NAME OF VILLAGE:

GARHI PIRAN.

NATURE OF ACQUISITION:

PERM ANENT.

In pursuance of Notification No.F.15(III)/59-LSG dated 13.11.59 published U/s 4 of the land acquisition Act, 1894 it was proposed to acquire 172 Bighas 8 Biswas of land in village Garhi Piran, tehsil and district Delhi for public purpose namely Planned Development of Delhi with public funds. This area comes in the 34070 acres scheme. It was further notified U/s 6 of the Land equisition act vide notification No. F.1(18)/61-L&H dated 27.10.61. Notifes U/s 9(i),(ii),(iii) and 10 issued on 29.1.61 were published in the village on 30.1.62 by which all the interested persons were required to file their claims by 16.2.62. The claims received from the interested persons would be discussed at their proper place.

## ME ASUREMENT & OWNERSHIP:

But in Killa No. 228, 16 Biswas of land is not being acquired as there is one Dharamsala, one well for Piao (FARA-I-AM) and one Shivji Chabutra for worship on the same land. After deducting this 16 Biswas of land, there remains 171 Bighas 12 Biswas. Nobody has raised any objection against this. I, therefore, hold 171 Bighas 12 Biswas under acquisition whose ownership, classification and measurement is given below:-

s.N	o.' Name of the Owner.	Field No			
1.	Khushia s/o Hari Singh	224 225 Total	1-13 3-18 5-11		<u>) •                                     </u>
2.	Nawal Singh, Ram Kishan ss/o Amin in equal shares		4-16 4-16 9-12	Nehri	
3.	Tej Ram, Ram Sarup ss/o Shiv Chand in equal shrs.		4-16 2-04 7-00		
4.	Udey, Jalley ss/o Shiv Chand in equal shares. Tota		1-09 4-18 6-07	Nehri "	

got

S.No	. Name of the Owner	Field N	io. Are	classification.
5.	Sher Singh s/o Shiv Ram1/2 Mukhtaiara s/o Zila Sin1/2	212	4-16 4-16 9-12	Nehri Chahi -do-
6.	Narain Singh s/o Kabul Singh.	<b>2</b> 14 215 Total	4-16 3-18 8-14	Nehri
7.	Darbari s/o Nihal Singh	223	1-12	**
8.	Surta s/o Fateh Singh	208	3-03	11
9.	Om Parkash, Bal Kishan Rajinder ss/oaRattan Sin in equal shares.	231 ngh•232 Total	4-16 0-18 5-14	tf
10.	Brij Lal & Seelak Ram ss/o Mohan Lal in equal shares1/2 Garib Ram s/o Asa	194 199 202 204	<b>4-</b> 00 <b>4-</b> 16 <b>4-</b> 16 <b>4-</b> 16	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
	•••1/2	205 Total	4-16 20 -0	4
11.	Shiv Lal s/o Kali.	200 201 206 207	5-15 4-16 <b>∌</b> 4-11	Nehri
		209 210 217 min 216 222	0-04 4-16 C 1-16 0-18 1-19	Chahi Gm. Well hahi Nehri " Chahi Bag.
	T	otal 217 min 218 219 220 221 Total	32-05	Ramumkin.  Chahi Nehri  Nehri  "" "
12.	Neki Ram s/o Nanha	243 244		Chahi Nehri Chahi
		252 253 254 257 Cot al	0-05 6 4-16 6 4-12 4-12 4-16 2 8-00	m. Well. hahi n
13.	Harphool, Kalluss/o Chuhia in equal shares 2/3 Chander, Surjess/o Shiv Lal in equal shares1/3	197 256 Total		ehri h.Nehri
14.	Harphool, Kallu ss/o Chuhia in equal shares 2/3	195 196 203	0 <b>-</b> 08 4 <b>-</b> 16	ehri #
	Chander, Surje ss/o Shiv Lal in equal shares1/3	255 Total	4-16 C	hah <b>i</b>

S.No.' Name of the Owner' Field No.' Area' Classification.

15. Kallu s/o Chuhia 198 1-12 Nehri

16. Gaon Sabha: 229 1-18 Gm. Khal

## CLAIMS & EVIDENCE:

- Shmt. Kasturi Devi & Mewa Devi filed their separate claims on 16.2.62 regarding field Nos. 208, 217m 218, 219, 220 and 221 claiming Rs 6000.00 per Bigha on the grounds that their land is situated on main Rohtak road a it is Chahi. According to their own admission in the bod of their claims, they had entered into an agreement of regarding this land at the rate of Rs 3500.00 per Bigha which could not mature on account of the publication of notification U/s 4. Besides this, they have claimed Rs 50 for structures i.e. a room and compound wall. They did produce any evidence in support of their claim. The cliis excessive.
- 2. Shmt. Dharam Devi and Iqbal Kaur filed their claim through Shri K.B. Gosian on 16.2.62 regarding fiel Nos. 200, 201, 206, 207, 209, 210, 216, 217, 222 claimin Rs 6000.00 per Bigha as fair market price for their land Besides this they have claimed Rs 15000.00 for structures and Rs 10000.00 for fruit trees. They did not produce an evidence in support of their claim which is excessive.

  3. Shri Khusia filed his claim on 16.2.62 regard
- field Nos. 224 and 225 claiming Rs 7000.00 per Bigha as fair market value for his land. He did not produce any evidence in support of his claim. The claim is excessive.

  Shri Brij Lal, Silak Ram and Garib Ram filed their claim regarding field Nos. 194, 199, 202, 204 and 205 as above.
- 5. Shri Ram Kishan and Nawal Singh filed their claim on 16.2.62 regarding field Nos. 242 and 245 as about

- 6. Neki Ram filed his claim on 16.2.62 regarding field Nos. 243, 244, 252, 253, 2 54 and 257 as above.
- 7. Shri Narain Singh filed his claim on 16.2.62 regarding field Nos. 214 and 215 as above.
- S. Shri Tej Ram and Ram Sarup filed their claim on 16.2.62 regarding field Nos. 213 and 226 as above.
- 9. Shri Udey and Jallay fimled their claim on 16.2.62 regarding field Nos. 227 and 230 as above.
- 10. Shri Mukhtiare filed his claim on 16.2.62 regarding field Nos.211 and 212 as above.
- 11. Shri Surjay, Kallu, Chander, Harphool and Kallus s/o Chuhia fimled their claim on 16.2.62 regarding field Nos. 197, 256, 195, 196, 203, 255 and 198 as above.
- Shri Om Parkash, Rattan Singh, Rasal, Ram Kishan. Ram Sarup, Deep Chand, Khusia, Brij Lal, Jila Singh, Sil Ram made a joint statement before me on 9.3.62 in which they stated that 1 Big. 10 Bis. in village Nangloi Jat was sold for Rs 6750.00 through a registered deed. The land which was sold through this registered deed is situated in village Nangloi Jat. It, therefore, has no bearing on the present case. A copy of registered sale deed No. 797 was produced. 14 Big. 14 Bis. of village Garhi Piran were sold through this registered deed for Rs 42000.00. This value includes the price of the boundary wall. The construction of boundary wall around a field within an area of 14 Big. 18 Bis. would be a very costly affair and consideration money of Rs 42000.00 therefore does not come a true indication on the prices of land. They also produced a copy of Khatoni P amais of 1954-55 of village Nangloi Jat on which the Patwari has made a note about the sale of 5 Bighas for Rs 1375.00 As remarked above, the prices prevalent in village Nangloi Jat cannot have any effect on the price of land in village Garhi Piran. In this joint statement they

dist

have claimed Rs 7500 .00 per Bigha as fair market price for their land which is excessive.

13. Om Parkash, Bal Kishan and Rajinder filed their claim on 27.2.62 through Shri Om Parkash claiming & 8000. per bigha as fair market value for their land. They did not produce any evidence in support of their claim. The claim is excessive.

### MARKET VALUE.

In this village no transaction took place in the year 1954-55. Only one transaction involving 1 Big. 16 Bis. which was sold for Rs 1000.00 took place in the year 1956. Similarly, another transaction involving 16 B which was sold for Rs 1000.00 took place in the year 1957. No transaction took place in the year 1958-59. Shiv Lal s/o Kali r/o Garhi Piran sold to Shmt. Kasturi Devi and Mewa Devi 6 Bighas 3 Bis for Rs 10000.00 on 15.10.59. This land is included in the acquisition of land under present acquisition was notified U/s 4 on 13.11.59. This is the only transaction which took place near about the date of notification. The average per Bigha in this case comes to R 1626.00 per Bigha. In such private sales the true value of the land is not mentioned. The price is always exaggerated on account of fear of presumption. These very vendees had purchased from Shri Shiv Lal, vendor on 1.12.59, 9 Bighas #2 Bis. for Rs 2000@ 00. average per Bigha in that case comes to Rs 2198.00. Practically a year after this transaction they paid Rs 1626 per Bigha to the same vendor. It shows that that there was a downward trend in the prices of land in this village.

The total land under acquisition consists of the following classific ations:-

Nehri: 97 Big.12 Bis Chahi Nehri: 36 Big 8 Bis. Chahi: 34 Big 10 Bis. Garmumkin: 3 Big. 2 Bis.

Stop

In view of the above discussion I fix Rs 1500.00 per Bigha for Nehri Chahi, Chahi and Nehri land and Rs 750.00 per Bigha for Garmumkin. 15% of this value would be paid as solatium for compulsory acq-uisition.

## OTHER COMPENSATIONS.

There are only two wells in this village under p resent acq-uisition. The following table shows their situation, ownership and value:

S.No.	Khasra	No. Name of Owne	r Depth & diameter.	Value.
1.	209	Shiv Lal s/o	34' x7½'	Rs 2000.00
2.	253	Kali. Neki s/o Nanha:	341 x7 ½1	Rs 2000.00
		STRUCTURES:		
S.No.	Khasra	No. Description of structures		Value.
1.	222	( )	32'x19'x10' @ Rs 10.00 per . feet.	Rs 6080.00
2.	222	One house	22' x20' x11 @ Rs 10.00	Rs 4440.00
3.	222	One boundary wall.	3 <b>36'</b> x4'	Rs <b>739.</b> 00
4.	221	One room	10'x10'x8' @ Rs 10.00	Rs 1000.00
5.	2 <b>2</b> 1	One boundary wall	<b>23</b> 70 <sup>†</sup> x4 <sup>†</sup>	Rs 828.00

The value of fruit trees as assessed by the Horticulture Inspector comes to Rs 1451.20NP which is hereby awarded. The detail is given as under:-

Total

Rs 13087.00

222 Amrud: 35 Anar: 33 Jaman: 1 Rs 1451.20N Mitha: 4 (for all the Lemon: 3 trees) Mango trees: 3 Shahtut: 2 Beri: 1	S.No.	Khasra	No. Kind of tree	No.of t	rees' Value assessed.	
		222	Anar: Jaman: Mitha: Lemon: Mango trees: Shahtut:	33 1 4 3		

- Appl

S.No.	Khasra N	o.' Kind	of tr	ee' Weight	Rate per Mnd.	Total value.
1.	257	Sisam:	1	50	Ps 3.00	Ps 150.00
2.	243	Sisam:	1	70	Rs 3.00 Total	Rs 210.00 Rs 360.00

# APPORTIONMENT

Field Nos. 200, 201, 206, 207, 209, 210, 217min 1. 216 and 222 were sold by Shiv Lal to Dharam Devi and Iqbal A mutation regarding the sale has not so far been sanctioned. Compensation, would therefore, be paid to the vendees in the presence of Shiv Lal, vendor.

Similarly, field Nos. 217 min and 218, 208, 219, 220 and 221 were sold by Shiv Lal to Kasturi Devi and Mewa Devi. Mutation regarding this sale has not so far been sanctioned. Compensation would, therefore, be paid to the vendees in the presence of the vendor.

# AWARD IS SUMMARISED AS UNDER:

Compensation for 168 Big.10 Bis. of Chahi, Nehri & Chahi, and Chahi land @ % 1500.00 per Bigha.	Ps 252750.00
Compens tion for 3 Big.2 Bis.@ Rs 750.00 per Bigha.	Ps 23 <b>2</b> 5.00
15% as solatium on the whole cost of land for compulsory acquisition.	Rs 38261.25NP
Compensation for wells:	<b>\$</b> 4000.00
Compensation for structures:	Ps 13087.00
Compensation for trees: TOTAL:	Rs 312234.25NP

This land is assessed to Rs 60.47NP as land As the land is being acquired by Government, this amount will be deducted from the Khalsa rent roll of village Garhi Pirn from Kharif, 1962.

(Sampur an Singh)
Land Acquisition Collector,

Land Acqu-isition Collector,
Delhi.

Collector for farm of

Series of bland at a while for farm of

23/5/62

Supplementary award No......1363.

VILLAGE..... Garni Piran.

The land and Building Department has been repeatedly reminding us to draw Supplementary Award for Kn.No.228 belft out in Award No.1363 of V. Garhi Piran. In its last letter No.F.1(18)/61-L&H dated 14th May, 1968 it has again requested to draw supplementary Award. The valuation statement of structures has been received from the Valuation Officer.

Kh.No.228 was left out of Award No.1363 owing to Dharamshala, Pio and Shivji Chabutra. These have been notified as Rafa-i-Am under sub-cluase TII clause C of Rule I of Delhi Land Reform Rules 1954, Through notification No.37/LRO/59 dated 12.8.1959.

Notices u/s 9 and 1) were is ued to the Deputy Commissioner, Delhi and Ram Narain s/o Liaq Ram and Liaq Ram s/o Nanhey.

No claim has been filed by the Deputy Commissioner Delhi. Ram Narain and Liaq Ram have filed a joint claim. They have claimed Rs. 20 per sq. yd for land and Rs. 10000/- for structures supported by sale deeds No.1347 dated 29.3.61, No. 2435 dated 26.8.63, and sale deed dated 20.4.59.

The LAC awarded compensation soilwise for Nahri, Chan Nehri and Chahi awarded Rs. 1500/- per bigha and for G.M. he awarded Rs. 750/- per bigha. In reference u/s 18 the ADJ enhanced compensation from Rs. 1500 to Rs. 2500/- in L.A.Case No.115 of 1965 and from Rs. 750 to Rs. 1000/- in L.A.Case No.121 of 1966

According to classification of soil the land under acquisition is G.Mumkin. The ADJ has determined the value of G.M. to be Rs. 1000/- I consider that the rate allowed by the ADJ should be accepted. I award the same.

Although the landunder acquisition has been declared as Rafa-i-Am compensation has been claimed by Ram Narain and Liaq Ram, as such the compensation has been assessed and will be kept in dispute. It will however be sent to the ADJ.

The valuation statement has been received from the valuation officer Vikas Bhawan C.P.W.D. New Delhi. Her has Contd....2

In our lane

assessed value for the well at Rs. 476 and structure at Rs. 767. I award the same.

There are no trees on the land under acquisition.

Solatium at 15% shall be allowed for compulsory acquisition.

The land under acquisition shall vest in the Government from the date possession is taken over.

The Award is summarised as under:-

Compensation for 16 bis G.M. @ Rs. 1000/- per bigha.

Rs. 800-00

Compensation for well.

Rs. 476-00

Compensation for structures

Rs. 767-00

15% solatium towards compulsory acquisition.

<u>ेड</u> 306-45

G.Total

2349-45

(Rupees Two thousand three nundred forty nine and poisa forty five only)

(SHAM KARAN)
LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR (P)
DELHI.

In Same and Submite State and Nother Malianers A and Nother

Fili

A Soi Ram Naval 8/ Laig, Ram Tho is prouch an his own helself and an helself of his faction.

28/2 per 1363 suskinger (in) 6 - Mullingier ?... ( in) N.T. 200325 und 5, 3, 3 6.6- ford inst lemit i obosepre of i la (L.A) Hilipaye www. wither was of the state of the 200-199-198-197-196-195-194 of Cost-work of 8 202-209-208-207-206-205-204-203-202-201 29-219-218-217-216-215-214-213-212-21/ 230-229-227-226-225-224-223-221-259-253-252-245-244-243-242-232-231 "i'l' - 3 - 3 - 356 min 2 - 256 - 255 وهدستری ماسل کی - د سر وجدده عنسس کے رویے دائری برسن کے قبران RENTARIZATIONS, CONTRACTORISMENTS Du Duran Parties Sup 2/8/62 N. F. (A.A.)

# (To be published in Part IV of Belhi Gazette.)

# DESIGN AND INTERPRETATION, TEIMI.

# MODIFICATION.

Dated 27 Oct., 1961.

No. F. 1(18)/61-LAH. Whereas it appears to the Chief Commissioner of Delhi that land is required to be taken by Govt. at the public expense for a public purpose namely for the Planned Development of Delhi, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 5 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all w hem it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Collector of Delhi is hereby directed to ka take order for the acquisition of the said land.

A plan of the land may be inspected at the office of the Collector of Delhi.

## SPECIFICATION.

Village Total Area Field Wos. or Boundaries. 3**1** s Nangloi Jat. 402 19 16/22,23,24/1,24/2,25/1,25/2, 17/21/1,21/2,22,23/1,23/2,24,17/25, 24/21,22,2 24/21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26/271, 1/2, 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 20, 27/1/1, 1/2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 27/13/1, 13/2, 14/1, 14/2, 15, 16, 17, 18, 27/19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24/1, 24/2, 25, 28/1, 2/1, 2/2, 3, 4/1, 4/2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 26/12, 13/1, 13/2, 14, 15/1, 15/2, 16/1, 28/16/2, 17, 18/1, 18/2, 19, 20, 22, 23/1, 28/23/2, 24, 25. 28/23/2, 24, 25, 29/1, 2, 3, 4, 5/1, 5/2, 6/1, 6/2, 7/1, 7/2, 29/8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14/1, 14/2, 14/3, 29/16/1, 16/2, 17, 18/1, 18/2, 18/3, 19, 20 108. 194, 495, 496, 197, 498, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 217, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 242, 243, 244, 245, 252, 253, 254, 259, 256, 257, Garhi Peeran. 172 8 Sultan Pur 40 13 621,622,623,624,625,626.

By order.

Sd/--(JAGMOHAN)
Deputy Housing Commissioner.,
Delhi Administration, Delhi.

No. F. 1(18)/61-L&H ,a ted the 27 Oct. 1961.

Copy forwarded to the:
1. Recruitment & Services Deptt. (in duplicate) for favour of publication in the Delhi Gazette, 25 snare copies may kindly be supplied.

- 2. Land Acquisition Collector, Delhi,
- 3. A.D.M. (Is nd Acquisition), Telhi.

1. 2. 3.

Engineer-Member, Delhi Development Authority,
New Delhi.

(JAGMOHAN).
Deputy No sing Commissioner,
Delhi Administration, Delhi,

Compared with true Copy.

Allhabur as

leader. 1. 6.

6.2. 62