

A W A R D No. 12000

Name of the Village:

Sadhora Khurd
Abadi Bagh Kare Khan.

Nature of Acquisition:

Permanent.

This is a case for the acquisition of land named Pit No.10 situated in Abadi Bagh Kare Khan of the Estate of Sadhora Khurd required by the Government for a public purpose, namely, for the construction of Child Welfare Centre, at the expense of Municipal Corporation, Delhi. A declaration under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act 1894 to this effect was made vide notification No.F.15(115) 57-LSG dated 19th November 1957. The substance of the notification was given due publicity in the vicinity of the area under acquisition on 11.12.57 and objections were invited within a period of one month. No objections were, however, received within the prescribed time. A declaration under section 6 of the said act was made vide notification of even number dated 9.2.60. Notices under section 9 and 10 of the Land Acquisition Act were served upon the interested parties and most of them have responded to these notices.

MEASUREMENT & OWNERSHIP.

The boundaries of the land under acquisition as notified, were found to be correct on the spot. The area of the land under acquisition was notified at 1060 sq.yds. and it was found to be correct by measurements made under section 8 of the said Act.

The ownership of the land under acquisition was investigated

It has been found that the following persons are

Sl.No.	Name of the owner.	Plot No.	Area in sq. yds.
1.	Chander Bhan s/o Badlu Ram.	308	106.
2.	Sh. Bhairon Parshad s/o Sheo Bakhsh.	306 319 9/10 share of 307	103½ 103½ 93 300
3.	Kundan Lal s/o Puran Mal.	318	103½
4.	Sh. Lakhi Ram s/o Banshi Dhar.	305 317 1/10 share of 307	103½ 103½ 10 217½
5.	Shm. Sharbati widow of Sh. Banwari Lal.	320	103½
6.	Sh. Satdev Sahani s/o Sh. Sant Ram.	309 321	103½ 103½ 207
Total...			1037½

The total area under acquisition is 1060 sq.yds. and the ownership of the remaining 22½ sq.yds. could not be ascertained. No claim regarding this area has been made by any-body so far. If in future such claim is received, it will be considered on merit. Shri Satdev Sahani has made a claim in place of Shri Anant Ram Kohli. Compensation will be paid to him as soon as he gets the certificate of ownership from the Municipal Corporation.

CLAIMS & EVIDENCE.

The following owners have submitted the claims.

1. Shri Bhairon Parshad.

Compensation for land @ Rs. 75/- per sq.yd. plus Rs. 2000/- for levelling the land.
filling.

2. Shri Kundan Lal.

Compensation for land @ Rs. 75/- plus per sq.yd. plus Rs. 1000/- for filling the land.

4. Shri Satdev Sahani. Claimed compensation @ Rs.40/- per sq.yd. plus Rs.1800/- for filling the earth plus maintenance charges Rs.5000/-.
5. Shrimati Sharbati Devi through her son Shri Ram Avtar. Claimed compensation @ Rs.75/- per sq.yd. for land.
6. Shri Gurudatt s/o Chander Bhan. Claimed compensation @ Rs.75/- per sq.yd. for land.

In addition to the land owners, the following tenants have claimed the compensation for filling the pits and making wooden structures thereon.

Sl.No.	Name of the owner.	Name of the tenant.	Rent per month.	Claim made.
1.	Sh. Lakhi Ram.	Hattu Ram.	Rs.5/-	Rs.1000/-
		Sh.Suraj Bhan.	Rs.7/8/-	Rs.1200/-
		Sh.Mahohar Lal.	Rs.7/8/-	Rs. 400/-
		Sh. Lal Singh.	Rs.10/8/-	Rs.2500/-

All these tenants have claimed compensation for filling the land and for constructing the wooden kholas thereon. The tenants and the land owners have also demanded alternative accommodation for their residence.

Shri Lakhi Ram has demanded compensation of Rs.6000/- for the construction ~~for the construction~~. From inspection of the spot it is verified that these are not built up rooms but simply wooden huts which have been constructed by the tenants themselves. The tenants have also claimed compensation for such construction. The claim of Shri Lakhi Ram is unreasonable. When he has not constructed anything, he cannot claim any compensation for the construction made by the tenants.

The land owners, the tenants and the Municipal Corporation claim compensation for filling the pits. It may be possible that

all the three parties might have raised the level of the pit by spending money. The Municipal Corporation have stated that they have spent Rs.3781/- on filling this pit and that they did not realise the cost from the land owners and that it should be deducted from the compensation. If any thing is due to the Corporation they should get the money realised through proper course of law. The land owners cannot claim compensation on account of filling a pit because I am allowing compensation for the level land as it exists at present. I am not concerned how this land was brought upto its present level.

S I T U A T I O N .

The land under acquisition is in the shape of a big plot. The boundaries of the internal plots are obliterated. On the spot it is not clear when the boundary of the plot begins or that of the other ends. It is situated in the locality of Bagh Kare Khan. In spite of the fillings done by the land owners, tenants and the Municipal Corporation, the area is still very low-lying and is filled with water even when a few millimeters of rain falls. The locality round about is inhabited by low class persons who have constructed third class houses without any sanitary considerations. If any persons wants to build a house, he will prefer an area outside the Abadi instead of the plots existing in Bagh Kare Khan. It is good that Municipal Corporation is acquiring such land and trying to convert it into good buildings.

I have seen the land referred to by the Municipal Corporation and I find that this is totally ~~xx~~ undeveloped and no road etc. have been ~~market~~ ^{made} over it. The area involved in that transaction is also in Bighas instead of in sq.yds. It is likely that if a colony of the type of Bagh Kare Khan is developed then about 1/4th of the area will have to be left for common purposes. The Market Value of the plots in undeveloped conditions after leaving the area for common purposes, therefore, comes to Rs.9/- per sq.yd. As regards the expenses for filling the khadi pit claimed by them, they should apply to the proper court for the realization of this amount from the land owners. This court is not competent to adjudge whether the money ~~xxxxxx~~ spent by the Municipal Corporation is realisable from the land owners or not.

MARKET VALUE.

All the land owners except Shri Sahani have claimed compensation @ Rs.75/- per sq.yd. Shri Sahani has claimed compensation @ Rs.40/- (Rupees Forty) per sq.yd. They have adduced no evidence to prove that the market rate on the relevant date i.e. 19.11.57 was Rs.75/- per sq.yd. From the documents produced by the land owners themselves, it will be possible to arrive at the market value of the land existing on the relevant date. According to a transaction dated 16.3.1948, Kundan Lal s/o Mira Lal sold 310½ sq.yds. of land in favour of Sheo Bakhsh s/o Kundan Lal, the father of the present owner Shri Bhairon Parshad for a sum of Rs.2700/-. This land is a part of the area under acquisition which shows that the price of land in the year

1955. In that year Mahadev Parshad s/o Lekh Raj sold 103½ sq.yds. of land comprised in plot No.318 for a sum of Rs.1000/- to Shri Kandan Lal s/o Shri Paran Mal, the present owner. It means that the price of land was almost Rs.9/- per sq.yd. even in the year 1955. This shows that no increase took place in the market value of land during the 7 years beginning from 1948 to 1955. There is no likelihood that any increase of price would take place during the next two years.

I, therefore, consider that the sale-value of land in the locality on the relevant date was about Rs.9/- per sq.yd. In Award No.1130 regarding Pit No.5 which is situated just near the area under acquisition, I have given an award of Rs.8.84 per sq.yd. on the basis of prices that existed on 31.1.57. The relevant date in this case is about 10 months after the ^{relevant} date in Award No.1130.

From the sale-deeds quoted above, it is gleaned that no increase in price took place in the locality of Bagh Kare Khan. This is due to the fact that the whole area is slum and is likely to be acquired by the Municipal Corporation at any time for their slum Clearance Scheme. No one was sure, whether the plot purchased by him will remain under his ownership or not. That is why the prices did not rise in this locality. I, therefore, consider Rs.9/- per sq.yd. to be a reasonable market value on the relevant date. I, therefore, award Rs.9/- per sq.yd. as compensation for the land under acquisition.

OTHER COMPENSATION.

Shri Lakhi Ram plot owner has claimed a compensation

the plot owner, one feels wonderstruck how exaggerated claims some people make. Even these jhugees had been constructed by the tenants who are residing on the plot, the prices of the jhugees fixed by the Naib Tehsildar, Land Acquisition are given below.

Sl.No.	Name of the owner of the jhugree.	Compensation proposed.
1.	Sh. Abdul Rashid.	Rs. 40/-
2.	Sh. Bhagwan Das s/o Ram Parshad.	Rs. 25/-
3.	Sh. Bhagwan Das Panjabi.	Rs. 30/-
4.	Sh. Ladha Ram s/o Chahiti Ram.	Rs. 40/-
5.	Sh. Dharam Pal & Jagmal.	Rs. 40/-
6.	Sh. Lal Singh s/o Chhaju.	Rs. 50/-
7.	Sh. Baldev Raj s/o Motu Ram.	Rs. 25/-
8.	Sh. Manohar Lal.	Rs. 25/-
9.	Sh. Suraj Bhan s/o Labhu Ram.	Rs. 30/-
		<u>Rs. 305/-</u>

The Municipal Corporation has stated that all the structure has been constructed after the date of notification under section 4. From enquiries on the spot it is found that these structures existed prior to 1957. The Municipal Corporation should have demolished these temporary structures which have been built without the sanctioned plan. I agree with the Naib Tehsildar who has reported that these jhugees were made before 1957 and also about the prices proposed by him. I, therefore, award Rs. 305/- as the cost of structure built thereon. In addition to the cost of structure and land, the Land Owners and Tenants will get 15% of the compensation as solatium for compulsory nature of acquisition. No other compensation is necessary.

according to the area under their ownership. The compensation for the structures will be paid to the persons who constructed the structures as shown in the list give above. Shri Chander Bhan is reported to have died and his heirs will ^{be paid} ~~claim~~ compensation when their names have been entered as owners with the Municipal Corporation. In the ^{1 amuse} ~~revenue~~ record, only Shm. Sharbati Devi has been shown the owner of Plot No.320. Shri Ram Avtar and others, the sons of Shm. Sharbati Devi also claim shares in compensation. This will be paid to them if they are able to get their ~~whx~~ names entered in the ownership with the Municipal Corporation within a period of two months, otherwise the compensation will be paid to Shm. Sharbati Devi. Shri Satdev Sahani should either produce the registered deed by which he purchased the land or he should get his name entered with the Municipal Corporation as owner, before the compensation is paid to him.

THE AWARD IS SUMMARISED AS BELOW.

Compensation for 1060 sq.yds. of Land @ Rs.9/- per sq.yd.	Rs. 9,540.00
Compensation for structure.	Rs. 305.00
	Rs. 9,845.00
15% of the compensation as solatium for compulsory nature of acquisition.	Rs. 1,476.75
Total.....	Rs.11,321.75

Checked

[Signature]
26/9/61

The land is not assessed to any land revenue, therefore, no deduction is necessary from the Revenue Roll.

Seen. Filed. *[Signature]*

(Nand Kishore)
Land Acquisition Collector,

A W A R D No. 1556

Name of the Village:

Sadhora Khurd.

Nature of Acquisition:

Permanent.

This is a case for the acquisition of land in the estate of Sadhora Khurd required ~~by~~ the Government at the public expense for a public purpose, namely, for the Planned Development of Delhi. A declaration under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act was made vide notification No.F.15(111)/59-LSG dated 13.11.59 regarding the intention of the Government to acquire about 34 thousand acres of land in various villages. Objections against this acquisition were invited, the objectors were heard and a report along with the objections in original was sent to the Delhi Administration by the Land Acquisition Collector III to take a decision upon the objections. A declaration under section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act regarding the area involved in this award was made vide Notification No.F.4(83)/62-L&H/dated 23.11.1962. A notice under section 9(1) of the Land Acquisition Act was given due publicity and notices under section 9(3) & 10(1) of the Land Acquisition Act have been issued to the known interested parties. Almost all the interested parties have responded to the notices.

MEASUREMENT & OWNERSHIP.

According to the notification under section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, the total area to be acquired was 267 Bighas 18 Biswas. Khasra No.517/50 was not notified although its area was included in the notification. The owner of this Khasra number has stated that he has no objection if this khasra number is also acquired. Khasra No.1312/20 is situated on the Northern side of the Shakti Nagar, Sarai Rohella Road. This is included in the Green area and award regarding this khasra number will be made when other khasra numbers are acquired. The whole area of Khasra No.1171/480/21 measuring 13 Bighas 17 Biswas was notified under acquisition. From the inspection

been acquired and 1 Bigha 12 Biswas are situated on the Northern side of the above mentioned road. Award regarding this 1 Bighas 12 Biswas will be made at the time when other area round about is acquired. Khasra numbers 41, 42/1, 42/3 total measuring 28 Bighas 8 Biswas and ought to have been notified under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act instead of under section 6 because this area was the property of the Government with rights of occupancy tenants vesting in the Custodian.

The Samarat Cooperative House Building Society has rightly raised this objection. These Khasra numbers, therefore, should be re-notified under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act. The total area of Khasra No.47 is 2 Bighas 16 Biswas. From measurement made on the spot, the area is found to be 2 Bighas 11 Biswas. According to the statement of the owner 8 biswas of land has already been acquired from this Khasra number and compensation paid to him. According to the statement of the owner himself the area to be acquired remains 2 Bighas 3 Biswas. Khasra No.481/21 measuring 3 Bighas 13 Biswas was not notified under section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act although it fell within the scheme. The owners have agreed that this Khasra number may also be acquired. Khasra No.51/1 consists of a part of the Kothi and other structure measuring 1 Bigha 9 Biswas. The ~~assessment~~ ^{assessment} of the price of the Kothi has to be made by the P.W.D. Award regarding this area will be made when the assessment is received from the P.W.D. On account of these changes the total area involved in this Award comes to 237 Bighas 5 Biswas. None of the land owners has raised any objection against this measurement. The ownership of the land under acquisition is given below:-

Name of the owner	Khasra No.	Area Bigha-Biswa	Kind of land.	Claim made.
Ram Parshad s/o	1175/512/22	2 - 12	Bagh Nehri	20/- per sq.yd.
Shiv Sahai.	514/39	5 - 19	-do-	
	517/50	2 - 3	-do-	
		10 - 14		
Khemam Ram s/o	1173/513/22	2 - 15	Bagh Nehri	25/-per sq.yd.
Shib Sahai.	515/39	5 - 19	"	
	516/50	2 - 2	"	
		10 - 16		
Shm. Shib Devi	1167/32	5 - 8	Baghnehri.	25/- per sq. yd. for land
wd/o Ram Sarup.	40	6 - 19	-do-	10000/- for two wells
	1169/34	4 - 4	-do-	& 1000/- for the trees.
	36	5 - 9	-do-	
		22 - 0		

Note:- Shmt. Shib Devi has died and her heirs Virender Singh, Narinder Singh have made a claim regarding this land. The compensation regarding these khasra numbers will be paid to them who have got their entry in the revenue record.

Virender Singh	1171/480/21/3/2	10 - 10	Baghnehri.	Claim as above.
s/o Sunder Singh.	481/21	3 - 13	-do-	
		14 - 3		
Shmt. Shib Devi				
widow of Ram	1308/25	0 - 5	Baghnehri	-do-
Sarup 1/2, Virender Singh etc. at Sl.				
No.5 in equal share 1/2.				

6.	Nand Lal Alias	488/38	1 - 18	Baghnehri.
	Umrao Singh s/o	489/38	2 - 0	-do-
	Ram Kishan.	490/45	0 - 19	-do- 0-14
		491/45	1 - 0	Nehri 0- 5
		492/46	0 - 15	Baghnehri 0 - 15
		493/46	0 - 16	Nehri. 0 - 5
				Nehri. 25/-per sq.yd.
				for land 1/-
				per sq.yd.for
				trees.
			7 - 8	

7.	Shmt. Savitri			
	Devi, Ramesh	37	3 - 12	Baghnehri. 25/- per
	Devi, Lila Devi	47/2	2 - 3	-do- sq.yd. for
	& Mahesh Devi	49	0 - 12	-do- land 1000/-
	dauthters and		6 - 7	for wire
	Shanti Devi			fencing and
	wife 1/2, Nand			5000/- for
	Kishore, Bhagwan			Nursery.
	Dass 1/2.			

Note:- Shmt. Shanti Devi stated that Shmt. Savitri Devi was married about 20 years ago and she has no right to the compensation.

8.	Tara Chand s/o			
	Chanda Singh &	1287/1178/	12 - 13	Nehri. 25/-per sq.yd.
	Shm. Sukh Devi	19		
	widow of Suraj			
	Mal.			

Note:- The owners have stated that they have sold 1200 sq.yds. of land to Shrimati Raj Rani widow of Roop Narain on 12.11.59 @ Rs.10.65 per sq.yd. Shrimati Raj Rani has claimed compensation of Rs.1,24,000/-. Sh. Manohar Lal is a lessee of this Khasra number and he claims a compensation of Rs.1,62,000/-.

9.	Shmt. Vidya Vati	1337/1182/	0 - 2	Nehri.
		18		

10.	Kothi of Rai Sahib	51/1/1min	146	-	2	Baghnehri
	Chhanna Mal Saligram	51/2	1	-	3	Gairmumkin well & shed tube well.
		51/3	0	-	6	Gairmumkin well.
			147	+	11	

3). The Gujranwala Cooperative House Building Society stated that they entered into an agreement for sale regarding 289 Bighas 9 Biswas of land @ Rs.8/- per sq.yd. on 6.8.59 and they paid Rs.1,00,000/- in advance. The owners did not get registered the sale in their favour and.

were prepared to execute the deed but the Society failed to pay the sale-price and therefore this amount has been forfeited and nothing is payable to the Society. A sum of Rs.1,70,000/- may be sent to the District Judge for apportionment between the two claimants.

11. Raghbir s/o

Manohar Lal.

51/1/1

4 - 0 Baghmehri.

Note:- The compensation for this land has also been claimed by the owners at Sl.No.10 stating that Shri Raghbir Singh was wrongly declared as Bhoomidar of this land and they have got his name cancelled by means of a Civil suit. Raghbir Singh has made no claim. Before the compensation is paid to them, they should get the necessary changes made in the Revenue Record by means of a Mutation.

12. Gaon Sabha.

35

0 - 8

G.M.Channel.

44

0 - 10

-do-

No claim.

48

0 - 8

-do-

1 - 6

Total....

237 - 5

~~XXXXX~~

Baghmehri. 219 - 11

Nehri. 14 - 16

G.M.Well. 0 - 15

G.M.Shed. 0 - 17

G.M.Channel. 1 - 6

237 - 5

CLAIMS & EVIDENCE.

The claims have already been discussed under the head ownership. The following evidence has been produced by different parties.

1. Shri Virender Singh has produced a copy of registered sale-deed regarding Khasra No.1287/1178/19 measuring 1200 sq.yds. for a sum of Rs.12,780/-. The

average of this transaction comes to Rs.10.65 per sq.yd.

2. A copy of registered sale-deed in which Khasra No.1289/1178/19 measuring 2 Bighas 8 Biswas was sold for a sum of Rs.24,300/- yielding an average of about Rs.10/- per sq.yd.

3. Registered sale-deed dated 23.5.61 in which Khasra No.1289/1178/19 measuring 275 sq.yds. was sold for a sum of Rs.3000/- in favour of Sri Chand. The average of this transaction works out to Rs.11/- per sq.yd.

All these sale-transactions are for a small area in the form of plots, for land situated on the main road from Shaktinagar to Sarai Rohella. These transactions, therefore, are not relevant for arriving at the Market Value of the land under acquisition, most of which is situated away from the Road. It is a well known fact that the average of sale of big areas is much less than the average sale of small plots. These transactions are, therefore, not a true indication of the Market Value of the land under acquisition.

M/S Chhanna Mal Saligram has produced copies of registered sale-deed for plots situated in Shakti Nagar and in other developed colonies. These sales cannot be taken for arriving at the market value of the land on the relevant date. They have also produced copies of two sale-deeds for gardens. In Sale-deed No.8013 dated 19.12.58 Khasra No.805/24, 26/2 measuring 5 Bighas 13 ^{was sold} Biswas for a sum of Rs.76,500/- yielding an average of about Rs.13/- per sq.yd. In sale-transaction No.6628 5 Bighas 3 Biswas was sold for a sum of Rs.93,000/- yielding an average of Rs.18/- per sq.yd. on 12.8.59. The land involved in these transactions is situated at a

because no other sale transaction for such big areas have taken place at this exorbitant rate. These are, therefore, not a true indication of the Market Value of the land on the relevant date.

MARKET VALUE.

The land under acquisition is situated between the Railway line on the East, Andha Mughal Colony on the South, ^{the} Canal on the West & the Shakti Nagar, Sarai Rohella Road on the North. Sale-transactions in the estate of Sadhora Khurd are available for the land which is situated between & near the abadi of Bagh Kare Khan on the Western side of the Jamna Canal. The average rate in those transactions is Rs.7/- per sq.yd. The land under acquisition, however, is not surrounded by any colony and is of lower level than the land on the Western side of the Canal. This rate is, therefore, not applicable to the land under acquisition. Sale-transactions in the estate of Sadhora Kalan have taken place for the land which is adjacent to the land under acquisition. The details of these transactions are given below:-

Sl. No.	Date of Registration.	Khasra No.	Area Bigha-Biswa	Sale-value	Average per sq. bigha. yd.
1071	28.11.58	218/1	4 - 5	20930/-	5/-
1072	28.11.58	218/2	4 - 10	21300/-	4.75
1073	19.6.58	218/3	1 - 3	4000/-	3.75
1074	19.6.58	218/4	1 - 18	7000/-	3.75
1075	19.6.58	218/5	2 - 1	7500/-	3.75
1076	9.9.58	218/6	4 - 14	17800/-	4.00
1077	20.2.58	218/7	5 - 4	13400/-	2.75
			23 - 12	91930/-	3.90

Khasra No.218 is situated just near GulabiBagh. and average sale-price of this Khasra number during 1958 was 3.90 per sq.yd.

1025	8.10.57	219	5	-	15	20000/-	3.50
1078	21.12.57	218/8 & 220	4	-	5	12750/-	3.00
			10	-	0	32750/-	3.25

This is an average for the year 1957. These Khasra numbers are situated in the immediate vicinity of GulabiBagh.

1069	1.5.59	217,242/217, 223/3,223/1	16	-	4	62500/-	3.90
------	--------	--------------------------	----	---	---	---------	------

This area is also situated adjacent to the area under acquisition and the average sale-price comes to about Rs.4/- per sq.yd.

From the above transactions it is very clear that the Market Value of the land under acquisition for the 3 years is as given below:-

1957	Rs.3.25 per sq.yd.
1958	Rs.3.90 per sq.yd.
1959	Rs.3.90 per sq.yd.

I am, therefore, convinced that the rate of land on the relevant date i.e. 13.11.59 was not more than 4000/- per bigha. I, therefore, award this rate for land under acquisition except Gairmumkin Dahna. The land has got potential value for building purposes. ^{Since} the price been given on the basis of potential value then only fuel value could be given for the wood ^{the} value of the trees. Moreover, in none of the gardens the trees have been sold @ more than Rs.500/- per bigha. Calculations have also been made by the Naib Tehsildars for several gardens and the average price of the trees comes to Rs.500/- per bigha. I, therefore, award Rs.4500/- per bigha for the Bagh Nehri kind of land.

The Naib Tehsildar, Land Acquisition, has assessed the following compensation for wells.

Khasra No.	Kind of well.	Price assessed.
1171/480/ 21/3/2.	Made of stones and lime.	Rs.1200/-
40	Broken down well.	Rs. 300/-
47/2	Drinking well.	Rs. 150/-
51/2	Pucca well unused.	Rs.1500/-
51/3	well unused.	Rs.1500/-
Total.....		Rs.4650/-

COMPENSATION FOR TREES.

There are trees in Nehri land other than the garden. The Naib Tehsildar Land Acquisition has assessed the price of the trees at Rs.551/-. There is a Nursery, the Naib Tehsildar, Land Acquisition has assessed the price of Nursery at Rs.1550/-. I have inspected the spot and I agree with the prices assessed by him and award the above amount as compensation for various items.

M/S Chhanna Mal Saligram have claimed compensation for the boundary wall and water channel. The wall is about 100 years old and ^{has} ceased to have ^{any} value. The value has been finished by depreciation. If there would have been no wall then the garden would have been lost and they would have had to spend much more in fencing every year. Similarly the Wali is also a part of the garden and no additional compensation can be given on this ground. There are small structures in other gardens consisting of temporary rooms or Jhugies. The Naib Tehsildar, has assessed the compensation of these items at Rs.3027/-. I have inspected the site and I find that the prices assessed by him are correct. I, therefore, award Rs.3027/- as the price of the structures in the land under acquisition.

THE AWARD IS SUMMARISED AS BELOW.

Rs. 98,7975.00

Rs. 65,600.00

Rs. 1,300.00

Rs. 10,54,875.00

Rs. 4,650.00

Rs. 10,62,552.00

Rs. 1,59,382.80

Rs. 551.00

Rs. 1,550.00

Rs. 12,24,035..80

(Nand Kishore)
Land Acquisition Collector I,
DEEHI.
3.4.1963.

(Nand Kishore)
Land Acquisition Collector I,
D E L H I.

Seen
Hammels
20 m (L A2)