries, Delhi Admn. 1 Rajpur,
Road, Delhi.
- 5) Tehsildar, Land and Housing Depatt. Delhi Admn.
Delhi.
- 6) Secretary (Industries) Delhi Admn., Delhi.

Sd /-
(Jagmohan)
Deputy Housing Commissioner,
Delhi Administration, Delhi.

Approved.

Attested.

[Signature]

M.I.AC
28.12.1962.