AWARD NO. 2020,

ature of acquisition:

Sadhora Kalan.
Permanent.

#### NTRODUCTION':

The land situate in the estate of village Sadhora Kalan bearing khasra Nos.633/199/1 (57-06), 172(4-14), 173 (5-18), 176/1 (1-11), 217 (9-15), and 442/217 (4-06) total measuring 83 bighas 10 biswas is needed by the Government at the public expense for a public purpose namely for the Planned Development of Delhi. Previously the requisite motifications under sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act were issued by vide the notification Nos.F:15(57)/64-LSG dated 19.7.1965 and No.F.15(57) -64-LSG(1) dated 574.1966 respectively. But later on the land Junder acquisition was deleted from both of the above said notification sounder sections 4 and 6 of theL.A.Act vide the notifications No.F.15(57)/64-184 (11) dated 29.10.1966 and A F.15(57)/64-L&H (1) dated 29.10.1966 respectively. because, on scrutiny of the record it had transpired that according to the Land Reforms Statement No.4, the bhumidhari was already declared on the land under acquisition prior to the issue of the general notification under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act No.F.15(111)/59-LSG dated 13.11.1959 comprising the area of 34,070 acres. Hence the land under acquisition was deemed to have had been covered under the said general notification. The substance of the said notifi cation had been given due publicity and objections were invited from the interested persons. The objections were received and a reportwas sent to the Delhi Administration. However the objections were rejected and a declaration under section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act was made vide the notification No.F.15(57)/64-18H (111)dated 29.10.1966. Notice under section 9(1) was given due publicity and notices under section 9(3) and 10(1) of the Land Acquisition Act were served upon the known interested persons. Almost all the interested persons

# MEASUREMENT & OWNERSHIP

According to the notification under section 6 of the L Act the total area to be acquired was 83 bighas and 10 biswas But on the directive received from the Housing Department vide its letter No.F.15(57)/64-LSG dated 11.10.1966, the Land bearing khasra No.217 measuring 9 bighas and 15 biswas and No.442/217 measuring 4 bighas and 6 biswas total measurin 14 bighas and 1 biswa has been excluded from the award in favour of the President, R.B. Ram Sarup Trust and Ram Rup Vidhya Mandir Higher Secondary School, 2779 Subzi Mandi, Delhi Hence after deducting this area the remaining area under acquisition comes to 69 bighas and 9 biswas. Out of this area the acquisition proceedings in respect of the khasra Nos.633/199/1 measuring 57 bighas 6 biswas have been stayed under the orders of the High Court, Delhi passed in the case No.79 of 1967, where the matter is still subjudice ducting this area the balance area under

acquisition remains only 12 bighas and 3 biswas.

Verification made at the site under section 8 of the Land

Acquisition Act this area was found to be correct. I,

therefore, hold the area under acquisition to be true at

12 bighas and 3 biswas, the details of which according to

the revenue record as given below:-

Khasra No.	Area.	Kind of Tange
173	4 - 14	Bagh Nehri.
176/1.	5 - 18 - 11-	Bagh Nehri.
	12 - 03	Bagh Nehri.

Note: At my site inspection it was found that the bagh has been cut and the land is divided in the form of plots.

ELAIMS AND EVIDENCE

The following class

A CONTRACTOR	•	
A 1800		
1.14	and the second s	
ŽĚ Š <b>G</b>	1 Wo w	See
	1.No. Name of the claimant	(0)
1 750		Compensation demand
F 5 6 5	Rameshwar Dass Sharma s	/o Rs.3566/- per bigha for the
77		8.35/60/- per history
化橡胶基	Manbir Singh Advocate.	land, S. 500/- for levellings.
100	bingi Advocate.	Rs.1500/- for boundary wall
	•	
	, ,	
有"是是"	÷	SOME SELECTION TO THE SECOND S
	•	0.8 1.019
	the second secon	ion.
2.	Chiman Singh and Parbhu	
h to the	Singh and Parbhu	$\mathbb{R} \cdot 30/-$ ner on $\mathbb{R}$
	********	Rs.30/- per sq.yd. for the
1. 1. 2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	********	
3630 4	Anup Chand Advocate.	well and the structure.
	•	· .
1112		•
1. 45		•
	Surinder Singh Bhatia	
45	8/0 Kanton di Bhatla	Rs.40/- per sq.yd. for the
	s/o Kartar Singh.	land by 500% for the
A		
ing a transfer	•	
1		
1.4	š	
		acompliated for a local component
		of Rs.19,500/
$4 \cdot \cdot$	Om Prolondo ou	•
	Om Prakash Sharma s/o	Demandod + -+
ter in a di	Ram Nath.	Demanded total compensation
5	N. Maria	of Rs.28,500/
5.	Gian Singh s/o Narain	Dom
	Singh.	Demanded total compensation
18,54 11 4		of Rs.19,300/
e) : [5"		No. of the state o
6.	Mohan Lal s/o Bakshi Lal.	
The state of	baksni Lal.	Total compensation of
100	The state of the s	h.25,100/
7	Di	100
ritariya digi 🛴	Bhagwat Singh, Suringer	Managar Trail
1. 14 14 15	Singh sons of Shmt	Demanded total compensation
如果满口	Raj Kaur w/o Jawala Singh.	OF HS COVER OF THE PARTY OF
Sr. 15. 300.	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	
	Kartar Kaur, w/o Bhagwan	
********	Singh, Charan Kaur W/o	Demanded total compensation of Rs. 18.100/-
3 19 79 18	Sucha Singh.	of % 18 100/ compensation
( ( )	proma pringu.	of B.18,100/
11 12 12 1	Vozen	tana and the state of the state
	Yad Ram s/o Rewti Ram	Domes in
12.0 P		Demanded total compensation
وبالأرابيبية	s/o Yad Ram.	Rs.46,800/
V 14	Shmt. Shubh Lata w/o	
KST.	Shri Satpal Sharma.	Demanded total compensation
图像		of 21,200/ compensation
3.00%		
194 196	Khem Dasi	
Barton S	Khem Raj s/o Narotan	Domestic
6 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Dass. Dass.	Demanded total compensation
<b>第五分</b> 人		of & 5200/
THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	Raj Kumari Taja	
y lade at the	Dharampal .	Demanded total compensation of Rs-9200/-
1103 11		of %.9200/
P. C. P. S. T.		
	Shmt V+ a-	
AND TO SE	Shmt. Vidya Devi w/o	Demandad
NEW PARTY	Panna Lal	Demanded total compensation
		of Rs.16,100/
	Dharshan Singh s/o Gopal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Singh. S/O Gopal	Demanded total compensation
AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PARTY OF	New York Control of the Control of t	of Rs.21,300/
	Shat Kanta Rani Jain W/o Jagdish Lal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Wo Jagdtan Hani Jain	Demanded total
	Latin Tal	Demanded total compensation
	Sharara	of B:14,000/-
10/9/	Shakuntla Devi w/o Des	n de la
罐袋 法	Rali Sareen W.O. Des	Demanded total compatible

Demanded total compensation of Ms.10,640.00.

Shakuntla Devi w/o Des Raj; Sareen 18. Prameshwari Jai w/o Balbir Chand Jain.

Demanded total compensation of Rs.20,500/-.

19. Dhaja Ram Gupta s/o Shambhu Dayal.

Demanded total compensation of Rs.20,000/-.

20. Ashok Arora s/o Raj

Rs.5000/- as total compensation.

21. Sushil Kumar Jain s/o Roshan Lal Jain.

Demanded total compensation of Rs.24,000/-.

22. Raj Kumar Jain s/o Roshan Lal Jain.

Demanded total compensation of Rs.23,000/-.

23 : R.S. Bajaj s/o Shri Salamat Rai Bajaj.

Demanded total compensation of Ns.19,800/-.

24. Bimal Prakash Jain s/o Shri Panna Lal Jain.

Demanded total compensation of Rs.11,500/-.

25. Shmt. Fushpa Wanti w/o Shri Sukhdev Raj.

Demanded total compensation of &.18,940.00.

26. Shmt.Agya Wanti w/o

Demanded total compensation of %s.18000/-.

27. Phool Wati Jain daughter of Sohan Lal.

Démanded total compensation of As.8900/-.

28. Om Prakash Jain s/o Janghi Lal Jain.

Demanded total compensation of Ns.26000/-.

29: Shmt Krisina Gahlawat Wo Baldev Singh.

Demanded total compensions 13.

30 Shri Dhani Ram s/o Shri Daya Ram

Démanded total compensation of

81. ZShri Marain Dass s/o

Demanded total compensation of Rs.21,180/-.

32. Jaman Lal s/o Vas Dev.

Demanded total compensation of # 18.21,500/-.

33. Gurcharan Singh Thukral

Demanded total compensation of Is.6200/-.

34: Shmt. Surjit Kaur w/o

Demanded-total compensation of Ns.34,800/-.

35. Bachan Singh s/o Rur Singh.

Demanded total compensation of Rs.16,300/-.

36. Dalip Singh s/o Rur Singh.

Demanded total compensation of Rs.12,250/-.

37. Jagat Singh s/o Hari Singh.

Demanded total compensation of Ns.9590/-.

38. Prehlad Chand, s/o Narotan Dass.

Demanded total compensation of Rs. 5700/-

Paga Darshan Singh s/o

Note: The claimants from sl.No.2 to 39 have filed their claim petitionsthrough Shri Manbir Singh Advocate.

No evidence in respect of the claims for compensation as such has been filed by any claimant. However, the plot holders of the land under acquisition have filed copies of the sale deeds showing that they have purchased the plots, at the rates ranging from Rs.11/- per sq.yd. to Rs.20/- per sq.yd. Thus there is no corroboration between the rates which ranges from Rs.35/- to Rs.40/-perso demanded by the claimants/and the rates involved in the Moreover the said sale deeds appear said sale deeds. to have been executed after the date of notification under section 4 of the LandAcquisition Act viz.13.11.1959. Hence these rates cannot be relevant for arriving at the correct market value of the land under acquisition. The claimants are, therefore, entitled for the compensation as discussed under the head 'Market Value'.

## MARKET VALUE

The kind and situation of the land under acquisition is similar to that of the land acquired under the award No. 1985 of village Sadhora Kalan. The khasra Nos. 172, 173, and 176/1 under acquisition are just adjacent to the khasra Nos. 171, 174, 175, 177 and 178 acquired vide the award No. 1985, and the khasra No. 176/1 under acquisition is actually the part and parcel of the khasra No. 176/2 already acquired vide the award No. 1985.

As stated above the land underacquisition according to the revenue record is 'Bagh Nehri' but at the site the bagh has been cut and the land has been sold unauthorisedly in the forms of plots. As such the land under acquisition has been classified as 'Other kind' for which the rate of k.5100/- per bigha has been allowed in the award No.1985. The dates of the notification under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act of the present award and the award No.1985.

has been drawn very recently, and about 8 references have been filed under section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act upto date, which are at the preliminary stage.

The factors for arriving at the market value of the land in this area have been discussed at length in the award No. 1985. In view of the aspects discussed therein I am of the opinion that the rate of %.5100/- per bigha will be a fair and reasonable market value of the land under acquisition and I award accordingly.

OTHER COMPENSATIONS!

on the land under acquisiting

There is neither any, tree nor structure, well, Amberefore, the question of assessment of compensation does not arise. However some of the plots holders have constructed rooms etc. for which no compensation has been assessed as the plots holders purchased the land under acquisition after the date of inotification under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act and hence they constructed the structures on the land under acquisition after the date of notification under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act. They are, however, allowed to remove their malbas if they so desire.

## 'APPORTIONMENT'

De paid to the plot holders on production of the relevant documents showing their ownership rights, and in case of dispute between the owners and the plots holders, the compensation will be sent to the Addl. Distt. Judge, Delhi for disbursement.

Interest: According to the provisions of the Land Acquisition (Amendment & Validation) Act, 1967, simple interest at the rate of 6% p.a. is allowed on the market value of the land from the date of the expiry of three years, viz.13.11.62 to the date of tender payment of compensation awarded viz. 2.13.1967 as the award is likely to be announced on 30.5.1967.

public tion under cites for be but Acculation Act,

6% for commutacry secutations oburtes: As provided under section 23(?) of the and Admisition Act, the owners will false be raid 18% is solution for econicsors netwo of acquisition.

### '- SULVAIN OF FEE AWARD '

Compensation for the Land mensuring	3.61,965.00
See the region of the second o	,
Titorest 10 6 p.a. fr n 13.11.1962 to 29:10:67:(4 years and 361 days)	%.10,446.89 1
To on the come action of the Lind (Created Suring 12 bidies 3 biswes =	u. 9,294.75
ALLEGATION OF THE ROTHWILL TOL	ting makerane with a set walk have have such that have given
Commitsorvanature of comisition. C.T.	3,69,706:74
in the land is normest to a lord rove	tate of

ich will be deducted from the revenue roll

(3al Howsholwanji) head Acquisition Gollector(DS), De Bi/23.10.1967.

sono as le unionces a