

Award No. _____

1953

Name of Village _____ Naraina.

Nature of Acquisition _____ Permanent.

Purpose of Acquisition _____ Planned Development of Delhi.

In pursuance of Delhi Administration notification No.F.1 (III)/59-LSG dated 13th November 1959 issued under the provision of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act 1894, area measuring 4070 acres situated in various villages around Delhi was notified for acquisition for the Planned Development of Delhi. Naraina village along with its abadi was one of above said villages. Bighas of land situated in this village was further notified for acquisition u/s 6 of the said act vide notification No.F.4 I)/62-LGH dated 5th Sept. 1963. Wide publicity was given to these notifications and objections recorded u/s 5(a) of the Land Acquisition Act 1894 have been sent along with the report to the concerned authority. Notices u/s 9 and 10 of the above act were issued to the persons interested to file their claims and the claims will be discussed at the proper place.

MASUREMENT:

Total area under acquisition given in the notification is 47 bighas, ~~Total~~ was verified by the field staff for also from the record. It also comes to 47 big. Field No. 357/2 measuring 4 bighas 11 bis has been notified with this number was firstly notified u/s 6 vide notification No. 1829 of 25th July, 1963 and in award No. 1829 of village it has not been acquired because of a writ. It will be through Supplementary award after the writ has been disposed. This field number has been excluded from the present scheme. Thus total area under acquisition in the present scheme is 42 bighas 09 bis. ^{There is a Dharan shala on} 04 bis in field No. 2114/1672. However, in revenue record there is no entry about Dharan shala.

REMARKS:

Details of land ownership, tenancy, crops and soil are as under:-

XXXXXXXXXXXXXX of

S.No.	Name of owner	Name of Occupants.	Kh.Nos	Area	Kind of sc big.bis.
1.	Dewan S/o Ghashi 1/2 share. Ram Chander s/o Kundan 1/4 share Sh.Maha Ram s/o Kindan 1/4 share	Self occupants	1180/2	2-15	G.M.Make
	- do-	Dewan s/o Ganshi 2/3 share. Maha Ram s/o Kundan 1/3 shares. Smt.Co-sharers Vender Smt. Kailash Wati w/o Bhag Mal Vendee self occu- pant Vendee.	1180/1/1	0-19	G.M.1/1
					Total 3-14 G.M.Make
2.	Munshi s/o Mere 155/1260 share Roop Ram s/o Mare 95/1260 share- Narain Pal s/o Mare 57/1260 share. On Parkash s/o Beg Ram 158/1260 share. Bhure s/o Mare 315/1260 shares Munshi s/o Mare Vender Suraj Mal s/o Shri Ram Vendee 160/1260 share. Roop Ram s/o Mare Vendor Mehtar Chand s/o Badlu Vendee 120/1260 share. Manohar Lal s/o Sangh Ram 100/1260 share. Narain Pal s/o Beg Ram Vendor, Bansi Ram s/o Baldev Vendee 100/1260 shre	Self cultivation.	1854/1784	3--3	Rosli.
3.	Banwari Lal s/o Rati Ram 1/4 shares. Birmah Nand s/o Rai Ram 1/4 share. Sheo Lal s/o Rati Ram 1/4 share. Jit Ram s/o Rati Ram 1/4 shares.	Self cultivation.	1855/1784	3--2	Rosli.
4.	Nathu Singh s/o Ram Baksh 1/4 share. Yad Ram s/o Ram Bakash 1/4 shares. Roop Ram s/o Net Ram 1/4 shares. Ram Chander s/o Net Ram 1/4 share.	Self cultivation.	1782 1783	2--8 5-13	Rosli Rosli
					Total 8-01 Rosli
5.	Het Ram s/o Raja Ram Alias Laji Ram 1/6 shares. Ganga Ram s/o Raja Ram alias Laji Ram of Tilak Ram share 1/6 share. Ram Sarup s/o Tilak Ram 1/12 share Bhagwan Sarup s/o Tilak Ram 1/12 share. Narain Singh s/o Tilak Ram 1/12 share.	Self cultivation.	1785 & in Kh.No.1179/ 2/4/1 2/1 in cultivation, 1179/ 2/1	2-15 2-10	

Shakti S/o Tilak 1 share
 Bhagnal s/o Bihari
 1/9 share.
 Rampat s/o Behat Ram
 1/36 share.
 Bishamber Dayal s/o
 Bahat Ram 1/36 share
 Bidhi Singh s/o Bohat
 Ram 1/36 share. *Mukhi chh s/o Bahat Ram*
 Prem Singh s/o *1/36 share*
 Bai Ram.. 1/9 share.

Total 4-5

Rosli (2.10) B. Qadim (1-15)

6. Ram Dass s/o
 Baldev 1/4 share
 Sunder Lal s/o
 Baldev 1/4 share
 Banshi Ra s/o
 Baldev 1/4 share
 Lal Singh s/o
 Baldev 1/4 share.

Self cultivation.

1779

0-09

Rosli

7. Chatru s/o Rahim
 Baksh 1/8 share.
 Chhatu s/o Rahim
 Baksh 1/8 share.
 Kure s/p Sunehera
 3/10 share.

In possession
 Chander Mal,
 Kundan Lal
 so-shaeres
 in equal shares

1756

0-04

G.M. Makan
 Note: on spot
 the land under
 acquisition is
 lying vacant. How
 ever in the Kh
 Girdhawari the
 Class of land
 whole number is
 1 bis. 9 bis is
 entered 118/11/11

Mool s/o Baktawar
 1/15 share.
 Chander Mal s/o
 hasi Ram 1/15 share
 rjan Singh s/o
 aneshi 1/15 share
 Chhu Singh s/o
 n Bakash 1/16 share
 op Ram s/o Net Ram
 1/16 share. Ram
 ander s/o Net Ram
 1/16 share. *Bad Ram s/o Ram Balen*
1/16 share

Custodian c/o
 Debi Sahahi,
 Bhagwana ss/o
 Mukh Ram
 N.O.Ts.

1753

1-04

Rosli

Total 1-08

Rosli (1-4) G.M. Makan (0-4)

8. Nath Singh s/o
 Ram Baksh
 73/944 share.
 Bad Ram s/o Ram
 Bakash 7/944 share
 Roop Ram s/o Net Ram
 73/944 share
 Ram Chander s/o
 Net Ram 73/944 share.
 Ram Parshad s/o
 Bhagwan Dass 73/1416 share
 Banwari Lal s/o Bhagwan
 Dass 73/1416 share.
 Dharam Singh s/o Ganga
 Sahai 73/708 share.
 Bihal Singh s/o Baldev
 73/2832 share.
 Raghu Nath Singh s/o
 Baldev 73/2832 share.
 Chet Ram s/o Baldev
 73/2832 share.

Self Possession
Net Ram
20 shares

1768/2

2-13

Rosli

9. Samlat Patti Syad ^{15th Shan} Self cultivation 1780
Hasab Rosad Rakba Nathu Singh, Yad 1781 1-12
Khewat 1908-09 Ram in equal shares. 1-06
Rosli
Rosli

1175/2/20-11 ✓ G.M. Resta.

Total 3-9
Rosli (2-18) G.M.Rasta(0-11)

- Cont. 2

11. Dharam Singh alias Self occupa-	2114/16 72/1	3-12	B. Qadim
Dharam Bir s/o Bihukh tion.	2114/1672/2	0-04	B. Qadim
1/2 share.			
Maha Singh s/o Bakhtawa			
1/4 share. Yad Ram			
s/o Bakhtawar 1/4 share.			
	Total	3-16	

12 Dewan s/o Ramji	Self cultiva-	1679	4-0	B. Qadim
as 1/24 share.	tion.	$\frac{2}{2}$		
Murdial s/o Ramji		$\frac{2}{1}$		
Lal 1/24 share.				
Manglu Ram s/o				
Kamla 1/24 share.				
Basanti d/o Kamla				
1/24 share.				
Hinat s/o Chaman				
1/24 share.				
Alia wd/o Nand Ram				
1/24 share.				
Mohinder Partap s/o				
Thakar 1/6 share.				
Lal Chand s/o Bura				
Ram 1/6 share.				
Hari Singh s/o Ganga				
Sahai 1/36 share.				
Rattan Singh s/o				
Ganga Sahai 1/36 share.				
Budh Singh s/o Ganga				
Sahai 1/36 share.				
Waim Singh s/p Ishar Dass				
1/48 share. Smt. Parkash				
D/o Ishar Dass 1/48 share.				
Smt. Roshni D/o Ishar				
Dass 1/48 share. Smt.				
Bisho wd/o Ishar Dass				
1/48 share. Bhagwan				
Singh s/o Teka 1/36 share.				
Partap Singh s/o Teka				
1/36 share. Dayo Singh				
s/o Teka 1/36 share.				
Rai Singh s/o Jodhoo 1/36				
share. Nahan Singh s/o				
Jhodoo 1/36 share.				
Bhanwan Singh s/o				
Jhodoo 1/36 share.				

13. Banam Pyao Shivala	Jagan Nath s/o	1727	0-07	Rosli
Marain ji under manag-	Ram Saran Jagdish			
ment of Ram Chander	parsad s/o Badri			
s/o Data Ram	Parsad in equal			
	share in 1/4 share.			
	Smt. Birman Devi			
	wd/o Nelsi. Smt.			
	Sudhi wd/o Balmukand			
	in equal share in			
	1/4 share. Udhey Bhan,			
	Udhey Jarawa ss/o			
	Jag Lal in equal			
	share in 1/16 share.			
	Harbans Lal s/o			
	Ram Parshad 1/16			
	share.			
	Smt. Dharamo wd/o			
	Pirbhu Dayal 1/8			
	share.			
	Smt. Bhagwati d/o			
	Din Dayal 1/16 share.			

Yad Ram, Bhagwan
Dass Rameshewar
Dayal ss/o Dharam
Singh in equal share
in 1/16 share.
Smt. Kishan Devi,
Devki ds/o Chandu
Bhan in equal share
in 1/8 share.
N.O. Ts Billa Lagan
Banju Dehli

14. Samlat Thok Taki Self occupants. 1754

0-05 G.M. Chah
Gair Jari.

Ram Rattan Ba-Ista-
snaya Smt. Shib
ss/o Gopal 1/2
e. Kishan Singh,
Bhagwan Dass, Beg
Ram, Chander Singh
Banwari Lal, Ram Kishan
ss/o Mir Singh in
equal shares in 1/2
share, Hazah Rasad
Rakha Khewat 1908-9-

15. Samlat Thok Taki Self occupants

1699/1

1-10 G.M. Johar.

Illa-i-Bakash
Ram Rattan, Hasab
Pasad Rakha Khewat
1908-09.

Classification of land as per revenue record at
the time of notification u/s 4 is as under:-

Rosli	big. bis.
	24---07
B. Qadim	9---16
G. Mumkin	8---06

Total 42---09

MS AND EVIDENCE:

In response to the notices u/s 9 and 10 the following
claims have been received. Details are as under: -

No.	Name of claimant.	Enter details of claims.
	Sh. Dharam Singh alias Dharabir s/o Dilsukh Maha Singh, Yad Ram ss/o Bakhtawar.	They claimed compensation @ Rs. 30/- per sq. yd and Rs. 5000/- each as expenses incidental to the change of residence and place of Business. They produced a copy of sale deed dated 3.8.1959.
	S/Sh. Banwari Lal, Birmah Nand, Sheo Lal, Jit Ram ss/o Rati Ram.	They claimed compensation @ Rs. 30/- per sq. yd. They have not produced any evidence in support of their claim
	Sh. Nathu Singh, Yad Ram ss/o Ram Bakash Roop Ram, Ran Chander ss/o Net Ram.	Have claimed compensation @ Rs. 30/- per sq. yd. They claimed full com- pensation for field No. 1780 & 1781. These filed Nos are of samlat land and the claimants are co-owners But the occupants are not claimants

and 1756 their share is 1/3 and not 1/4 as mentioned in the notice. According to record they are the owners of 1/4 share. They have claimed Rs. 3000/- for pucca boundary wall about 6 feet high in field No. 1783. The boundary wall appears to have been erected in 1962. Hence no compensation has been awarded. The applicants can remove the bricks. They have not produced any proof support of their claim.

S/Shri Ram Chand s/o Prithi, Lal Chand s/o Sis Ram, Rama Nand Dal Chand Amar Singh, s/o Sis Ram, Dori Sahai s/o Ram Dass Partap Singh s/o Ram Dass, Sadhi Singh, Dhari Ram s/o Kishan Lal Sukh Dev Singh s/o Sham Singh Sham Singh, Balwant Palthi ss/o Sukh Dev. Har Nand s/o Niader Ishar s/o Sukh Dev. Singh Khazan Sant Lal s/o Ghasita- Maria Sunder ss/o Bhoog. Ram Sarup s/o Dhari Ram.

They claimed compensation @ Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. But they have not produced any proof in support of their claim.

5. Sh. Gurdial s/o Ramji Lal.

He has claimed compensation @ Rs. 50/- per sq. yd and also 15% solatium. He has not produced any proof in support of his claim.

6. S/Shri Ram Parshad, Banwari Lal ss/o Bhagwan Dass, Dhram Singh s/o Ganga Sahai Nihal Singh, Raghu Nath Singh, Jit Ram, Bhanwan Singh ss/i Baldev Singh.

They has claimed compensation @ Rs. 30/- per sq. yd in respect of field Nos. 1753, 1756 and 1768/2. They have claimed Rs. 2500/- for pucca boundary wall about 6 feet high in field No. 1768/2. As per record in field Nos. 1753 and 1756 their name do not appear as co-owners.

Hence amount of compensation on these numbers will be kept in di. As regards boundary wall at present there is no boundary wall at site. Have filed no proof.

7. S/Shri Bhagwan Dass s/o Baldev Singh. Ram Chander s/o Roshan Lal.

Have claimed compensation @ Rs. 40/- per sq. yd but they have not produced any proof in support of their claim.

8. Sh. Mehar Chand Sharma s/o Baldeo Ram.

Has claimed compensation @ Rs. 40/- per sq. yd in respect of his field No. 1854/1784 but has not produced any proof in support of his claim.

9. S/Shri Banwari Lal Sobha Ram ss/o ~~Banwari~~ Ram Vidhey Bhushan s/o Jai Narain through Banwari Lal.

Has claimed compensation @ Rs. 40/- per sq. yd. for 460 sq. yd. filed No. 1854/1784 but they have not produced my proof in support of their claim and title. Their names do not exist in revenue record of village. This field No. The compensation land will be kept dis.

CLAI

cla

S.

1.

2.

3.

[Handwritten signature]

10. S/Shri Mango s/o Harved Ram
Ram Kishan Ram Parshad Daya
Chand ss/o Ganga Sahai Ban-
wari Lal, Raghu nandan ss/o
Munshi, Mohinder Singh s/o
Phul Singh, Satguru Saran
Santgaru Saran ss/o Nathu
Surinder Kumar s/o Nathi
Through Sh. R.S.Wazir Chand
Advocate.

Have claimed compensation @ Rs. 30/-
per sq. yd in respect of their sha-
in field No. 1671/2. They have also
claimed Rs. 190 00/- for boundary wall.
They did not produced any proff in
support of the ir claim. There is no
boundary wall in field No. 1671/2 at
site. Hence no compensation has been
assessed.

. Achraj Singh for M/s Kiran
inting and Dying works.

He has requested to keep the amount
of compensation due to Sh. Kishan La-
Ram Sarup ss/o Dhani Ram, Since
amount is recoverable from them as
per orders of the A.D.J.Delhi
Compensation due to these persons
will be kept in dispute.

12. S/Shri Debi Sahai, Bhagwana
s/o Mukh Ram.

Has claimed compensation in @ Rs. 50/-
per sq. yd. for land Rs. 15000/- for
houses and Rs. 200/- for trees and Rs.
800/- for ha nd pump and Rs. 5000/-
for boundary wall. They are non-occu-
pany tenants in field No. 1753 and T
have got no share in field No. 1754.
They have not produced any proof in
support of their claim.

13. Sh. Mohinder Partap s/o Takan
Dass. Lal Chand s/o Bhura Ram

Have claimed compensation @ Rs. 6/- per
sq. yd in respect of field No. 1679
measuring 4 big. They stated that who
number was in their ownership and non-
else was co-sharers in it. They have
not produced any proof in support of
their claim. As per revenue record
They have got 1/6 share each. The amo-
of compensation will remain in dispute
as per dated 10-9-65.

14. S/Shri Ram Sarup, Bhagwan Sarup
Shanti Sarup ss/o Tilak Ram
Narain Singh s/o Tilak Ram.

They claimed compensation @ Rs. 30/-
sq. yd in respect of field No. 1179 a
Rs. 4000/- for four wall. They have no
produced any proof in support of their
claim. They have further stated in
their claim that they were the sole
owner of filed No. 1179 and they had
no share in field No. 1785. In the
revenue record the claimants are co-
sharers in both the field Nos. Compen-
sation will be kept in dispute of bot
the field numbers.

Sh. Prem Singh s/o Jai Ram.

He has claimed compensation @ Rs. 30/-
per sq. yd in respect of field No. 1785
He has not produce any proof in suppo-
of his claim. He further stated that
in private partition field No. 1785
fell to his share and field No. 1179
to the share o f other co-owners. As
per revenue record claimant is the
co-owne r in both the field number

Contd.....9

The amount will be kept in dispute. He further claims that in field No. 1785 there was a running floor Mill for twenty years and requested that it should not be acquired. He has also stated that he filed writ in the Hon'ble High Court against its acquisition. Although the floor Mill is situated in this field No. 1 the area under floor Mill is not being acquired through present notification.

17. S/Shri Harbans Lal, Jagan Nath Jagdish Parsad, Udhey Bhan, Bhagwan Dass Yad Ram, Rameshwar Dayal, Smt. Jharia Devki Devi, Kishan Devi.

They have claimed compensation @ Rs. 35/- to 40/- per sq. yd in respect of field No. 1727. They stated that they were the owners of the land. They have not produced any proof in support of their claim and title. As per revenue record they are entered as non-occupancy tenants (Bills Lagan Bawaja Dahi) The land belongs to the trust Shivala Nariangi. In view of this the amount of compensation will be kept in dispute.

18. S/Shri Munshi, Roop Ram, Bhim Singh s/o Mare Narainpal, Om Parkash Anar Singh s/o Beg Ram.

Have claimed compensation @ Rs. 30/- per sq. yd in respect of field No. 1854/1784 But they have not produced any proof in support of their claim.

19. Sh. Khazan Singh s/o Murli.

He has stated that he purchased this piece of land in Kh.No. 1679 from Shri Mohinder Partap Gupta for residential purposes and constructed pucca rooms and four walls and requested for release of the plot. He has not produced any proof in support of his claim. His name does not appear in the revenue record. According to position at site the rooms portion in which he and his tenants are residing is not being acquired through present notification.

20. Sh. Banwar Lal s/o Rati Ram

He has requested only for release of land in respect of Kh.No. 1855/1784, but not claimed any compensation.

21. Sh. Sheo Lal s/o Tokha Ram

He has claimed compensation Rs. 2000/- for structures on land in field No. 1180. He further stated that land belonged to Smt. Kailash vati and did not claim for it. He himself has stated that the construction was made about two years before i.e. the date of notification u/s 4. Hence he is not entitled to any compensation. However he can remove the material

Smt. Kailash Vati.

Has claimed compensation @ Rs. 40/- per sq. yd in respect of her plot situated in field No. 1180. She also claimed compensation for structures on the land. She produced an unattested copy of sale deed dated 23.8.60.

- Manohar Lal s/o Sangh Ram Has claimed compensation @Rs. 30/- per sq. yds in respect of his plot measuring 250 sq. yds. out of filed No.1854/1784 and also Rs. 3000/- for boundary wall. He has produced attested copy of sale deed dated 23.8.60 through which he purchased the plot for Rs. 1500/-
- Shri Ram Dass Sunder Lal, Ghasi Ram, Lal Singh ss/o Baldev. They have claimed compensation @Rs.30/- per sq.yds and Rs.1000/-for boundary wall along with cattle shed. They have not produced any proof in support of their claims.
- Shri Suraj Mal s/o Sri Ram. Has claimed compensation @ Rs.30/- per sq. yds. in respect of his plot measuring 400 sq.yds out of field No.1854/17847. He has also claimed Rs. 5000/- for construction. He has produced copy of sale deed dated 28.5.62 in respect of his own plot.
26. S/Shri Kundan Lal s/o Bakhtawar Chander Mal s/o Ghasi Arjan Singh ss/o Gaenshi. Claimed compensation @ Rs.20/- per sq.yd. Ram in respect of 4 big. of field No.1756 and other samlat land and Rs.5000/- for expenses incidental to the change of residence and place of business. They have not produced any proof in support of their claim. They have also objected that field No.1756 did not fall within the acquisition and that it should not be acquired. According to site this area falls within the scheme.
27. Sh.Banshi Ram s/o Baldev. Has claimed compensation @ Rs.30/- per sq.yds for plot measuring 250 sq. yds. out of field No.1784. He has also claimed Rs.4000/- for structures. He has produced copy of sale deed dated 25.8.60.
- 28.Sh.Khazan Singh and others They have claimed compensation @ Rs.20/- per sq.yds in respect of Samlat Land. They have not produced any proof in support of their claim.

MARKET VALUE :

41 bighas 14 biswas are under acquisition in the present scheme.

For calculating the compensation to be awarded the conditions mentioned in section 23(1) of the Land Acquisition Act.1894 are to be taken into account. Other relevant factors in this behalf would be the sale transactions in the village during the five years preceeding the date of the notification under section 4 which in this case is 13.11.59 nearness of the land under acquisition to the recent sale transactions, its situation, the use to which it is put, its potential value rise and fall of demand of the land in the market and the compensation paid for the land acquired previously in the village. Also ~~take~~ the claims of the interested persons here to be kept in view, while doing so. This assumption would be inevitable but these would have to rest on

Satatement of average sale price for the 5 years preceding

date of notification under section 4 is as under:-

S.No.	Year	Area Sold Big. Bis	Amount of consideration Rs. nP	Average Rs.
1.	1954-55	27-01	144902-81	5356--80
2.	1955-56	29-10	68834-37	2333-20
3.	1956-57	67-13	173893-62	2570-04
4.	1957-58	95-15 $\frac{1}{2}$	175672-69	1833-70
5.	1958-59	42-19 $\frac{1}{2}$	170445-50	3963-85
Total		262-19 $\frac{1}{2}$	733748-99	

The average sale price works out to Rs. 2789-99P per bi above table reveals decrease in 1955-56+ 1957-58 and 1958-5 -inst 1954-55. In 1958-59 the average per bigha was Rs. 3963- and in 1954-55 was Rs. 5356-80 and the decrease is Rs. 1392-95

A year wise study of sales during these five years to light the reasons for decrease in prices.

1954-55

During the year 27 transactions took place. Out relate to big. transactions and the remaining to smal small plots are about 4 to 6 furlongs from the land unde where as two transactions of big. area is only one furl the land under acquisition. There big. transactions relat 10 bis and 2 big. 10 bis sold out of Kh.No. 965 for Rs. Rs. 3700/- ~~Rs. 3700/-~~ on 22.5.55 and 30.6.55 respect per bigha works out to Rs. 1200/- and Rs. 1480/- respect

The big transactions could be considered for a price.

1955-56

During the year 23 transactions were took place 7 relate to big transactions and the remaining to sma small plots are about 4 to 5 furlongs from the land where as one transaction of big area is only 20 under acquisition. This big transaction relate out of Kh.No. 1777 for Rs. 4000/- on 17.11.55 and t works out to Rs. 1702/-

The big transaction could be considered for price.

1956-57

In all 29 transactions took place during this year out of the 29 relate to big plots and the remaining to small plots. The one big plot is under acquisition in the present scheme. It measures 10 big bis sold on 27.4.57 for Rs.10,000/- and the price per bigha was to be 913-20P. In the other big transactions the price per bigha works out to Rs. 3049/-, Rs.2750/-, Rs. 2750/-, Rs. 2550/- Rs.2550/- respectively of Kh.Nos ,117,118,(12bigg.3bis) Kh.No.119,123,124,125 (10big 2 bis) 119,123,124,126(10 big.2bis) Kh.Nos 299,300,331(4 big 14 bis) and Kh.No.299,300,331(4 big.14Bis). The small transactions are about a mile from the land under acquisition and deserve no attention.

1957-58

During the year 71 transactions took place out of it only 2 transactions are worthy of consideration because other transactions are over 5 furlongs from the land under acquisition. Of the two transactions one is under acquisition and the other is about 0.5 furlong.

1 big 16 bis which is under acquisition of Kh.No.1180/1 sold on 13.11.57 for Rs.5400/- and price per bigha comes to Rs. 337.50 and 2 big 10bis of khasra No.965 was sold on 27.10.58 for Rs.10400/- and price per bigha comes to Rs.4100/- These transactions could be kept in view while determining the market price-

1958-59

27 transactions took place in this year. Only 2 transactions could help in arriving at a ^{Just} first market price, because they are over 4 furlongs. The two transactions are of 0.10 bis in Kh.No.989 and 0.16 bis in Kh.No.1677 sold on 31.3.59 and 4.6.59 for a consideration of Rs. 2500/- and Rs.4000/- respectively. The price per bigha is Rs. 5000/- and Rs. 5000/- respectively.

The said Kh.Nos are in the un-approved Abadi of village Na which has ^{spring} sprung up towards south of the original Abadi in recent year. It is a natural phenomena that land within the abadi, city, or town will be much more valuable.

er price than land away from it. Hence they do not render assistance in calculating market price.

The above discussion relating to during the preceding years brings out that:-

Plots in and around unapproved colonies have fetched higher prices.

Small plots have fetched more price than big plots.

Plots sold in the land under acquisition fetched lesser price.

- 4) Trend of prices is unsteady.
- 5) Highest price in 1957-58 is Rs. 4100/- for a plot measuring 2 bigha 10 bis which is one furlong from the land under acquisition.
- 6) Highest price in 1958-59 is Rs. 5000/- for a plot measuring 0-16 bis in the un-approved abadi of village Naraina.
- 7) The highest price in 1957-58 is Rs. 3000/- for a plot measuring 1 big. 16 bis which is under acquisition in the present scheme.

The claimants have filed sale deeds which have been executed after the date of notification u/s 4 i.e. 13.11.59. Hence consideration cannot be given to these while calculating market price. The highest price got in 1958-59 is no doubt Rs. 5000/- but this also cannot be taken into account because the plot measures only 16 bis. and is situated in an unapproved colony where the prices tend to be high and it does not reflect a true picture of the market value. Moreover the prices have not maintained a steady trend. In 1957-58, 1 big. 16 bis of land was sold for Rs. 5400/- and the price per bigha comes to Rs. 3000/- per bigha. This field is almost on the main Road connecting the Najaf Garh Road and can serve as a guide for determining the market price value. Though the transactions in this case took place about two years earlier than the notification u/s 4 of the L.A. Act in the present case but its location has helped in yielding a good price. Considering the position as a whole I feel that Rs. 3000/- would be reasonable market value in this case and I award the same. It may be mentioned here that compensation in the awards announced in this village previously has been determined soil wise. Only references in award No. 1209 have been decided and compensation enhanced from Rs. 2500/- to Rs. 4000/- per bigha for Chahi land. This land is situated at a considerable distance from the land now being acquired. It would also not be out of place to mention here that compensation ranging between 1000 to 2000/- depending on the quality of the land was allowed for some fields acquired under award No. 1380 which adjoin the land now being acquired. The latter land, however, is better situated being near Najaf Garh Abadi and the road connecting the main N

Taking into account various factors like the situation area involved, the undeveloped nature of land and the prices of the land sold earlier, I consider Rs. 3200/- per bigha kham would be just and fair market price and award the same.

TREES WELLS AND STRUCTURES:

There are wells trees and structures in the land to be acquired under the present scheme. The details and compensation assessed are as under:-

S.No.	Kh.No.	Name of owner	Details of well	Compensation
1.	1754	Samlat Thok Tota	Pucca well built with lime and stone but not in good working condition.	Rs.600/-

TREES:

S.No.	Kh.No.	Details of trees	Weight in Wtl.	Rate compensation.
1.	1179/2 min	3 Keekar	3 Qtl. @ 3/-	Rs. 9/-
		1 Neem	1 " @ 3/-	Rs. 3/-
2.	1180 min	2 Keekar	6 " @ 3/-	Rs. 18/-
		17 Neem(Small)	2 " @ 3/-	Rs. 6/-
		2 Neem	3 " @ 3/-	Rs. 9/-
3.	2114/1672	1 Peepa	22 " @ 3/-	Rs. 66/-
		1 Pillkhan	2 " @ 3/-	Rs. 6/-
		2 Neem	4 " @ 3/-	Rs. 12/-
		2 Seesam	3 " @ 3/-	Rs. 9/-
4.	1699/1	1 Neem	8 1/2 " @ 3/-	Rs. 25/-
		1 Peepal	12 " @ 3/-	Rs. 36/-

Contd.....15

5. ✓	1754	1 Keekar	2 Qtl.	@ 3/- Rs. 6/-
6.	1779	1 Keekar	1/2 "	@ 3/- Rs. 2/-
7.	1780	2 Keekar	1/2 "	@ 3/- Rs. 2/-
8.	1781 ✓	1 Neem	1 "	@ 3/- Rs. 3/-
9.	1782	3 Neem	16 "	@ 3/- Rs. 48/-
10.	1783 ✓	1 Keekar	1/2 "	@ 3/- Rs. 2/-
11.	1784	1 Keekar	1 "	@ 3/- Rs. 3/-
12.	1785 min	4 Sahtut	2 "	@ 3/- Rs. 6/-

STRUCTURES:

S.No.	Kh. No.	Particular of the structure.	Name of occupant	Remarks.
1.	1179/2	A wall made of bricks and mud in depliated condition. Length 421 feet. Height 3 feet. A wall made of bricks and mud in depliated condition length 64 ft. height 3 feet.	Owners in The well self occu-pation.	The well appears to have been constructed after notification u/s 4 i.e. 13.11.59
2.	1179/2	A tea stall (Jhuggi) made of bricks in depliated condition measurement north nil. South 33 ft. east 33 feet and west 33 feet height 4 feet on roof is an old sirki.	Sh. Sis Ram s/o Naider r/o W. Naraina.	The tea stall appears to have been constructed after notification u/s 4.
3.	1180min	A boundary wall made of bricks and plastered measurement. North (68ft) South East West Height -- 132ft. 108ft. -- 3 ft. 5ft. 5 ft. Three tin roofed rooms made of bricks and mud with the above boundary wall measurement of the room Length Breadth Height Door 1st Room 12 ft. 11 ft. 7 ft. 6x3ft 2nd " 12 ft. 11 ft. 7 ft. 6x3 " 3rd " 12 ft. 11 ft. 7 ft. 6x3 "	Owners in Plastered self occu-in	The wall is not east towards. The boundary wall and tin sheds constructed after the notification u/s 4 i.e. 13.11.59- This fact is clear from letter No. 10676/LAB/Rev, dated 14th August 1963 from Sh. Balbir Singh then I.A.C. to D.H.C.
4.	1180min	(a) One gargage made of bricks and mud 3 gerders of 16 feet and one inch thick and two gardens of 16 feet length and 4 inch thick have been used to support the 5 corrugated sheets and cements 10x2' and 12 sheets of 10x3' feet used in the roof measurement.	Owners.	Both the garges have been constructed after notification u/s 4 i.e. 13.11.59

of the garge is as under
Length 25 feet. width 15 ft.
Height 7½ ft. the garge is
without door and the front
wall is also not erected.
(b) One garage made of bricks
mud without any door and
front wall. In the roof 15
corrigated cement sheet 10x3
feet and 7 wooden sharps 16
feets long have been used
in the garge is 25 feet in
length and 15 feet width. and
7½ feet height.

This fact is clear
from letter No.10676/
LAB/Rev dated 14th
August 1963 of Sh.
Balbir Singh then L.A.C.
D.H.C. Vikas Bhawan.

5. 1671/2 (a) A wall made bricks and Owners in The boundary wall
mud in depliated condition self occu- ~~lated~~ has been
North South East West. pation. constructed after
Height -- -- 225ft. 225 ft. notification u/s
4 i.e. 13.11.59
(b) Three Jhuggies made of One Jhuggi The Jhuggies
bricks and mud in deplica-is in the are of purely
ted condition of 17 feet occupation of temporary
in length 10 feet width and owners and nature and no
3½ in height of each and the two Jhuggies compensation
roof of each garge covered with arex in the is admisible
old chappar. occupation
of Sh. Abdul
Majid s/o
Ram Tulla.

6. 2114/1672 A wall made of bricks Sh.Mange. The boundary wall and
and stone tin shed have been
east west North South
114ft. 40 ft.- 180 ft.
Height 3 ftt. 3 ft. -- 3 feet. constructed after the
date of notification
u/s 4 i.e. 13.11.59
A tin shed made of stone
of 32 feets in length 10
feet widths and 7 feet
height filited with 2 doors
of 6'x3½' feets each and
four windows of 4x3'feet
of each. The roof of tin
shed is partly covered with
4 carrigated sheets and
rest with old sirki.

7. 1679min A tin shed made of Sh. Khazan The tin shed and
bricks of 12 feet in Singh s/o boundary wall have
length 9 feet width & Murali. been constructed after
6 feets in height covered notification u/s 4
by 4 corrigates sheet i.e. 13.11.59.
of 10x3'feets without
any door.
Boundary wall made of Boundary wall in
bricks and stone fixed the sourthern side
in mud of 60 feets in between the structure
north and 72 feet in is owned by Khazan Singh
south and 28 feet in west. s/o Murli and Des
Raj s/o Manohar
L al jointly and
rest wall is in the
occupation of Khazan Singh Contd....16

Khazan

on the roof is an old sirki and Tirpal. A hand pump is also stand within the permises. Three tin sheds made of bricks and mud of nine feet in length 8 feet width and 6 feet height of each shed fitted with door $5\frac{1}{2} \times 2\frac{1}{2}$ feet of each shed and the roof of the three shaeds covered with 12 corrigated sheeds of $10 \times 2\frac{1}{2}$ feet.

8. 1753

Three rooms made of bricks and stone plastered with cement and lime each of 12 feet. length 10 feet. width of $7\frac{1}{2}$ feet in height fitted with one door each of $6\frac{1}{2} \times 3\frac{1}{2}$ feet. In the roof six rafts of 11 feet each have been used the roof is muddy as above.

Sh. Debi Sahai Bha-x
gwana ss/o
mukh Ram.

The rooms and store appear to have been constructed after the notification u/s 4 i.e. 13. 11. 59

One store made of bricks and cemented on the joints of the bricks of 9 feet length 7 ft. width and $7\frac{1}{2}$ ft. in height fitted with door of $6\frac{1}{2} \times 3\frac{1}{2}$ feet In the roof four wooden rafts of 8 feet length each have been used. roof is plastered with cement and lime from above.

One tin shaed of 8 feet length 8 feet width 7 feet height covered by corrigated sheets each of 10×3 feet and two wooden rafts of 10 feet length each the wall of north and west side are not existance.

S.Sh. Debi Shahai Bha-x
gwana ss/o
Mukh Raj

Boundarywall Tin sheds and room and hand pump appears to have been construction after the notification u/s 4 i.e. 13.11.59

One tin shed of 20 feet length 15 feet width 8 feet height made of bricks and stone and covered with 10 corrigali sheets of 10×3 feet. Two wooden rafts and three ballies each of 12 feet length. The walls of east and south are not on existance.

One room made of bricks in depliated condition of 11 feet length 9 ft. wirth and $7\frac{1}{2}$ ft height fitted with a door of $6\frac{1}{2} \times 4$ feet 10 wooden rafts of 10 feet length each are lying in the room and no roof is in existance. Towards north of Kh.No. 1753 a wall of $27\frac{1}{2}$ feet ~~both made~~ ~~both~~ in length and in the west a wall of $27\frac{1}{2}$ feet both made of bricks and stone fixed in cement and lime are standing.

Contd.....18

Two rooms each of 10 ft. in length 8 ft. width & 6½ ft in height fitted with one door each of 6x3' and one window in each room of 3x2' The walls of the rooms are made of bricks and stone and cemented on the joints. In the roof of each room one wooden raft of 6½x6½ feet and 9 ft. long and 12 rafts of 3x2½' feet and 5 feet in length have been used. The roof is muddy as above.

The rooms appears to have been constructed after the notification u/s 4 i.e. 13.11.59.

One room made of bricks and stone cemented on joints, of 9 feet in length 8 ft. width and 7½ ft. in height fitted with a door of 6x3' feet and window without shutter. In the roof one wooden raft of 9 feet length and 6½ ft. inch and 12 rafts of 3 ft. in length and 3x2½ inch have been used. The roof is muddy as above.

All the rooms appear to have been constructed after the notification u/s 4 i.e. 13.11.59.

One room made of bricks and stone cemented on joints. 12 feet length 8 ft. width and 6½ feet height fitted with door 5½x2½ feet and window without shutter of 3x2 feet. In the roof one wooden raft 9 feet length and two wooden rafts 6 feet length and 10 corrugated sheets of cement of 5x2½ feet.

One room made of stone and lime cemented on joints 11 feet length 8 feet in width and 6½ height fitted with door 5½x 2½ feet and window of 3x2 feet without shutter and Two wooden rafts in 6 feet length and 10 corrugated sheets of cement of 5x2½ feet.

Two rooms made of bricks and stone and plastered with cement and lime of 11 feet length 8 feet width and 6½ feet height fitted with door of 5½ feet x 2½ feet and one window of 3x2 feet without shutter of each room and one wooden raft 9 feet length and 2 wooden rafts 6 feet length and 10 corrugated sheets of cement. of 5x2½ feet of each room.

Four Jhuggies made of bricks and mud of 10 feet length 8 feet width and 4½ feet in height of each Jhuggies fitted with door of 4x2½ feet of each.

Shri Des Raj Jhuggies, tin sheds and s/o Manohar hand pump appear to have been constructed after notification u/s 4 i.e 13-11-59

e A hand pump is also stand with in the permises.

Not

The boundary wall of loose stone 3 ft. height around the field No.1779

Owners

The boundary wall of loose stone appears to have been made after the notification u/s 4 i.e 13.11.59.

Boundary wall made of stone and lime and cement of the falling measuring North South East West.

Owners

The boundary wall appear to have been constructed after notification u/s 4 i.e. 13.11.59

Height 245 ft. -- 240 ft. 188 ft.
3 ft. -- 3 ft. 4 ft.

11. 1784

A boundary wall in depitiated conditon made of bricks and stone with mud it is 60 ft. in North and 60 feet in south and 44 feet in west.

Owners

The boundary wall of room appears to have bee constructed after notifi cation u/s 4 i.l. 13.11. 59-

A room made of bricks and mud length 18 feet width length 18 ft. width 12 ft. Height 11 ft. the walls have been plastered with cement and lime. Tar room is fitted with one door of wood of 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ x4 $\frac{3}{4}$ fet and two windows of 5x3 $\frac{1}{2}$ feet in the window iron bars have been used. In roof tem wooden bars of 12 feets length and tiles have been used. The roof is muddy from above.

Sh. Suraj Mal
s/o Shri Ram

Three roons (Tin shed) each of the following measurement
Length width Height
10 feet. 10 ft. 8 ft.

Sh. Suraj Mal s/o
Sri Ram

The rooms (tin shed) appears to have been constructed after notifi cation u/s 4 i.e. 13.11. 59.

The roons are fitted with door of following measurement.

- a) 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ x 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ feets.
- b) 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ x 4 feet.
- c) 7x4 feet.

In the roof of all the three rooms(tin shed) twelve carrigated sheets of 12x2 $\frac{1}{2}$ feets hace been used. The walls of the sheds are made of bricks and mud. There is awall in front of all the four rooms (tin shed) of the following measurements.

North South East West.
65ft. 65 ft. -- 35 ft.
Height of wall is 3 feet and is made of bricks and mud.

Boundary wall made of bricks and mud cemented on the joints

Contd.....19

of the bricks measurement of the wall is as under.
North South East West.
50 ft. 50ft. 45ft. 45ft.
and height 5½ feet.

Sh. Manohar Lal s/o Sangh Ram. The boundary wall and tin shed appear to have been constructed after notification u/s 4 i.e. 13.11.59

One room made of bricks na dplastered with cement and lime. The room is 18 feet length 10 feet width and 11 feet height fitted with a door of 7x4½ feet and two windows of 4x3 ft. in the roof 12 wooden sharp of 12 feet in length and titles have been used.
One jhuggi made of bricks 12 feet width and 6 feet height for roof and chaper has been used.
A wall in front of the room and jhuggi made of bricks and mud is 57 feet in length and 28 feet in width and 3 feet in height.

Sh. Bandhri s/o Bladev. The room Jhuggi and wall appear to have been constructed after notification u/s 4 e.i. 13.11.59

12. 1785min A boundary wall made of bricks and plastered measurement
North South East West
156ft. 148 ft. 106ft. 106 ft.
Height
5 ft. 3 ft. 5ft. 5 ft.
with in the boundary wall there are tin sheds under which a saw mill installed.

Sh. Prem Singh s/o Jai Ram Boundary wall appear to have been constructed after notification u/s 4 i.e. 13.11.59

M/s Suraj Bros & Company. The tin shed and Saw Mill have been erected in July 1965

WAQF PROPERTIES.

There is no temple, Masque, grave yard or any other religious place in the land under acquisition.

POSSESSION AND INTEREST:

The possession of the land has not so far been taken over. Hence question of interest therefore does not arise.

There are stay orders from the High Court Punjab for field No. 1679. Hence the possession of this field number can not been taken over till the case has been decided.

LAND REVENUE :

Rs. 9-52-p be deducted from the Khalsa Rent Roll from the date of taking over possession.

Contd.....20

APPORTIONMENT:

1. The compensation of land will be paid to the Land Owners according to the latest entries in the revenue record of the village.
2. Compensation of Kh.Nos. 1179, 1679, 1727, 1753, 1754, 1756, 1768, 1780, 1781, 1854/1784 and 1785 will be kept in dispute for persons than the owners have claimed ~~it~~ it.

Compensation relating to land under occupancy tenants and non-occupancy tenants will also be kept in dispute. They have got protection under Urban Areas tenancy Relief Act. 1961. It will be sent to the A.D.J.

4. Compensation due to S/Shri Kishan Lal, Ram Sarup ss/o Dhanu Ram will also be kept in dispute, because Sh. Achraj Singh on behalf of M/s Kiran Printing and Dying works has claimed it on the basis of a decree of the civil court against them.

5. In field No. 1727 S/Shri Ram Saran and others are entered as non-occupancy tenants (Billa- Lagan- Bawaju Dohli).

The land belongs to trust Shivala. Compensation will be kept in dispute.

- 6) Compensation has not been awarded for the structures and Jhugies constructed after the date of notification u/s 4. The owners are at liberty to remove the Malba and Hand pump.

- 7) Against S.Nos. under claims and evidence it has been mentioned that the compensation will remain in dispute. Such compensation will be kept in dispute until the dispute is got renewed.

- 8) The Deputy Housing Commissioner has been requested through Letter No. 3986/67 LAC(P) dated 25th March 1966, the Dharamshala

should be acquired or not. No reply has been received so far. It will be acquired if the Deputy Housing Commissioner desires that it should be acquire through Supplementary Award. The area

covered by Public through fare in Kh. Contd. No. 1175/2/2 has not been assessed because the through fare is the property of the Govt. No. compensation will be paid to any person.

Contd.....21

The summary of the award is summarised as under:-

Compensation for 41 big. 14 bis.
@ Rs. 3200/- per bigha flat rate. ✓

Rs. 1,33,440-00

Compensation for wells

Rs. 600-00

Solignum @ 15%

Rs. 20,106-00

Compensation for trees

Rs. 271-00

Total Rs. 1,54,417-00

(Rupees one laks fifty four thousand four hundred only)

(SHAM KARAN)

LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR (P)
DELHI.

Forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner (District Collector)
for information and filing the award.

(SHAM KARAN)

LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR (P)
DELHI.

seen. filed.

N. Sharma

28.2.67

COLLECTOR DELHI

A. H. Gupta

P/ for part and Subhant
Sighwan A and Wabha
Kunjam

2/3

Award announced today
presence of interested parties

कार्यवाही कब्जा नम्बरान ख० १८५५/१७८५ व १७८१
ग्राम नारायणा दिनांक ३१-०१-२०००

भावदा पत्र श्री. ओ. सी. पाराशर संयुक्त निदेशन
(नया पट्टा) दि० वि० प्राधिकरण पत्र सं० F14(7)69/2 RCL/DDM/80
दिनांक २७/१२/९९ पर आदेश श्रीमान एस० डी० एन०/एल०
एन० सी० (दिल्ली कैंट) व तहसीलदार दिल्ली कैंट दिनांक
०६-०१-२००० के अनुपालन में, निश्चित किये गये समय
के अनुसार जैसा कि श्री. ओ. सी. पाराशर (सं० निदेश) जी० जी० ए०
ने दूर भाष पर श्रद्धा एवं भवन विभाग तथा LAC/SDM
(Delhi Cantt) से समय निश्चित किया था। आज दुर्भाग्यवश
प्रो० श्री. राजेन्द्र कुमार पटवारी नारायणा व श्री. रत्नराय
नाथ व तहसीलदार (दिल्ली कैंट) के साथ नम्बरान खसरा
१८५५/१७८५ व १७८१ का कब्जा देने के लिए ग्राम नारायणा
में मौके पर पहुंचा। श्रद्धा एवं भवन विभाग से श्री
रोहताश कोंगर ना० तह० श्री दीपक सूरि पटवारी D.D.A.
की ओर से श्री राजेन्द्र प्रसाद ना० तह०, श्री विजय-चौधरी
कानूनगो, श्री धीरसिंह पटवारी, श्री दयाराम कानूनगो (L.M.) व
श्री सुभाष चन्द गुप्ता पटवारी (L.M.) हाजिर मिले। श्री राजेन्द्र
चपरासी (L.A.) भी मौके पर मौजूद पाया जैसा कि उसे आदेशित
किया गया था।

उपरोक्त नम्बरान खसरा १७८१ व १८५५/१७८५ में कीर्ण
नम्बरान खसरा अवार्ड सं० १९५३ से अधिग्रहण हुये थे और
दिनांक २५-०१-७३ को कब्जा कार्यवाही के समय नम्बर खसरा
१७८१ में मकानात व न० ख० १८५५/१७८५ में MLD स्टोर होने के
कारण कब्जे से छोड़े गये थे (कब्जा नहीं लिया गया था)।
उपरोक्त नम्बरान खसरा का डिमोलिशन दिनांक १२-११-९९ को
श्री सुखपाल सिंह कानूनगो (L.A.) तथा L.A.B विभाग के ना० तह०
व पटवारी के मौजूदगी में कराया गया था। आज ख० न० १७८१ में
से रक्बा लावा दी ०१ बीघा ०१ बीघा ख० न० १८५५/१७८५ लावा दी
०३ बीघा ०२ लावा दी मौके पर खाली पाया गया कुल रक्बा ५ बीघा
०९ बीघा जिसकी पैमापश शिजरा पर पैमाना रख गये देखकर
उकरया मीठा कन्दाजी पैमापश करके चारों तरफ कुंजा दिखाकर
शुर्जिया खाम लगाकर कब्जा वाकई हासिल करके श्री

रोहतास कोगर बाथर तहसीलदार द्वारा एवं नवन
 विभाग के हकीकत भिजा गया। अथवा कब्जा वाकई
 श्री रोहतास बांग्ला नाल तहसील एवं नवन विभाग को
 दिया गया। इस काम की पुष्टि व पुनर्परीक्षा
 की राफेथ काम चपरासी गैर व देहजा से कनह
 कनका कर कयसे गयो। कारवाही कब्जा के तय
 कोई जमादत देहा तहसील भागी। किल्ला कारवाही
 कब्जा पुनर्परीक्षा व पुनर्परीक्षा है। कब्जा कारवाही की
 एक अतिरिक्त रागकात गैरसे भयक करने टेंड
 तहसीलदार (Dehli Cantt) को भिजवाई जावेगी।

4/11/2000 V.V.

31/1/2000
 Rat (DDA)
 (Cher Singh)
 NT/DCantt
 (RATI RAM)
 NT/DCantt
 31/1/2000
 (Rajendra Prasad)
 31/1/2000

31.1.2000
 (Veg Raj Tyagi D/o L)
 31/1/2000

31/1/2000
 190 DDA
 (Darya Ram)
 31/1/2000
 (Subhash Chand Gupta)
 31/1/2000
 (Rajendra Prasad)
 NT/DCantt

RAKESH Kumar
 (Darya Ram)

31/1
 (DEEPAK SURI)

To be published in Part IV of Delhi Gazette).

Delhi Administration: Delhi.

Notification.

August, 1963.

14(1)/62-L&H. Whereas it appears to the Chief Commissioner of Delhi that land is required to be acquired by Government at the public expense for a purpose, namely, for the planned development of Delhi, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 3 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all effect and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Collector of Delhi is hereby directed to make order for the acquisition of the said land. A plan of the land may be inspected at the office of the Collector of Delhi.

SPECIFICATION.

Total Area.
Big. Bis.

Field Nos. Or Boundaries.

47 - 0

<u>Total Area.</u> <u>Big. Bis.</u>	<u>Field Nos. Or Boundaries.</u>
✓ 1175/2/2 ✓	Big. Bis.
✓ 1179/2 ✓	0 - 11
✓ 1180/part ✓	2 - 10 ✓
✓ 1657/2 ✓	3 - 14 ✓
✓ 1671/2 ✓	4 - 11 ✓
✓ 2114/1672 ✓	2 - 7 ✓
✓ 1727 ✓	3 - 16 ✓
✓ 1753 ✓	0 - 7 ✓
✓ 1754 ✓	1 - 4 ✓
✓ 1756 part ✓	0 - 5 ✓
✓ 1768/2 ✓	0 - 4 ✓
✓ 1779 ✓	2 - 13 ✓
✓ 1780 ✓	0 - 9 ✓
✓ 1781 ✓	1 - 12 ✓
✓ 1782 ✓	1 - 6 ✓
✓ 1783 ✓	3 - 8 ✓
✓ 1784 ✓	5 - 13 ✓
✓ 1785 part ✓	6 - 5 ✓
✓ 1679 part ✓	1 - 15 ✓
✓ 1699 ✓	4 - 0 ✓
	1 - 10 ✓
Total: 47 - 0	

By order,
Sd/-

(Jagmohan)
Deputy Housing Commissioner,
Delhi Administration,
Delhi.

Dated the 5th Sept., 1963.

to the :-
Department (in duplicate)
in Delhi Gazette.

Delhi.

tion, Delhi.