G.Abpash

Nangloi Jat is required for temporary occupation for public purpose, namely for the filling up the Punjabi Bagh Extention Scheme of 656 acres and notified vide Delhi Administration's notification No.F.15(29)/68-L&H dated 16.10.1968 issued u/s 35(1) of the Land Acquisition Act. 1894 for a period of one year from the Commencement of such occupation. Notices as required were issued to the interested persons to file their claims:-

MEASUREMENT:

The area notified w/s 35(1) of the L.A.Act is 191 bighas 8 bis and the measurement of the same was carried out by the field staff with comparision to the Revenue Record. It was found correct 191 big. 8 bis. OWNERSHIP:

The details of the Kh.Nos. with ownership, tenancy and classification of soil are as under:-

S.No. Name of Bhumidar Occupant 'Kh.No. Area Kind of soi

54/6

53/1

4-16

9-12

- 1) Amrit Singh 1x2 Self s/o Maha Singh 1/2 shares.
 Jagpal Singh,
 Jagwant Singh
 Jaswant Singh
 Sons, Smt. Manthala
 Devi, Barmala Devi
 Sumitra Devi, Saroj
 Devi, Bala Devi,
 Shakuntla Devi, daughter, Smt. Chander Kali
 wd/e Jeet Ram'in equal
 shares 1/2.
- 2) Badam Singh s/o Self 72/2 4-16 G.Abpash Kallu. 72/9 4-16 do-
- 3) Bhup Singh s/eTekan Self 54/25/2 1-16 G.Abpash.
- Teju s/o Ganga Sahai in equal.
- 5) Chandan, Rup Chand Self 44/20 4-16 G.Apasas ss/o Fatah Singh 44/21 4-16 - 40-Contd.....2/

in equal shares 1/2,Surat Singh Har Nath ss/o Kanahia in equal shares. 1/2.

G.Abpash 3-12 13-04 54/25/1 self



Des Ram s/o Raja

G.Abpash 4-16 44/10 Self - do-4-16 44/11 _ do-4-16 _ do-72/10 2-08 78/6/2 16=16

4=16 Abpash 62/6 Self Tota Ram, Rati Ram ss/o Khubi Ram 7) Prem Sukh s/o Dani Ram in equal shares. G.Abpash

63/17 4-16 _ do-Self Kallu Mal s/o IAla 1/2 bhare Udey Ram, 4-16 63/18 _ do-4-16 63/23 8) - do-Khushi Ram, Lakhi Ram, Amrit Singh ss/o 4-16 63/24 - do-4-16 63/25 -do-Sheo Ram in equal shares 1/2 shares. 72/5 4-16 28-16

Abpash 4-16 72/3 Self - do-Ghansham s/o Shera 4-16 4 - do-4-16 1/2 share, Sabha G. A.Tube (324) 9) 6 Chand, Sardar Singh Balwant Singh, Balbir 4-16 Abpash. 3--0 8min Singh ss/o Chamder 22-04 Abpash(22-0) G.MumKin(0-04) in equal shares G.Abpash 1/2 shares.

4-16 63/19 - do-Self Nawal s/o Chhajju 4-16 20 - do-4-16 10) 21 Perrey Lal, Mir Singh Bhim Singh, Puran ss/o 1/4 share. _ do-4-16 22 Abpash 4-16 72/1 73/5/1 0-03. G.M.Well Fatia in equal shares Abpash 4-13 5/2 1/4 shares. - do-Nathu Singh, Ram Chander 73/6/1 2-08 62/16/1 2-03 - do-25/2 3-09 - do-Galab Singh, Baljit Singh ss/o Hira in equal shares. 1/4 shares. 36-16 Sher Singh, Dhani Ram Kali Ram, Raj Singh

Abpash(17-09) G.Abpash(19-04) G.M.(0-03) ss/o Ratan in equal shares 1/4 shares. G.Abpash

44/1/1 2-08 Salf Hari Ram s/o Ratan W G.Abpash 13 4-16 63/ 21) Self Harphool, Lakhi 63/14 9-12 Ram, Ram Nath, 12)

Indraj ss/o Daula in equal shares. G.Abpash 3-12 54/16 Self

Hari Singh s/o Partap Singh. 13) 4-16 Abpash 62/15 Self

4-16 -de-(4-14 G.M. Well (0-0 Mansa Ram s/o 63/12 14) 9-12 Mmra0.

Abpash(9-10) G.M.Well(0-02)

Jage, Mansha ss/o Amra in equal shares. 15)

leu

62/16/2 2-13 Abpash 1-07 - do-Self 25/1 4-00

Contd.....3/

Saon Sabha

Self
Ghansham s/o shera
1/2 share. Sabha 72/8min 1-16
Chand, Sardar Singh
Balwant Singh,
Balbir Singh ss/o
Chander in equal
shares 1/2 shares.

Ramechar s/o Boru 54/15 4-16 G.Abpash.

Puran Adopted s/o 63/11
Nathan Saini
through Mansa
s/o Amar Singh
Saini.

16-04 Abpash(6-12) G.Abpash(4-16) B.Qadim(4-16)

Total land under acquisition 191-08

Abpash(64-07); Gair Abpash(121-16); G.Mumkin(0-09) Banjar Qadim(4-16).

CLAIMS.

CLAA

The interested persons filed their claims as under:-

S.No. Name of claimant Kh.Nos. Details of claim and other particulars.

1) Hari Ram s/o Rattan Land mentioned He has stated that he in notice u/s was incapable of earn livelihood through ot 35.

was incapable of earning livelihood through other sources and claimed Rs. 2000 annually for it. He claims Rs.800/- per bigha annually for land. He claims Rs. 2000/- per foot per bigha for the removal of the earth from the land. He claims 8. 3000/- per bigha as the acquisition will change the character of land which would become useless for agriculture purpose after the removal of earth.He claims 8, 30000/- for the well and the house. He claims Rs. 500/- per bigha for injurious effect on other properties. He claims Rs. 10000/- for the severance of land. He claims Rs. 1000/- per big for the dimishion of the profit and earnings. He claims Rs. 20000/- for the best quality of wheat shun by him. He further states that the purpose for which the land was being acquired was not public purpose.

Contd.....4/

Amrit Singh s/o Maha Land mentioned They claim Rs.2000/-per Singh, Jagpal Singh, Jagwant Singh, Jaswant Singh(M) ss/o Jit Ram, Smt.Sumitra Devi, Smt. Birmala Devi Smt. Manthla Devi, Smt. Saroj Devi(M), Smt. Bala Devi (M) Shakuntla Devi (M) ds/o Jit Ram, Smt. Chander Kali wd/o Jit Ram.

of enth. They claim Ps 200%. per bigh a

bigha annually as income issued w/s 35.of crops. They claims Rs. 1000/- annualyfor the livelihood as they donot know anyother vocation. They claim Rs. 500/- per bigha annually for the equal area of land which they would have to take up some wherelse for agri culture. They claim Rs. the named of the change in character of land which would become useless for agriculture purpose. They claim Rs. 10000/- for the tube well and the room They claim Rs. 300/- per bigha forthe earning from other properties effected by acquisition. They claim R. 10000/- for the severance of land. They claim Rs. 2000/- per bigha for the diminishion of profit. They further state that the purpose for which theland was being acquired was not a public purpose.

3) Teju s/o Ganga Sahai, Chhaju .s/o Lekha.

Land mentioned in notice issued w/s 35 They state that the purpose for which the land was being acquired was not a public purpose. They claims Rs. 2000/- per bigha annually for income from crops, Bs. 1000/annually forlivelinood because they donot know other vocation except agriculture, Rs. 500/- per bigha annually for taking up land some wherelse, Rs. 1000/- per foot per bigha for the removal of earth from the land, &. 2000/- per bigha for the change in character of land which would become useless for agriculture purpose after the removal of earth, Rs. 5000/- for a well and a room, Re. 300/ per bigha for the injuri--ous effect on other properties, %.10000/- for the servance of land and other damages of general nature, and Rs. 2000/- for the dminishing of the profit.

4) Kallu Mal s/o Lala Udey, Khusi, Ram, Lakhi Ram, Amrit Singh ss/o Sheo Ram

in the notice issued u/s35

Land mentioned They state that the purwas being acquired was a public purpose. The claim Rs. 4000/- per bigh 5

annualy for income from crops Rs. 2000/- annualy for liveli. hood because they do not know any other vocation of life Rs.800/- per bigha a nually for taking up land somewhere else for agriculture purpose, Rs. 3000/- per bigha for the change of the characterof land which would become useless for agriculture purpose after the removal of earth, Rs. 10000/- for a well and a room, Rs. 10000/- for the severance of the land and other damages of general nature, Rs. 1000/- per bigha, for diminishing of the profit and earnings and Rs. 20000/for the wheat crops of the best quality.

Rameher s/o Leo Ram, daga Ram Ram parshad ss/o Bhuru.

They state that the compensation forthe earth should be paid to them before it was removed from the land which was level and abuted the village.

- 6) Badam Singh s/o Kallu.
- He states that the compassation should be paid before 72/2 and 9 the earth was removed.

7) Desh Ram s/o Raja Ram.

6.__

- do-44/20 & 21

8) Bhup Singh s/o Tekan.

- do-54/25/2

9) Hari Singh s/o

- do-54/16

Partap Singh.

10)Surat Singh, Harmat 44/20 & 21 Singh, Chandan, Rup Chand and others through Rup Chand s/o Fatch Singh.

11) Lakhi Ram, Harphool 63/13 & 14
Ram Nath, Indraj - do-

54/15

ss/o Dula. 62/16/2 12) Jaga Ram, Mansa Ram ss/o Umrao & 25/1 through Mansa Ram.

He states that Sh.Jaga had died and three daughters and four sons were his heirs. He further states that wheat crop was standing on the land He requests that the amount of compensation should be paid before the removal of earth.

62/15 & 13) Mansa Ram s/o 63/12 Umrao.

He claims that wheat crop was standing and requests that the amount of compensa. tion should be paid to him before the removal of earth.

Contd.....6/

) Nawal Singh s/o Nawai Singh S/onder 63/19 to 22 Chhaju, Ram Chander 63/19 to 22 Nathu, Baljit Singh 72/11/73/5/1, Gulab +Singh, ss/o 5/2/11/6/1 Hira, Raj Singh, Sher Singh, Dhani Ram, Kali Řam ss/o Rattan Mir Singh, Pearey Lal Bhim Singh, Bodan ss/o Fatia.

62/6 (5) Tota s/o Khubi, Rati s/o Khubi, Prem Sukh s/o Dani Ram.

72/3,4,6, 16) Ghansham s/o Shera, 7 & 8. Sabha Chand, Sardar Singh, Balwant Singh Balbir Singh ss/o Chander.

\$2/16/1,25/1 They state that wheat crops standing in the land and the land was productive fertile and Chahi. It gives 3 crops in a year. They request the amount of compensation should be paid to them before the removal of earth.

> They claim Rs.4000/bigha. They state that the land was Chahi, well situated and valuable.

They state that Tacavi loan of Rs.4000/- was taken on 20.5.1966 which had been invested in erecting and installing the tube well in Kh.No. 72/7. The amount was to be repaid by way of instalments of Rs. 483-82P yearly. Sh. Ghansham had to pay minimum charges of Rs. 547-72P every rixe months for electricity of DESU. consumed by tube well. They dlaim Rs. 7000/- for putting soil, Rs.30000/- for the standing wheat crops, Exersx Rs. 740/. for manure, Rs.475/ for labour, Rs.5000/- per bigha for land, and Rs.740/for manure, In support of their claim. They filed document.

Kh.No.72/8/2 17) Ghan Shyam s/o Chand, Sardar Singh 1 big.16 bis Instead they were the ex-Balwant Singh, Balbir Singh ss/o Chander.

They state that Gaon Sabha had no interest in the land declared the Bhumidar of the land by a competent court.

62/16/1,25/2 18) Nawal Singh s/e Chajju Singh Sher 63/16,20 to Singh, Mir Singh 22,72/1, Dhani Ram, Bodan 73/5/1,52 & Singh, Raj, Nathu 6/1. Lakhi Ram, Kali Ram, Bhiman, Pearey through Nawal Singh and Sher Singh.

They state that the land was low lying and valuable They haverequested that earth should not be removed from the land.

Out of the claimant S/Sh. Ghansham and others claimants at S.No.16 filed an unregistered deed of Agreement excuted on 8.12.1960 where 24 bighas 6 bis of land bearing Kh.Nos. 66/8/3 13/2,14,15/1,15/2,16/2,67/21/1 in village Nameloi was leased

out for five years commencing from 1,1.61 by Ghanisham and otheres (claimants) in favour of Sh. Sher , Harbir Singh and Siri Niwas for Rs. 13350/- According to the agreement the lessees could the earth upto the depth of 4.6 feet in 19 bigha without any hinding as to depth upto which the earth will be dug in the remaining 5 bighas 6 bis for Kiln. The average per bigha comes to Rs. 549.40.

w

The area under acquisition is 191 bighas 8 bis. It MARKET VALUE: is bieing acquired in village Nangloi Jat for digging up earth for filling the Punjabi Bagh extension scheme of 656 acres. The village Mangloi Jat is a rural village and the Delhi Land Reform Act 1954 is applicable to the said village.

Prior to the date of notification w/s 35(1) dated 16.10.68 six sale transactions have taken place during the years from 1963-64 to 1967-68. In the year 1963-64 and 1967-68 no sale transaction is reported to have taken place. The sale transactions are as under:-

taken place. The			Price
S.No. Rect. NolKilla No.	tration.	big.bis 4-16 Rs.28500/- R	<u> </u>
1) 35/17-22/1	18.5.64	26-02 B.59975/- 1	s.2305-84
2) 5/19 to 21 6/16-17/1,13/2	19.6,65 21-6-65	20-13 Rs.48000/-	The state of the s
12/4/2, 13/1 4225	9.8.65	14-06 Rs.32175/- 5-14 Rs. 1400/-	Rs. 2293-30
4) 5/18,23 5) 1/6snares out of 34 bighas 4 bis.	7.7.65		00
34 organs 2 6) 22/20,21/1 The sal	26.5.66 transactions	s at S.No.2 and 3 a	re about

to furlongs from the land under acquisition. The sale transaction at S.No.1 and 5 are about 4 to 6 furlongs from the land underacquisition. The sale transactions st S.No.1 to 5 took place in 1964-1965 where as the date of notification u/s 35(i) is 16,10.68. Being Pretty old these sale transactions can safely be discarded. The only sale transaction that is worth while considering is the sale transaction at S.No.6.

The sale transaction at S.No.6 fetched Rs. 4331 per bigha for 6 bighas on 26.5.66. The land of the sale transaction is on the Delhi-Rohtak Road and about 4 furlongs from the land under acquisition.

No doubt sale transactions convey a fair idea of the prevailing price of land in the village. The price offered in Award made in the village also have a bearing and play an important rule in the assessmentof market price. The Awards in proximity to the landunder acquisition made in the

villag	e are as un	der:-	. Ř	sta De	er bigha.	,
	Award No.	Date of notification u/s 4.	100			
	1957	4.3.63	Block A Block B	Rs. Rs.	3100/ <i>-</i> 1500/ <i>-</i>	
1)		11 66	*	Rs.	3300/-	
2)	2037	15.11.66	Block A	Rs •	4000/-	
3)	2048	17.2.67	Block B	Rs.	3200/-	
4.	2192	1.4.68	Block A Block B	Rs • Rs •	- 00/	
4)					No.2192 is	

Out of the aforesaid Awards the Award No.2192 is adjoining to the land under acquisition. The date of notification u/s 35(1) is 1.4.68 about 6 months earlier to the date of notification of the present scheme. The sale transation at S.No.6 took place about 22 years ealier to the material date. Of these two . The date of notification of the Award No.2192 is closer to the material date. So best evidence for assessing fair and reasonable market price of the land under acquisition is Award No. 2192.

In it the LAC devided the land into two Blocks and awarded Rs. 240/- per bigha/annum as rental assessing the

value at Rs. 4000/- per bigha and for Block B Rs. 192 bigha per annum as rental assessing the land value at

I place the Abpash and G.Abpash land under acquisi-200/- per bigha kham. ion in Block A for the reason that Abpash and G.Abpash s superior in quality to theremaining land comprising of Banjar Wadim and G.Mumkin which is placed in Block B. References w/s 18 in thesaid Award and also in

Award No. 2048 are pending decision with the A.D.J. The evidence adduced by the claimant is irrelevant in as much

as the agreement of village Mangloi Jat is unregistered.

As such considering the nature of acquisition, the rate of land comprising of Abpash and G.Abpash constituted as Block A is assessed at Rs. 4000/- per bigha and the rate of land comprising of Banjar Qadim and G.Mumkin constituted as Block B is assessed at Rs. 3200/- per bigha kham. The rent at 6% per annum on the market value for Block A comes to Rs. 240/- per bigha per annum and for Block B comes to Rs. 192/- per bigha per annum. I assess thesame ascrent

The compansation for earth will be awarded through a supplementary Award on receipt of a certificate from the per year. Acquiring Department to the effect that earth has been dug up from the land under acquisition.

There is a room in each Kh.No.44/1/1 and 72/3. Theyare not likely to be damaged. As such compensation STRUCTURES: has not been assessed for them.

There is one well in each Kh. No. 63/15,72/7, and 73/5/1. Theys are not likely to be damaged. As such con <u>Welis:</u> sation has not been assessed for them. Butthe land sur ing wells has been assessed to compensation. Contd....10/

The trees standing in the land under acquisition are

ಶ				
assessed	to	compensation	25	and .

Kh.No.	Name of tree	Weight	Rate per Otl.	Tetal
44/10	1 Kikar		@ Rs.1/-	Rs. 1/-
44/20	1 Kikar		11	Rs. 1/-
54/6	1 Janti	1	@ Rs.4/-	Rs. 4/-
54/16 62/6	1 Bakayan 1 Janti	3	@ Rs.4/-	Rs.12/-
62/25/2	3 Shahteet 1 Bakayan	2 4	@ Rs.4/-	Rs. 8/- Rs.16/-
63/20	1 Kikar 2 Janti	1	@ Rs.1/- @ Rs.4/-	Rs. 1/- Rs. 4/-
<i>≨</i> 63/23	1 anti	10	18	Rs.40/-
72/9	1 Janti 1 Shisham	• •	@ Rs.1/- @ Rs.2/-	Rs. 1/- Rs. 2/-
73/5/1	6 Shahteet 3 Bakayan	5 2	@ Rs.4/-	Rs.20/- Rs. 8/-
73 \$5\$ 2	1 Kikar 4 Shahteet 1 Bakayan	Plants -de- - de-	@ Rs.1// @ Rs.2/- @ Rs.1/-	Rs. 1/- Rs. 8/- Rs. 1/- Rs. 128/-

Pessessien of the land has not been handed over to INTEREST the acquiring Department. The question of interest therefore, does not arise.

APPORTIONMENT:

Kh.No.72/8min, 54/15 and 63/11 belonging to Gaen Sabha are in the pessessien of different persons. The

compensation shall remain disputed.

Compensation shall be paid according to the entries made in the latest revenue record.

SUMMARY Rend for Block 'A' measuring R. 44676-00 186 big. 3 bis @ Rs.240/- per bigha. 1008-00 for Block 'B' measuring

5 big. 5 bis @ Rs.192 per bigha.

Compensation of trees. G.Total (Ripees Fourty five thousand six hundred twelve only)

(SHAM KARAN)
ACQUISITION COLLECTOR