Name of the Village:

SADHORA KALAN

Abadi

Bagh Kare Khan.

Nature of Acquisition:

Permanent.

This is a case for the acquisition of known as pit No.8 situated in Bagh Kare Khan in Revenue Estate of Sadhora Khurdon behalf of the

Corporation for the construction of Municipal A.V. pensary. No iffication No.F. 15(95)/56-LSC dated was issued by the Chief Commissioner. Delhi under

4 of the Land Acquisttion Act; 1894. A notice wa

published and no objections as to the acquisition received. The notification stated that the land required for public purpose at public expense. necessitated a correction because the Land was refor public purpose at the Municipal expense. Necessitated in the Delhi Gazette on 21:5:1959 declaration under section 6:of the said Act was 1 vide the notification of even number dated 3:12:1 Notices under section 9 and 10 of the Act were is 6th and 11th July, 1960 and were duly served upon

# MEASUREMENTE

owners and occupante concerned

The property under acquisition has bee correctly shown the various notifications. It, satuated in Bach Kare Khan! s-residencial area in contested the measurement and hence it is taken to be correct.

## MARKET VALUE:

The Deputy Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Delhi vide his letter No.3159/L&E dated 10.2.1960 informs that Sarvshri Mool Chand, Rattan Lal, Jawala Pershad and Amin Chand are the owners of this land and Sarvshri Dewan Chand, Jug Lal, Jagd sh, Sher Singh and Bhagwan Das are its occupants. They have all responded to the notices under section 9 and 10 of the Act and no one else has claimed any interest in this land. The owners have filled a written objections and their statements and evidence has been recorded. All of them except Shri Mool Chand have claimed a compensation at the rate of Rs. 50/- per square yard. In support of this the owners have produced no evidence oral or documentry except a witness Shri Tej Ram. He has stated that he is a Property Agent residing in Sita Ram Bazar, Delhi. He has deposed that the land is being sold in Bagh Kare Khan at the rate of Rs. 50/- or 60/- per square yard. The only example he has cited is that of the land of Ram Kishan; situated at Budho Mata at the rate of B. 50/- per square yard. He has produced no record of the sales in which his Agency was utalised. Even this oral evidence of Shri Ram Kishan is worthless, because the site known as Budho Mata is situated at a distance of about 12 mile from the place. The claim made by the owners, there

fore, remains un substantiated

The material data for the determination of the market value in this case is 31.12.56 on which noti fication under section 4 was published. The acquiring department i.e. the Municipal Corporation has represented that the value of the land on the material date was not more than Rs. 4/- per square yard. They further stated that the Corporation spent a lot; of money on filling up this pit, because the dirty water used to collect here and it was a nuisance for the public. They demand that the money spent by the Corporation should be deducted from the compensation to be paid to the land owners. They have stated that better land in the immediate vicinity of this area has been purchased by the Swalambi Co-operative House Building Society and the Delhi Cloth Mills Employees House Building Society at the rate of Rs. 7/- per square yard in the year 1959-60. They argued, that the fact that prices have been rising successivly every year, is well known. Therefore the price in December, 1956 should not be more

\*\*They have simply relied on guess work to prove than & 4/- per square yard in 1957. Their contention be & 4.00 per squard. Their therefore, is unbenable.

fore un-tenable.

The Naib Tehsildar, L.A. has proposed a rate of Rs.12/- per square yard as the correct market value on the relevent date. He has based this rate on the sales carried through vide mututions No.1519 and 1597 on 18.1.1954 and and 8.6.1954 respectively. The area involved in these two mututions is only 4 biswas at a price of Rs.2510/-. This area is very small and cannot be relied on to be a true

Indication of the correct market value. The Naib

Tehsildar ignored mututions Nos. 1461 and 1483 also relating to the sales of the land in the immediate vicinity under acquisition. The ground for ignoring this area is stated that this area is agricultural. I have inspected the spot and I do not agree with the opinion of the Naib Tehsildar, because this area is also in the immediate vicinity of the land under acquisition and is surrounded on all sides by constructed area.

I, therefore, consider the rate proposed by the Naib Tehsildar, L.A. to be high.

I consider that the sales carried through vide mututions No. 1461, 1483, 1497 and 1519 are all relevent. The total area involved is 14 Biswas for a consideration of B. 6,240.00. The average of these sales works out to 8.8.84 nP per square yard. From enquiries made on the spot I find that this plot was actually a Dirty water used to stagnate here and was a nuisense for the whole area. The average cost of filling up such pits was found as R. 1.45 nP per square yard in the case of pit No. 3. I, therefore, consider that expenses at the same rate were incurred by the Municipal Corporation to fill up these pits. There is no reason why the owner should benefit from this expense of the Corporation. Deducting these expenses the net rate works out to approximately B. 7.50 nP per square yard. This rate is further supported by the facts that an area of

Peoples Cooperative House Building Society for a consideration of 18.91,000/= on 26.2.60 at the rate of about 18.8.50 nP per square yard. This area is situated hardly 100 yards away from the land under acquisition. Since the land was purchased by a Co-operative Society, therefore it can be safely presumed that there is no exaggeration on the upper or lower sides. Considering the fact that the prices have been rising every year, the rate of 18.7.50 nP per square yard as worked out for 31.12.56 is reasonable. I, therefore, award the compensation at 18.7.50 nP per square yard for the land under acquisition.

# COMPENSATION FOR STRUCTURES:

There are temporary structures on this land and the Naib Tensildar, L.A. has proposed the following compensation for these structures:-

compensation for the	了一个一个一个一个
Tond	= Rs. 185.00
On Jawala Pershad's land.	· 一类对抗运送基础。
	= 'Rs' 560.00
On Ram Rattan's land.	10000000000000000000000000000000000000
	= Rs. 185.00
On Amin Chand's land.	
	= Rs. 554.00 i
On Mool Chard's land.	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	Total:- Rs. 1484.00
タクス たきしゅう とうしゅうしょう ディー・ディー おんしょう	The second of the second of

The Naib Tehsildar has given reasons in detail for assessing this cost of the structures in the chief date. I have inspected the spot and I agree generally with the assessment made by him. I, therefore, award B. 1,484.00 assessment made by him. I, therefore, award B. 1,484.00 as the cost of temporary structures in the land.

There is no claim for seperate or for any other harm to accrue from this acquisition. No compensation is therefore necessary for this purpose.

#### APPORTIONMENT:

Of the 4 owners of the land under acquisition
Sarvshri Ram Rattan, Jawala Pershad and Amin Chand have
claimed that they are the owners of 106, 53 and 150 squ
yards respectively. Mool Chand has not specified his
either in his claim or statement. He will therefore be
deemed as the owner of the remaining area. The areas
under the different owners are therefore worked out as
follows:-

Shri Ram Rattan. = 106 sq. yards.

Shri Jawala Pershad. = 53 " "

Shri Amin Chand. = 150 " "

Shri Mool Chand. = 99.67 Sq. yards.

Total: 408.67 Sq. yards.

will be paid to the land owners according to the area under their respective owner-ship.

In the land of Shri Jawala Pershad, Shri Sher Singh is the tenant and the structure has been constructed by him. He will, therefore, get & 185.00 as the cost of a structure.

In the land of Shri Ram Rattan a part of the structure has been built up by the tenants. The N.T.,

Land Acquisition has assessed the cost of this structure constructed by Shri Vilay Pal-tenant at R-70-00-203

of Shri Jagdish at B.70/-. Each of these tenants, there will be paid B.70.00 and the cost of the rest of the structures will be paid to Ram Rattan land owner.

In Amin Chand's land the structure has been constructed by Shri Bhagwan Das tenant. The cost of this structure B: 185.00 will be paid to the tenant.

In Shri Mool Chand's land the entire structure belongs to the owner and there is no tenant. The land own therefore, will get the ost of this structure.

### LAND REVENUES DEDUCTION:

The land is still assessed to land Revenue, b

# THE AWARD IS SUMMARISED AS BELOW:

cost of 408.67 Square yards of land at 18.7.50 nP per square yard.

Solitium. At 15% of the market value. 459.75

3. Compensation for structures. 1484.00

Total: 5008.78

( Nand Kishore ).
Land Acquist tion Collector (1), Delhi
27.2.1961.

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Delhi (Collector of the District) for information and filing the award.

Land Acquisition Collector (1), Delhi 27.2.1961.

or

of sold