

Award No. 10

Award No. 10 for the year 1961-62 by Shri
Singh, P.C.S., Land Acquisition Collector, Delhi.

S E No.

10.

Acquisition of land for the Planned
Development of Delhi.

Village:-

N A R I A N A

A W A R D

In pursuance of Delhi Administration
Notification under section 4 No. F.15(III)/59-LSG
dated the 13th November, 1959 made under the provisions
of the Land Acquisition Act of 1894 and as declared
vide Notification No. F.1(20)/61-L & H dated the 10th
August, 1961 under section 6 of the Act, the Delhi
Administration acquired ~~xxx~~ 758 Bighas 13 Biswas of
land in Village Nariana, Tahsil and District Delhi at
a public expense for a public purpose, namely, for the
Planned Development of Delhi.

2. M E A S U R E M E N T.

The area under acquisition as given in
the Notification under section 6 of the Act is 758
Bighas 13 Biswas, while that found on measurement by
the Field Staff at the spot is 718 Bighas 14 Biswas.
The difference in the area is due to mis-calculation of the
of min Khasra numbers. The land under acquisition lies
in 242 fields in Village Nariana as detailed in Form
7 L.A prepared under paragraph 55 of the Financial
Commissioner's Standing Order No. 28.

The detail of Khasra Nos. is also given

below:-

Khasra No.

Area.
Big. Bis.

9/1
10/1

0
0

Contd.

Contd.

Nariana

Masra No.	Area.	
	Big.	Bis.
25	4	14
26	2	15
27	<i>Lu</i> 8	3
28	4	18
29	5	11
30	4	0
31	4	4
53	<i>Lu</i> 0	16
54	1	9
55	0	17
68	1	13
69	0	18
70	2	8
71	2	15
72/2	1	2
73/2	4	5
74/1	2	16
99/1	2	0
1794/100/2	1	<i>Lu</i> 14
308/2	3	8
309/2	0	4
310/2	2	1
310/2	8	7
1981/311	1	11
1982/311	5	0
312	4	18
313	2	10
314	2	18
315	3	14
316	3	3
317	1	10
318	1	9
319	1	1
320	1	1
321	2	5
322	2	10
323	4	18
324/1	2	1
327	1	10
332/1	3	6
333	2	1
334/1	2	7
335	2	5
336	3	5
337	2	10
338	2	8
339	4	4
340	23	5
341	1	16
342/1	5	1
349/1 min	0	14
378	0	10
379	-	-
380	4	1
2035/2024/383	4	4
384	4	17
385	3	12
386	3	0
2036/2024/383/1	3	9
387	3	13
388	6	17
389	4	14
390	4	1
391	4	16
392	5	8
393		

8-3

1-14

Contd....

muouia
a.

Khasra No.

Area.
Big. Bis.

394/1	1	3
395/1	1	16
396/1	1	19
397	5	1
398	5	17
399	4	14
400	1	1
401	1	7
1858/402	0	6
1859/402	0	15
1860/402	0	15
403	4	6
404	4	8
405	0	2
406	5	1
407	4	10
1861/408	1	10
1862/402	0	6
1863/409	0	06
1864/409	1	10
410	3	1
411	3	8
412	3	12
413	4	12
414	8	9
415	0	18
416/1	4	0
417/1	2	10
418	2	16
419/1	1	14
420/1	0	4
437/1	1	5
438/1	3	0
439	3	18
440	4	15
441	1	18
442	1	18
443	3	16
444/1	1	13
445	3	8
446	3	16
447/1	0	11
462/1	0	3
463/1	3	13
464/1	0	17
465	1	7
466/1	5	4
467	4	11
468	1	16
469	2	10
470	1	17
471	1	10
472	2	14
473	3	13
474	14	8
475	6	15
476	6	6
477	4	12
478	7	6
479/1	0	12
480/1	1	9
2037/481	1	2
2038/481	1	2
482/1	2	3

Contd.....3

Khasra No.

Khasra No.	Area	
	Big.	Bis.
483	1	9
1866/484	0	11
1865/484	1	10
485	0	7
486	2	0
487	3	2
488	3	2
489	10	3
490	4	0
491	1	8
492	1	1
493	12	3
494	4	12
495	6	7
496	2	9
497	0	14
1965/498	0	11
1966/498	0	10
499	1	1
500	4	13
501	5	8
505	5	5
506	4	3
2039/507	3	2
2040/507	3	3
509	12	2
510	9	16
511	5	8
512	4	10
513	3	12
514	3	10
515	4	4
516	2	3
517	0	7
518	0	6
519	4	4
520	3	7
521	2	13
1802/522	2	5
1803/522	0	9
523	2	14
524	2	14
525	5	10
526	1	13
527	1	12
528	1	5
529	1	9
530	1	16
531	1	16
532	5	10
533	1	16
534	1	13
2028/535	1	16
2029/535	2	0
536	1	8
537	1	16
538	1	3
539	1	5
540	1	2
541	1	3
542	1	13
543	1	16
544	0	9
545	0	12

Contd.....4

$$\frac{21,200000}{4}$$

<u>Khasra No.</u>	<u>Area.</u>	
	<u>Big.</u>	<u>Bis.</u>
546	0	5
547	1	0
548	0	16
549	0	19
550	0	19
551	1	5
552	0	8
553/1	1	5
554	3	12
555	1	7
556	1	9
557	2	1
558	4	7
559	2	17
560	2	14
561	3	3
562	4	19
563	0	14
564	4	17
565	2	6
566	2	15
567	4	15
568	4	16
569	1	10
2016/570	1	7
2017/570	1	7
571	10	6
2006/573/1	0	6
2007/573/1	4	15
574	2	14
2026/575	4	12
2027/575	1	3
576	3	7
622/1	0	1
623	3	1
624	5	15
625	3	17
626	0	7
627	8	2
628	2	10
629/1	1	9
639	0	5
640	0	7
642	1	1
643	1	1
654/1	4	9
1060/1	6	0
Total :-	718	14

The measurement ^{and} ~~and~~ classification of the land have been accepted by the land owners concerned. No objection was received from the Department either. I, therefore, agree with the classification of the land

Contd.....5.

as proposed by the Naib-Tahsildar (Land Acquisition)
on the basis of the entries of the Khasra Girdawari on
date of the Notification under section 4, as under:-

<u>Class of land</u>	<u>Area.</u>	
	<u>Big.</u>	<u>Bis.</u>
Chai	505	11
Rosli	182	19
Banjar Qadim	13	12
G.M Chah	3	8
G.M. Kotha	0	3
G.M. Rasta	13	1
Total:-	718	14

3. POSSESSION.

The possession of the land has not been
taken over as yet.

4. MARKET VALUE.

Some of the owners and interested persons,
who appeared in pursuance of the notices issued under
section 9 & 10 of the Act, were examined for the
measurement of the area, quality of the land and the
assessment of compensation.

1. Sh. Banwari Lal etc. :- Requested for compensation
@ Rs. 7/- per Sq. yard. They
stated that they were occupancy
tenants and ~~xxx~~ so were entitled
to the entire amount of
compensation.
2. Sh. Tilak Ram :- Claimed compensation @ Rs.
10/- per Sq. yard.
3. Shri Lala :- Claimed compensation @ Rs.
10/- per Sq. yard. They
desired that the classification
of land of Khasra Nos. 557,
558 and 626 should be changed
from Rosli to Chai, but they
have not produced any proof to
show that the area in question

- do -

Shri Padam Singh

Shri Dharam Singh

Shri Ram Singh

Shri Het Ram

Owners of the land
under acquisition

Shri Kehari Singh

10. Shri Jai Ram

11. Shri Dharam Singh

12. Shri Pratap Singh etc. :- Requested for compensation @ Rs. 7/- per Sq. yard.

13. Shri Hargian Singh

14. Shri Debi Sahai etc

15. Shri Richpal Singh

16. Shri Rama Nand

1

:- Requested for compensation
@ Rs. 7/- per Sq. yard.

11

:- Desired that the amount of compensation be paid to his real brother Shri Ganga Sahai as per mutual agreement.

:- Claimed compensation @ Rs.
7/- per Sq. yard.

:- Requested for compensation @ Rs. 7/- per Sq.yard. He stated that Khasra No. 439 is Chai and not Rosli as entered in the Revenue Record. No proof was produced to show that this Khasra No. ~~was~~ Chai. It is entered as Rosli in the Revenue Record. Hence his request could not be acceded to.

:- Requested for compensation
@ Rs. 10/- per Sq. yard for Chai
and Rs. 8/- per Sq. yard for
other land under cultivation.

other lands

:- Claimed compensation as above.
Further requested that compensation
for Khasra Nos. ^{70, 319, 332, 335 and 337} ~~4~~ should not be
paid to any one till the decision
of the case pending in the High-
Court.

:- Requested for compensation
@ Rs. 7/- per Sq. yard.

:- Claimed Rs. 145000/- as compensation for Khasra No. 312. He also stated that there was a garden in this Khasra. Compensation should be paid for this also.

:- Requested for compensation
@ Rs. 10/- per Sq. yard.

:- Stated that compensation should not be paid to Shri Dharam Singh s/o Shri Tara Singh till the decision of the case pending in the Civil Court.

:- Requested for compensation @ Rs. 7/- per Sq. yard. He further stated that total amount of compensation be paid to the occupancy tenant.

Contd.....7.

Δι. Διο 1012

- Chander Etc. :- Requested for compensation @ Rs. 7/- per Sq. yard.
- Shri Maha Singh :- Stated that the amount of compensation be paid to Maha Singh and Yad Ram ss/o Bakhtawar Singh.
- Shri Jag Ram etc. :- Requested for compensation @ Rs. 7/- per Sq. yard.
- Shri Sansi Lal & Shri Khazan Singh :- Stated that they were co-shares with Sh. Mangat, Ganga Dass, Neta and Tilak Ram. Requested for compensation along with them.
- Shri Shyam Lal :- Stated that Khasra Nos. 394, 404, 407, 410, 413, 415, 416 468, 1966/498 have since been released in the favour of the legal heirs of late Sh. Jag Ram. *Compensation be paid to them.*
- Shri Rup Chand :- Requested for compensation @ Rs. 10/- per Sq. yard. Since he was a co-share in Khasra Nos. 341, 342, 509, 592, 510, 511, 486.
- Shri Siri Niwas etc. :- Stated that Khasra No. 2039/507 is Chai and not Rosli as entered in the Revenue Record. No proof has been produced in support of this contention. Hence no change can be made.
- Smt. Parmeshwari Devi :- Requested for compensation of Khasra Nos. 549, 2016/570, 551, 2012/570 and 627, Since her husband was not tracable for more than 30 years.
- Shri Ram Chander & Shri Bhagwana. :- Stated that Khasra No. 2039/507 should be treated as Chai instead of Rosli, which have since been done according to the Revenue Recor-d.
- Shri Ram Chander etc :- Requested for compensation @ Rs. 10/- per Sq. yard.
- Shri Munshi Ram :- Requested for compensation @ Rs. 10/- per Sq. yard. Besides Rs. 1200/- as damages for standing crops, Rs. 1500/- for trees, Rs. 15000/- for three wells, Rs. 800/- for the persian wheel, Rs. 15/- per cent statutory allowance and Rs. 6/- per cent as interest.
- Shri Ram Saran :- Requested for compensation @ Rs. 10/- per Sq. yard, Re. 500/- per Bigha for the standing crop (Gajar), Rs. 1000/- for trees, Rs. 10000/- for wells, Rs. 15000/- as severance allowance, Rs. 54000/- as damages sustained all kind of

acquisition which injureously effected the claimant's land, Rs. 15/- per cent as statutory allowance and Rs. 6/- per cent as interest.

Shri Nath Singh
S/o of Shri
Singh

:- Requested that compensation of Khasra Nos. 563, 557, 558, 626, 727, 734 and 869 be paid to him, since these numbers have been released by the competent court. (compensation will be paid on production of duly attested copy)

Shri Singh &
Sarup.

:- Requested for compensation @ Rs. 7/- per Sq. yard. They stated that they were the occupancy tenants and were entitled to the entire amount of compensation.

Shri Lal

:- Requested for severance allowance, since his well had been acquired and his other land had become Rosli in stead of Chai.

The Department was not represented.

The demand of the land owners concerned

is very high. The rates claimed by them cannot be

used for the calculation of the price of the land under

consideration. They have produced copies of three sale-deeds

31.3.58, 31.3.60 and 14.8.61. Two of the deeds

31.3.58 and 14.8.61 are after the date of Notification

dated 4 and the transactions relate to the land near

Abadi of the village and so cannot be considered.

The deed dated 31.3.58 it was executed more than a year

before the date of Notification. It also related to the land

near Abadi of the village. I am, therefore, not inclined

to take this deed into consideration either. I have, however,

copied out from the office of the Sub-Registrar of some

sale-deeds of this village executed from 1.12.58 to 13.9.59.

These are now placed on the file. It would be seen from

that all these transactions relate to developed

These transactions

which are near the abadi. ~~and~~ cannot be considered assessing the market value in this case. The Naib-ildar (Land Acquisition) has worked out year-wise age of the sale price in this village, which is as follows:-

<u>Year</u>	<u>Average per bigha</u>
1954-55	Rs. 5233.20 nP
1955-56	Rs. 2203.02 nP
1956-57	Rs. 2600.27 nP
1957-58	Rs. 2169.91 nP
1958-59	Rs. 2570.06 nP

The following Awards were announced in this

village against the notifications written against each:-

No. of Award.	No. & date of Notification u/s 4	Quality of land	Amount Awarded	Scheme.
2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
919	F.15(31)/58-LSG dated 4.3.59	Rosli	Rs. 2000/-	Railway Line Scheme
1024	F.15(83)/58-LSG (ii) dated 19.7.58	Rosli B. Qadim	Rs. 1750/- Rs. 500/-	Over bridge Ring Road Scheme.
1066	F.15(59)/59-LSG (iii) dated 22.2.60	Chai Rosli G. Mumkin	Rs. 2500/- Rs. 2000/- Rs. 600/-	Food Grains Godown Scheme.

The Award for the Food Grain Godown Scheme

relates to the land adjoining the land under acquisition. There is not a much gap between the date of the Notification for the Food Grains Godown Scheme and the Notification for the land under acquisition. The average sale price for the year 1958-59 has been worked at Rs. 2570.06 nP Bigha. There can, therefore, be no reason to differ the rates already awarded in Award No. 1066 for the Food Grains Godown Scheme which adjoins the land under

which is of the same quality as the land in the
ent acquisition.

After giving full consideration to the demand
of the land-owners concerned, the situation of the land,
already announced for the Food Grains Scheme for the
land and all other factors, I am of the opinion
the following rates would be quite reasonable and
market price in this case:-

Chai	Rs. 2500/- per Bigha
Rosli	Rs. 2000/- per Bigha
Banjar Qadim	Rs. 1000/- per Bigha
Ghair Mumkin	Rs. 600/- per Bigha.

TREES, WELLS & OTHER STRUCTURES

The detail of trees in the land under acquisition
follows:-

Kind of tree.	No. of tree.	Amount assessed.
2. U	3.	4.
Guava	19 (5 years old)	The rate of compensation was assessed by the Horticulture Assistant. His report with details is placed on the file. He has recommended Rs. 283/- as compensation for these trees. I, however, differ with his recommendation. The owner appears to have spent a good part of my amount for the plants and labour. I would, therefore, allow Rs. 10/- each for Jamun & Mango seed lings for which no compensation has been assessed by the Horticulture Assistant, besides Rs. 283/- recommended by him;- making the total amount of compensation as Rs. 473/-
Sita fal	1 (1½ years old)	
Lemon	1 (1½ years old)	
Pilkhan	1 (5 years old)	
Jamun	11 (1 year old seed lings.)	
Mango	8 (3 months old seed lings)	
Shisham	1 (150 maunds @ Rs. 2/- per maund)	300/-

	2.	3.	4.
Neem	2 (75 maunds @ Rs. 1/8 per maund)		112/50 nP
Amrood	1		13/-
Shatoot	1		10/-
339 Kikar	1 (15 maunds @ Rs. 1/8 per maund)		22/50 n
Beri	1 (5 maunds @ Rs. 1/- per.M)		5/-
Neem	1 (100 maunds)		150/-
Neem	2 (20 maunds @ Rs. 1/8 per maund)		30/-
Shisham	1 (15 maunds @ Rs. 2/- per maund)		30/-
Kikar	1 (15 maunds @ Rs. 1/8 per maund)		22/50
438 Kikar	1 (8 maunds @ Rs. 1/8 per maund)		12/-
473 Burgad (Bur)	1 (125 maunds @ Rs. 1/- per maund)		125/-
Neem	1 (50 maunds @ Rs. 1/8 per maund)		75/-
466 Kikar	1 (20 maunds @ Rs. 1/8 per maund)		30/-
463 Kikar	1 (15 maunds @ Rs. 1/8 per maund)		22/50
505 Neem	1 (50 maunds Rs. 1/8 per maund)		75/-
506 Kikar	2 (11 maunds @ Rs. 1/8 per maund)		16/50
510 Kikar	2 (20 maunds)		30/-
571 Kikar	2 (25 maunds)		37/50
568 Kikar	1 (5 maunds)		7/50

	2.	3.	4.
Kikar	6 (60 maunds @ Rs. 1/8 per maund)	90/-	
Neem	3 (100 maunds @ Rs. 1/8 per maund)	150/-	
Pipal	1 (75 maunds @ Rs. 1/- per maund)	75/-	
Shisham	2 (150 maunds @ Rs. 2/- per maund)	300/-	
Pipal	1 (150 maunds @ Rs. 1/- per maund)	150/-	
Pipal	1 (50 maunds)	50/-	
Shisham	2 (150 maunds @ Rs. 2/- per maund)	300/-	
Pipal	1 (125 maunds)	125/-	
Neem	1 (75 maunds @ Rs. 1/8 per maund)	112/50	

Total :-

Rs. 2,952.00 N.P.
Luv

There are the following houses in the land
under acquisition:-

Name of the owner	Khasra No.	Kind of the house.	Price assessed.
2.	3.	4.	5.
Sh. Hargian Singh & Sh. Dalip Singh ss/o Ganga Dass Caste Rajput.	312	3 cemented Rooms 1 tin shed 1 water storage tank 1 pucca wall (3' x 225 ')	Rs. 500/- per room. Rs. 50/- Rs. 200/- Rs. 200/-
Shri Kehari etc.	27	1 cemented room	Rs. 500/-

Contd.....13.

Luv
13.

2.	3.	4.	5.
----	----	----	----

Munshi s/o
Ganga 389

1 cemented
room .

Rs. 500/-

Total :-

Rs. 2950.00 nP

on

There are 10 wells in the land under acquisition
as detailed below:-

<u>Thasra No.</u>	<u>No. of wells.</u>	<u>Amount assessed.</u>
312	1	A pumping set is installed. The owner will remove the pumping set. Re- the well I would assess Rs. 1000/- as a reasonable compensation.
27	1	Has no persian wheel. I would assess Rs. 800/- as compensa- tion for it.
389	1	One persian wheel is installed The owner will remove the persian wheel. Re- the well I would assess Rs. 1000/- as a reasonable compensation.
473	1	Has no persian wheel. I would assess Rs. 800/- as compensa- tion for it.
510	1	One persian wheel is installed. The owner will remove the persian wheel. Re- the well I would assess Rs. 1000/- as a reasonable compensation.
639	1	Has no persian wheel. I would assess Rs. 800/- as compensa- tion for it.
546	1	Has no persian wheel . I would assess Rs. 800/- as compensation for it.
485	1	Has no persian wheel. I would assess Rs. 800/- as compensa- tion for it.
517	1	One persian wheel is installed The owner will remove the persian wheel. Re- the well

Contd.....14.

20.2.2014

Area No.

No. of well

Amount assessed.

1

I would assess Rs. 1000/- as a reasonable compensation

Has no persian wheel. I would, therefore, assess Rs. 800/- for it.

Total :-

Rs. 8800/-

By applying the rates mentioned above,

Price of the land works out to be:-

<u>Area</u>	<u>Price</u>		<u>Total</u>
Big.	Bis.		
505	11	Rs. 2500/-	Rs. 12,63,875.00 nP
182	19	Rs. 2000/-	Rs. 3,65,900.00 nP
13	12	Rs. 1000/-	Rs. 13,600.00 nP
3	8)	Rs. 600/-	Rs. 2,130.00 nP
0	3)		
13	1	No compensation is assessed for Ghair Mumkin Rasta as it is a public passage without interruption as entered in the Revenue Records.	

718

14

Rs. 16,45,505.00 nP

ACQUISITION CHARGES.

The owners and interested persons will be allowed to 15% in consideration of the compulsory acquisition on the market value which comes to Rs. 75 nP.

EST.

benefit
to
the amount
deposit
spite
of the land
on due will
e balance,
agreed upon.

entitled to get any interest on the amount awarded as compensation, since the possession has not so far been taken.

9. MODE OF PAYMENT.

The owners and the interested persons will be paid compensation according to their shares as entered in the Jamabandi of village Nariana. There are some occupancy tenants, Dholi-Dars as well as non-occupancy tenants in this village. Re- occupancy tenants, they will be paid compensation @ Rs. 0.87 nP in a rupee. The Dholi-Dars are as a matter of fact, given land as gift by their "JAJMANS". The amount of compensation will, therefore, go to them (Dholi-Dars). Re- Non-occupancy tenants protection against eviction by the land-lords has been secured under " The Delhi (Urban Areas) Tenants' Relief Act 1961 ". Vide section 3(1) of the Act no person shall be liable to be ejected from any land held by him as a tenant except on one or more ^{of the . by} grounds mentioned in clauses ' A ' to ' D ' in the Act. Since these tenants cannot be ejected unless they violate any of the conditions enumerated in the clauses mentioned above, they would be entitled to a substantial amount of compensation for the land acquired, which is under their possession at the moment. They would nearly have the same privileges as the occupancy tenants. I would, therefore, give them the benefit of Rs. 0.81 nP in a rupee as compensation of the land to be taken from their possession. In case of dispute the amount of compensation due to the owners will be kept in deposit till they come to an amicable settlement or the dispute is decided by the competent court. In respect of the land mortgage with possession the amount of compensation due will go towards the amount of debt on the land and the balance, if any, will go to the owner unless otherwise agreed upon.

10. LAND REVENUE REDUCTION.

Khalsa amount of reduction from the land revenue due on account of the land acquired works out to, Rs. 195.15 nP. There will be a reduction of Rs. 195.15 nP from the Khalsa Rent Roll of the village with effect from the date of taking over possession.

11. The land aforesaid, will vest absolutely in the Government, free from all encumbrances, from the date of possession.

12. Subject to the above remarks the award stands as follows:-

1.	Price of land	Rs. 16,45,505.00 nP
2.	15% for compulsory acquisition	Rs. 2,46,825.75 nP
3.	Compensation for trees.	Rs. 2,952.00 nP
4.	Compensation for structures.	Rs. 2,950.00 nP
5.	Compensation for wells	Rs. 8,800.00 nP

Total :-

Rs. 19,07,032.75 nP

M.L. Mongia
(M.L. Mongia) 13.10.61,
Land Acquisition Collector,
Delhi.

Forwarded to the Collector, Delhi for
favour of information please.

M.L. Mongia
(M.L. Mongia) 13.10.61.
Land Acquisition Collector,
Delhi.

Recd. Filed. M.L. Mongia
COLLECTOR, DELHI.

16.10.61